

Dataverse Supplementary Material (DSM): How  
Exposure to Violence Against LGBTQ+ People  
Motivates Mass Prosocial Responses Toward LGBTQ+  
Group Members

XXX

March 1, 2024

## Supplemental Information

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Pulse Context</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Demonstrating Pulse Was Salient (Chicago Council Data)	5
1.2	Salience Data Details	6
1.3	Media Attention Data Details	6
1.4	Chicago Council Study	7
1.4.1	Data Details	7
1.4.2	Outcome Items	7
1.5	Google Trends Data Details	8
1.6	Hate Crime Perceptions Data Details	8
1.7	Behavioral Shifts Post-Pulse	9
1.7.1	Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: Details	9
1.7.2	Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: Descriptive Statistics	11
1.7.3	Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: RDIT Estimates	11
1.7.4	Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: Close to Bandwidth Estimates	12
1.7.5	Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: Pre-Pulse Temporal Placebo	13
1.7.6	Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: Other Year Temporal Placebo	14
1.7.7	Donations to Pro-LGBTQ+ Organizations	14
1.7.8	Blood Donations	16
1.8	Ruling Out Bundled Treatment Concerns With Placebo and Falsification Tests	17
1.8.1	Terror Attack: Boston Bombing (2013)	20
1.8.2	Terror Attack: San Bernardino (2015)	32
1.8.3	Terror Attack: Other Events	45
1.8.4	Anti-Latino Attack: El Paso (2019)	67
1.8.5	Muslim Ban Falsification	78
1.8.6	Muslim Hate Crime Falsification	80
1.8.7	Latino Old-Fashioned Ethno-Racism: Effects of Pulse	81

1.9	Balance Tests After Removing Days After Pulse Event . . . . .	90
<b>2</b>	<b>Study 1: TAPS</b>	<b>91</b>
2.1	Outcome Measurement . . . . .	91
2.2	Baseline Covariate Measurement . . . . .	91
2.3	Temporal Placebo Test Survey Information . . . . .	92
2.3.1	Temporal Placebo Test Survey Information . . . . .	92
2.4	Insensitivity to Truncation . . . . .	92
2.4.1	Discussion . . . . .	92
2.4.2	Analyses . . . . .	93
2.5	Temporal Placebo Tests . . . . .	116
2.6	SSM Support By Interview Date . . . . .	117
2.7	Outcome Item Non-response Balance . . . . .	118
2.8	Ruling Out Pre-Treatment Time Trends . . . . .	118
2.9	ISIS = Most Important Issue Post-Pulse . . . . .	119
2.10	Regression Tables . . . . .	120
2.10.1	Balance Plot for TAPS data . . . . .	120
2.10.2	Post-Pulse Influence on SSM Support . . . . .	121
2.10.3	Falsification Tests . . . . .	122
2.10.4	Temporal Persistence . . . . .	123
2.10.5	Temporal Persistence (Control Coefficients) . . . . .	124
2.10.6	Alternative Bandwidths . . . . .	135
<b>3</b>	<b>Study 2: PI S-IAT</b>	<b>136</b>
3.1	Representativeness Discussion . . . . .	136
3.2	Baseline Covariate Measurement . . . . .	136
3.3	D-Score Details . . . . .	136
3.4	Explaining Coefficients = Meaningful . . . . .	137

3.5	Regression Tables . . . . .	139
3.5.1	Balance Tests . . . . .	139
3.5.2	Influence of Pulse on Anti-Gay Attitudes . . . . .	141
3.5.3	Influence of Control Covariates on Anti-Gay Attitudes . . . . .	143
3.5.4	Temporal Durability (D-Score, No Controls) . . . . .	155
3.5.5	Temporal Durability (D-Score, With Controls) . . . . .	157
3.5.6	Temporal Durability (Heterocentrism, No Controls) . . . . .	159
3.5.7	Temporal Durability (Heterocentrism, With Controls) . . . . .	161
3.5.8	Temporal Durability (D-Score Outcome, Control Coefficients) . . . . .	163
3.5.9	Temporal Durability (Heterocentrism Outcome, Control Coefficients) . . . . .	184
3.6	Balance Tests After Removing Days After Pulse Event . . . . .	205
3.7	Temporal Placebo Tests . . . . .	206
3.8	Prior and Post Year Temporal Placebo . . . . .	207
<b>4</b>	<b>Study 3: Matthew Shepard</b>	<b>208</b>
4.1	Media Data Details . . . . .	208
4.2	Homosexuality = Morally Wrong Outcome . . . . .	208
4.2.1	Study Details . . . . .	208
4.2.2	Temporal Placebo Details . . . . .	209
4.3	Regression Tables . . . . .	210
4.3.1	Balance Test (Moral Wrong) . . . . .	210
4.3.2	Temporal Placebo Test (Moral Wrong) . . . . .	210
4.3.3	Influence of Shepard’s Murder on Attitudes Concerning Homosexuality . . . . .	211
4.3.4	Falsification Tests . . . . .	212
4.3.5	Alternative Outcome: Legal Recognition . . . . .	213
4.3.6	Alternative Outcome: Hire Military . . . . .	214
4.3.7	Event Study (Moral Wrong) . . . . .	216
4.4	Temporal Persistence Data Details . . . . .	217

4.5	Temporal Persistence CNN Poll (Jun. 1998) Exclusion Details . . . . .	218
4.6	Falsification Test Details . . . . .	219
4.7	Falsification Test Outcome Details . . . . .	220
<b>5</b>	<b>Study 4: Club Q</b>	<b>222</b>
5.1	Representativeness Discussion . . . . .	222
5.2	Anti-Trans Attitudes Outcome Measurement Details . . . . .	222
5.3	Regression Tables . . . . .	223
5.3.1	<i>Post-Club Q</i> Coefficients (S-IAT Dataset) . . . . .	223
5.3.2	<i>Post-Club Q</i> Coefficients (T-IAT Dataset) . . . . .	224
<b>6</b>	<b>Less Salient Violent Events</b>	<b>225</b>
6.1	Assessing Influence of Less Salient Violent Events on Prosocial Attitudes (2010-2022) . . . . .	225
<b>7</b>	<b>Validating Outcomes Across Studies</b>	<b>402</b>
7.1	System 1 and System 2 = Related . . . . .	403
7.2	Demonstrating Study 2 Outcomes = Associated With SSM Support . . . . .	405
7.3	Demonstrating Moral Wrong (Study 3) Outcome = Associated With SSM Support . . . . .	406
7.4	<i>Moral Wrong</i> Outcome Correlates Between 1978-2004 . . . . .	408
7.5	Study 2 Outcome Correlates Between 2010-2018 . . . . .	409
7.6	Correlates Across All Studies . . . . .	410

---

# 1 Pulse Context

## 1.1 Demonstrating Pulse Was Salient (Chicago Council Data)

Table 1: The Pulse Massacre Was Perceived by the Mass Public

	Less Safe		Terror Likely		Terror Threat		Worry (Terror)		Worry (Gun Violence)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Post-Pulse	0.10*** (0.03)	0.12*** (0.03)	0.07*** (0.02)	0.08*** (0.02)	0.03 (0.03)	0.05* (0.02)	0.06*** (0.02)	0.05** (0.02)	0.03* (0.02)	0.02 (0.02)
Age		0.19* (0.08)		0.09 (0.05)		0.24** (0.08)		-0.06 (0.05)		-0.07 (0.05)
White		0.10** (0.03)		0.05* (0.02)		0.01 (0.03)		-0.05** (0.02)		-0.06** (0.02)
Woman		0.03 (0.03)		0.02 (0.02)		0.07** (0.02)		0.09*** (0.02)		0.09*** (0.02)
Child		-0.01 (0.03)		-0.03 (0.02)		-0.09** (0.03)		-0.01 (0.02)		0.00 (0.02)
Non-Religious		-0.07 (0.04)		-0.02 (0.02)		-0.14*** (0.04)		-0.08*** (0.02)		-0.03 (0.02)
Income		-0.09 (0.06)		0.13** (0.05)		0.23*** (0.06)		-0.02 (0.04)		-0.03 (0.04)
College		-0.05 (0.04)		-0.03 (0.02)		-0.03 (0.03)		-0.02 (0.02)		0.00 (0.02)
Unemployed		-0.01 (0.03)		0.01 (0.02)		-0.04 (0.03)		0.01 (0.02)		-0.00 (0.02)
Rent		-0.02 (0.03)		0.01 (0.02)		0.09** (0.03)		0.01 (0.02)		0.03 (0.02)
Liberal		-0.08* (0.03)		-0.02 (0.02)		-0.02 (0.03)		-0.04 (0.02)		0.03 (0.02)
Conservative		0.16*** (0.03)		0.04 (0.02)		0.05 (0.03)		0.02 (0.02)		-0.06** (0.02)
Urban		-0.02 (0.03)		-0.02 (0.02)		-0.00 (0.03)		0.01 (0.02)		0.02 (0.02)
State FE	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y
R <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.09	0.02	0.10	0.00	0.08	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.08
N	1704	1704	836	836	1415	1415	1693	1693	1696	1696

Note: \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \* $p < 0.05$ . Models 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 do not adjust for control covariates while Models 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 do. All models use weights for representativeness. HC2 robust standard errors in parentheses.

## 1.2 Saliency Data Details

**CBS News June 13-14 Poll** is a nationally representative adult survey ( $N = 1001$ ). The poll used a random digit dial methodology. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish using live interviewers. The data are weighted to reflect U.S. census figures on demographic variables. The margin of error for the weighted data is  $\pm 4$  percentage points. The item of interest on Figure A1, Panel A is: “How closely have you been following news about the recent shooting at a nightclub frequented by gays and lesbians in Orlando, Florida where at least 49 people were killed – very closely, somewhat closely, not too closely, or not at all closely?” The item of interest on Figure A5, Panel A is “Do you think the shooting at the nightclub in Orlando, Florida was (mostly a terrorist act), (mostly a hate crime against people who are gay and lesbian), or both?”

**Kaiser Family Foundation June 15-21 Poll** is a nationally representative adult survey ( $N = 1201$ ). The poll used a random digit dial methodology. The item of interest on Figure A1, Panel A is: “How closely have you been following news about the recent shooting at a nightclub frequented by gays and lesbians in Orlando, Florida where at least 49 people were killed – very closely, somewhat closely, not too closely, or not at all closely?”

## 1.3 Media Attention Data Details

We acquired media data on the daily number of web articles related to the topics of interest from Mediacloud’s Explorer Search Tool (<https://explorer.mediacloud.org/>) from January 1, 2016 to October 15, 2016 to generate Figure A2. The reason we do not include data after October 15, 2016 in our analyses is because we do not want our analyses to be perturbed by the 2016 election, which increased attention to LGBTQ-related topics due to Trump’s anti-LGBTQ positions. The two measures of media attention we evaluate are the *article count* and *article ratio*. The article count is the raw number of web articles including a specific search term(s). The article ratio is the number of web articles including a specific search term(s) normalized over the total number of web articles.

We acquire article count and ratio data on three topics.

1. Pulse-related topics
2. LGBTQ-related topics
3. Terrorism-related topics.

Pulse-related topics are the article count sum and article ratio mean for queries on the terms “orlando massacre,” “orlando shooting,” “pulse nightclub,” “pulse shooting.” LGBTQ-related topics are the article count sum and article ratio mean for queries on the terms “anti-gay,” “anti-lgbt,” “gay marriage,” “gay rights,” “hate crime,” and “same sex marriage.” Terrorism-related topics are the article count sum and ratio mean for queries on the terms “isis,” “lone wolf,” “mass shooting,” “terror attack,” and “terrorism.”

## 1.4 Chicago Council Study

### 1.4.1 Data Details

**The Chicago Council on Global Affairs Poll** is a nationally representative adult survey fielded between June 10-26, 2016 ( $N = 2061$ ). The survey was conducted by GfK Knowledge Networks. The margin of sampling error for the weighted data is  $\pm 2.4$  percentage points. The data are subsetted to respondents who took between 10-60 minutes to complete the roughly 120 item survey ( $N = 1704$ ).

### 1.4.2 Outcome Items

**“Less Safe Since 9/11”** Do you think that, as a country, we are more safe, about as safe, or less safe than we were before the terrorist attacks of September 11th, 2001? 1) More safe, 2) About as safe 3) Less safe. Measured binary = 1 if respondent indicates “less safe.”

**“Terror Attacks Likely”** How likely is it that occasional acts of terrorism in the U.S. will be part of life in the future? 1) Very likely, 2) Somewhat likely, 3) Not very likely, 4) Not at all likely. Re-scaled from 0-1 with 1 = very likely.

**“Terrorism = Critical Threat”** Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important threat at all: International terrorism. 1) Critical threat, 2) Important but not critical threat, 3) Not an important threat. Measured binary = 1 if respondent indicates “critical threat.”

**“Worried (Terrorism)”** Are you very worried, somewhat worried, not very worried or not worried at all that: You or someone you know will be the target of a terrorist attack. 1) Very worried, 2) Somewhat worried, 3) Not very worried, 4) Not at all worried. Re-scaled from 0-1 with 1 = very worried.

**“Worried (Gun Violence)”** Are you very worried, somewhat worried, not very worried or not worried at all that: You or someone you know will be the target of gun violence. 1) Very worried, 2) Somewhat worried, 3) Not very worried, 4) Not at all worried. Re-scaled from 0-1 with 1 = very worried.



## 1.5 Google Trends Data Details

We acquired Google Trends search data at the weekly level from the `gtrendsR` R package. We generate three different search intensity measures capturing interest in the Pulse nightclub shooting, LGBTQ-related issues, and terrorism-related issues. The Pulse-related issue measure is the average of the Google Trends search intensity measures for separate queries on the “pulse nightclub,” “pulse shooting,” “orlando massacre,” and “orlando shooting.” The LGBTQ-related issue measure is the average of the Google Trends search intensity measures for separate queries on “gay rights,” “gay marriage,” “same-sex marriage,” “hate crime,” “anti-gay,” and “anti-lgbt.” The terrorism-related issue measure is the average of the Google Trends search intensity measures for separate queries on “terrorism,” “terror attack,” “lone wolf,” “ISIS,” and “mass shooting.”

The search intensity measure is the number of total searches divided by the total searches of the geography (United States) and time range (January 1, 2016-October 1, 2016) it represents to compare relative popularity. The numbers are scaled on a range of 0-100 based on a topic’s proportion to all searches on all topics. For more information see <https://support.google.com/trends/answer/4365533?hl=en>

## 1.6 Hate Crime Perceptions Data Details

**The AP/Black Youth Project July Poll** is a nationally representative adult survey ( $N = 1940$ ) fielded between July 9, 2016 and July 12, 2016. The data are weighted to reflect U.S. census figures on demographic variables. The margin of error for the weighted data is  $\pm 4$  percentage points. The item of interest on Figure A5, Panel B is: “You may recall that last month (June 2016), 49 people were shot and killed (and 53 people were injured) by 29-year-old Omar Mateen at Pulse nightclub in Orlando, Florida. From what you remember, do you think the shooting at the nightclub in Orlando, Florida was a terrorist act, a hate crime against people who are gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender, a hate crime against Latinos/Hispanics, or none of the above? Please select all that apply.”

## 1.7 Behavioral Shifts Post-Pulse

One limitation of our main analyses is that we only explore attitudinal shifts, not behavior. Behavioral shifts may not be commensurate with attitudinal changes. However, we do not believe our lack of emphasis on behavior is a shortcoming. The fickle attitudinal shifts we identify are consistent with the *FPVR* model’s proposition the mass public may engage in short-term impression management until perceptibly anti-LGBTQ+ violence is no longer salient, making them more comfortable to express their original beliefs, and implying behavioral shifts are unlikely. Nevertheless, we explore if the Pulse massacre motivated pro/anti-LGBTQ+ behaviors and find mixed evidence.

We assess if the Pulse massacre motivated three different pro/anti-LGBTQ+ behaviors: anti-LGBTQ+ hate crimes, donations to Florida-based pro-LGBTQ+ organizations, and blood donations. We find the massacre motivated an increase in anti-LGBTQ+ hate crimes, consistent with prior research suggesting violence has a contagious effect (DSM Section 1.7.1) (Towers et al., 2015); no increase in monetary donations to Orlando LGBTQ+-serving organizations (DSM Section 1.7.7); and an increase in blood donations for victims (DSM Section 1.7.8). These findings suggest the massacre motivated both pro- and anti-social *behavior*, but given we use aggregate data, we cannot determine if this is due to behavioral *changes* or *priming* of those who are predisposed to either be anti-social or pro-social toward LGBTQ+ people. Future research should continue to explore the behavioral consequences of exposure to salient civilian violence against marginalized groups in addition to attitudinal consequences.

### 1.7.1 Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: Details

We evaluate if the Pulse massacre motivated anti-LGBTQ+ hate crimes, consistent with prior research suggesting mass violence may have a contagion or “copy-cat” effect (Towers et al., 2015). To assess trends in hate crimes, we use data from the FBI Uniform Crime Report on hate crimes across the United States at the daily level between January 1, 2016, and December 31, 2016. Importantly, because the Pulse massacre was understood as a terrorist attack not necessarily motivated by anti-LGBTQ+ bias, it was not classified as a hate crime, even though it was perceived by the mass public as an anti-LGBTQ+ hate crime (Figure A5). Therefore, our analyses assessing the effect of the Pulse massacre on hate crimes is not driven by the massacre itself.


Figure 1 displays anti-LGBTQ+ (Panel A), anti-Black (Panel B), anti-Jewish (Panel C), and anti-Latino (Panel D) hate crimes during 2016 at the daily-level over time. The descriptive statistics suggest anti-LGBTQ+ hate crimes increased for a brief period after the Pulse massacre, but not anti-Black, anti-Jewish, and anti-Latino hate crimes.

Regression discontinuity-in-time estimates using the Calonico et al. (2015) optimal bandwidth selection approach corroborates the descriptive statistics (Figure 2). Immediately after Pulse, there’s an increase in roughly 2 daily anti-LGBTQ+ hate crimes. However, there is 0 increase in the number of daily anti-Black, anti-Jewish, or anti-Latino hate crimes. These findings are robust to a variety of kernel and polynomial specifications for the running variable (days to Pulse).

The regression discontinuity estimates characterizing are robust. They hold using a


variety of bandwidths from 10-100 days (Figure 3), and many of the coefficients are larger than at least 90% of the effects from pre-treatment placebo discontinuities (Figure 4). These effects are also not driven by Pride month, since they do not manifest in years prior to Pulse (2010-2015) or years after Pulse (2017-2019) (Figure 5).

### 1.7.2 Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: Descriptive Statistics




**Figure 1: Descriptive Statistics Characterizing Different Hate Crimes Over Time in 2016.** The x-axis is the date. The y-axis is the number of hate crimes in a given day. Dashed vertical line denotes the moment the Pulse massacre occurred (June 12). Loess lines fit on each side of the moment the Pulse massacre occurred. Panels A-D display anti-LGBTQ+, anti-Black, anti-Jewish, and anti-Latino hate crimes.

### 1.7.3 Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: RDiT Estimates




**Figure 2: Regression Discontinuity-in-Time *Post-Pulse* Coefficient Estimates and Hate Crimes** The x-axis is the hate crime type. The y-axis is the *Post-Pulse* coefficient. Color denotes kernel and polynomial degree at use. 95% CIs displayed from robust standard errors.

### 1.7.4 Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: Close to Bandwidth Estimates




**Figure 3: Regression Discontinuity-in-Time *Post-Pulse* Coefficient Estimates Using Bandwidths Close to Discontinuity** The x-axis is the bandwidth (in days). The y-axis is the *Post-Pulse* coefficient. Each panel denotes the kernel at use and running variable polynomial degree (0-2). 95% CIs displayed from robust standard errors.

### 1.7.5 Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: Pre-Pulse Temporal Placebo




**Figure 4: Comparing *Post-Pulse* Coefficient with Temporal Placebo Tests Prior to Pulse** The x-axis is the temporal placebo coefficient size. Vertical line denotes true *post-Pulse* coefficient size. Annotation denotes the proportion of placebo coefficients the true coefficient is larger than. Panels denote kernel and polynomial degrees (0-2). 95% CIs displayed from robust standard errors.

### 1.7.6 Anti-LGBTQ+ Hate Crimes: Other Year Temporal Placebo



**Figure 5: Post-June 12 Placebo Tests on Years Prior to and After Pulse.** The x-axis denotes the hate crime dataset year (2010-2015, 2017-2019). The y-axis characterizes the RDiT coefficient of a placebo indicator equal to 1 after June 12, the calendar date of the Pulse massacre. Color denotes kernel and polynomial degree at use (0-2). 95% CIs displayed from robust standard errors.

### 1.7.7 Donations to Pro-LGBTQ+ Organizations



**Figure 6: The Pulse Massacre Did Not Motivate A Differential Increase in Donations to Pro-LGBTQ+ Organizations in Florida.** The y-axis is the differential effect of Pulse on the logged donations to Florida pro-LGBTQ+ organizations that are a part of the One Orlando Alliance. The x-axis is the time to treatment (tax years 2011-2020). Dashed line denotes *post-Pulse* coefficients. 95% CIs displayed.

To assess if the Pulse massacre motivated support for pro-LGBTQ+ organizations serving the Orlando LGBTQ+ community, we assess if contributions (i.e. donations) to non-profit

pro-LGBTQ+ organizations serving Orlando differentially increased relative to other non-profit organizations after the Pulse massacre. We used two different datasets to conduct this assessment. First, we used tax return information on the universe of non-profits that submitted tax returns between 2011-2020 from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS).<sup>1</sup> This data includes our outcome of interest, the amount of monetary *contributions* declared in a given tax year (inflation adjusted to 2011 U.S. dollars). We log the contributions outcome (plus 1 to ensure identification,  $\log(\text{contributions} + 1)$ ). Second, we merged this information with data we collected identifying non-profits who were serving the Orlando LGBTQ+ community and were soliciting monetary support through the One Orlando Alliance, a conglomerate of LGBTQ+ serving organizations in Central Florida that engaged in resource sharing after the Pulse massacre.<sup>2</sup> Consistent with the sample we derived from the IRS data, we only included One Orlando organizations who filed tax returns for each year between 2011-2020 (suggesting they existed across the entire temporal domain of the panel) and were local, not national organizations (e.g. the Human Rights Campaign, ACLU). We exclude national organizations identified on the One Orlando Alliance member list from the IRS data as well.<sup>3</sup> One Orlando Alliance non-profit organizations are coded 1 if they are a part of the Alliance and 0 otherwise in the IRS data (*alliance*).

Figure 6 displays event study estimates from a synthetic controls approach developed by Xu (2017) characterizing the differential effect of Pulse on One Orlando Alliance organization donation receipts. We use the synthetic controls approach to reweight pre-treatment outcome data from the set of untreated non-profit organizations to generate a counterfactual that satisfies the parallel trends assumption to derive the plausibly causal effect of Pulse on contributions to One Orlando Alliance organizations. The event study demonstrates the effect of Pulse on differential donations to Orlando LGBTQ+-serving organizations is 0, suggesting Pulse did not motivate an increase in donations or contributions to key Orlando LGBTQ+-serving organizations.

---


<sup>1</sup>Source: <https://www.irs.gov/charities-non-profits/form-990-series-downloads>

<sup>2</sup>Source: <https://oneorlandoalliance.org/our-history/>

<sup>3</sup>See <https://oneorlandoalliance.org/our-members/> for the complete list of One Orlando Alliance affiliated organizations, the organizations included in the sample are: 1) Community Legal Services of Mid Florida, 2) Equality Florida, 3) Family Equality, 4) Hope & Help, 5) Hope Community Center, 6) Legal Aid Society of the Orange County Bar Association, 7) Mental Health Organization of Central Florida, 8) Miracle of Love, 9) Orlando Gay Chorus, 10) Planned Parenthood of Southwest and Central Florida 11) Victim Service Center of Central Florida.



### 1.7.8 Blood Donations



**Figure 7: The Pulse Massacre Increased Search Interest in Donating Blood.**

Given we do not possess direct data on blood donations for victims of the Pulse massacre, we use Google Trends data to identify the intensity of search interest in "blood donation" over time for the year 2016. Figure 7 clearly demonstrates search interest in "blood donation" substantially increases during the week of the Pulse massacre, but quickly drops off in the following weeks. Although search interest in "blood donation" may not necessarily translate into real-world behavioral action to donate blood, we are confident that our Google Trends analysis provides a rough proxy of real-world blood donation behavior due to qualitative accounts of blood donation after the Pulse massacre. According to the Orlando Sentinel,<sup>4</sup> Orlando hospitals who took in Pulse massacre victims "never had a shortage of blood and no victim experienced a delay in getting the right type of blood." This is because "Thousands of people began donating blood, throughout Florida and even in other states, starting hours after the June 12 shooting. The donations far exceeded the blood needed for the shooting." Moreover, "In the week after the attack, OneBlood took in 28,000 pints of blood; the agency's average weekly volume is about 18,000 pints...It was the biggest response since the Sept. 11 terror attacks in 2001."

The search intensity measure is the number of total searches concerning blood donations divided by the total searches of the geography (United States) and time range (January 1, 2016-December 31, 2016) it represents to compare relative popularity. The numbers are scaled on a range of 0-100 based on a topic's proportion to all searches on all topics. For more information see <https://support.google.com/trends/answer/4365533?hl=en>

<sup>4</sup>Source: <https://www.orlandosentinel.com/news/pulse-orlando-nightclub-shooting/os-oneblood-ceo-pulse-20160629-story.html>

## 1.8 Ruling Out Bundled Treatment Concerns With Placebo and Falsification Tests

One potential shortcoming of the two studies (Studies 1, 2) assessing the effects of *post-Pulse* on attitudes toward LGBTQ+ community segments is that the treatment is “bundled” in the sense that the Pulse massacre was perceived by the mass public as not only an anti-LGBTQ+ hate crime, but a terrorist attack in addition to an anti-Latino hate crime. Indeed, a plurality of the mass public perceived the shooting as *both* a terrorist attack and LGBTQ+ hate crime (Figure A5, Panel A). In addition, nearly 20% of the mass public perceived the shooting as a Latino hate crime (Figure A5, Panel B). Therefore, inconsistent with our theory, our findings may be driven by the fact the Pulse massacre was either a terrorist attack or anti-Latino hate crime.

We rule these possibilities out in several ways. First, we assess the effects of two prominent “Islamic” terrorist attacks on attitudes toward LGBTQ+ community segments: the April 2013 Boston bombing and the December 2015 San Bernardino shooting (Gunaratna and Haynal, 2013; Fitzpatrick, 2018). These incidents were highly salient to the mass public. Roughly 80% of the public reported they were following the Boston Bombing closely immediately after the bombing (higher than other salient issue at the moment of the bombing: the Gun Control Debate, Immigration Policy Debate, Texas Fertilizer Explosion, Poison Letters to Obama, Syrian Chemical Weapons, and Flight Delays, see Figure 9). The public also rated the San Bernardino attack the second most important issue or two of 2015, beating the Gay Marriage Decision, the Republican primary, and the Iran Deal (Figure 11). If pro-LGBTQ+ beliefs manifest after these terrorist attacks, then our findings may not be driven primarily by the perception of anti-LGBTQ+ violence, but rather the perception of a terrorist attack motivated by radical beliefs associated with Islam.

Figures 10 and 12 display coefficients characterizing the influence of the Boston bombing and the San Bernardino shooting on the *D-score*, *straight bias*, and *heterocentrism* outcomes using Project Implicit Sexuality IAT data from 2013 and 2015 respectively (5-50 day bandwidths from the moment of the event of interest).<sup>5</sup> With the exception of late-term effects for the *D-score* outcome in the 2015 data assessing the influence of the San Bernardino shooting, these events have had a null influence on the various outcomes of interest. Although the *D-score* appears to decrease after the San Bernardino shooting, *heterocentrism* does not decrease as well in a manner similar to the *post-Pulse* effects. Moreover, the *D-score* decreases 30 days from the San Bernardino shooting, as opposed to just 15 days from the Pulse shooting. Therefore, the results characterizing the effect of the San Bernardino shooting are more likely to be a function of unobserved secular temporal trends unrelated to the shooting relative to the results characterizing the effect of Pulse. Indeed, the samples at use 30 days from the San Bernardino shooting are imbalanced on several covariates, including ideology (Figure 13). Moreover, we provide additional evidence that terror attacks associated with Islam do not systematically motivate pro-gay attitudes. We assess the effect of several Islamic extremist terror attacks between 2009-2020 on the *D-score*, *heterocentrism*, and *straight bias* outcomes. We do not find consistent, systematic evidence that these attacks motivated pro-gay attitudes (Figure 14). In the aggregate, these findings imply the fact the Pulse massacre was a terrorist attack inspired by ISIS is not the main channel driving our results.

Second, we demonstrate that the effects are not motivated by negative attitudes toward Muslims/Islam in response to terror attacks associated with extremist Islamic organizations. For in-

---

<sup>5</sup>All outcomes for the Boston and San Bernardino attack analyses are measured similarly as those in the main text for Study 2. The Boston and San Bernardino attack analyses also adjust for the same covariates outlined in Study 2.

stance, the American mass public may seek to distinguish themselves from an Islamic/Muslim ideology that is perceptibly socially conservative on the dimension of sexuality and/or queerness in response to terror attacks (i.e. “pinkwashing,” see Meyer (2020)). Consequently, the mass public may adopt prosocial beliefs toward segments of the LGBTQ+ community after the Pulse massacre as a function of concomitant animosity toward Muslims. If this mechanism explains our findings, then we may expect the mass public to adopt negative attitudes and/or behaviors toward Muslims and/or their political rights after the Pulse massacre.

We provide three pieces of evidence this mechanism may not be operative. Relative to respondents interviewed before Pulse, respondents interviewed after Pulse in the Project Implicit Arab IAT data do not adopt negative attitudes toward Arabs (Section C.5 in the main paper Appendix), an ethnic group strongly associated with Islam (d’Urso, 2022). In addition, we use two nationally representative ABC News telephone surveys fielded shortly before and after the Pulse massacre to demonstrate members of the mass public interviewed after the Pulse massacre are not more likely to support banning Muslims from entering the United States (i.e. the “Muslim Ban”, see Figure 17). Finally, we use day-level hate crime data from the FBI Uniform Crime Report between January 1, 2016-December 31, 2016 to assess if the Pulse massacre motivated anti-Muslim or anti-Arab hate crimes. Behaviorally, the mass public may engage in anti-Muslim or anti-Arab hate crimes in response to terror attacks associated with extremist Islamic/Muslim organizations (Welch, 2006). We assess the discontinuous effect of the Pulse massacre on the daily number of anti-Muslim/anti-Arab hate crimes, and find the Pulse massacre did not result in an increase in anti-Muslim/anti-Arab hate crimes (Figure 18).

These empirical findings suggest our results are not driven by a heightened animosity toward Muslims in response to terror attacks associated with Islam among the mass public. Instead, these findings provide further support for our claim that the mass public perceived the Pulse massacre as an instance of anti-LGBTQ+ violence, and adopted prosocial attitudes toward segments of the LGBTQ+ community accordingly, at least briefly.


Third, we assess the effect of a prominent anti-Latino hate crime on attitudes toward LGBTQ+ community segments: the August 2019 El Paso Shooting (Leander et al., 2020). According to Google Trends, this incident was the most prominent hate crime of 2019, with the exception of the Jussie Smollett debacle in January/February 2019 (Figure 15). Again, if pro-LGBTQ+ beliefs manifest after the 2019 El Paso shooting, then our findings may not be driven via the channel of anti-LGBTQ+ violence, but anti-Latino violence. To assess the influence of the El Paso Shooting on pro-LGBTQ+ beliefs, we use UCLA Nationscape data from the Democracy Fund Voter Study Group (5-50 day bandwidths from the El Paso shooting),<sup>6</sup> a large non-probability survey fielded each week by Lucid between July 2019-February 2021 weighted to national government population estimates.

The outcomes of interest in the Nationscape data are *LGBT unfavorability* (1 = “very unfavorable” or “somewhat unfavorable,” 0 = “somewhat favorable,” “very favorable,” or “haven’t heard enough”) and *no trans military* (1 = “disagree” to allowing transgender people to serve in the military,” 0 = “agree” or “not sure”). Therefore, negative coefficients characterizing being interviewed *after* the 2019 El Paso shooting suggest the mass public is adopting relatively positive attitudes toward LGBT people and the notion trans people may serve in the military.

For bandwidths between 5-50 days before and after the El Paso shooting, we do not find respondents interviewed after the shooting hold more favorable attitudes toward LGBT and the notion trans people may serve in the military (Figure 16). These findings imply the fact the Pulse massacre was an instance of violence against predominantly Latinx people is not the main channel

---

<sup>6</sup>See <https://www.voterstudygroup.org/data/nationscape> for details



**Figure 8: Google Search Intensity Across Different Search Terms.** The x-axis is week. The y-axis is relative Google Search intensity between search terms for “LGBT,” “terrorism” and “latino”. Dashed vertical line denotes the moment of the Pulse massacre.


driving our results. Instead, these findings suggest our main results assessing the effect of the Pulse massacre are driven by the perception the event was anti-LGBTQ+ violence.

Moreover, if the massacre motivated prosocial beliefs toward LGBTQ+ community segments because it was also an instance of violence against Latinxs, we may expect the massacre to motivate prosocial beliefs toward Latinxs. However, using an additional unexpected-event-during-survey design with the 2016 General Social Survey, we do not find that the massacre motivated reductions in old-fashioned ethno-racism toward Hispanics (Figure 19), a well-established measure of ethno-racism (Tesler, 2013). We also do not find the massacre increased support for a pathway to citizenship for undocumented immigrants in the TAPS survey (Figure 3, Panel C in the main text). A pathway to citizenship disparately benefits Latinxs given two-thirds of Latinxs are either immigrants or children of immigrants. These findings further suggest our results are driven by the fact the massacre was perceived as anti-LGBTQ+ violence, not anti-Latinx violence.


Additionally, we provide evidence that the mass public was particularly attentive to LGBT topics relative to issues related to terrorism or Latinos. Google Trends data shows that the relative search intensity for “lgbt” was much higher and pronounced the moment of the Pulse massacre than “terrorism” or “latino (Figure 8).” These findings further imply the Pulse massacre primarily motivated prosocial attitudes toward LGBTQ+ community segments through the perception of violence against LGBTQ+ people.

Lastly, our second Event in the main text suggests bundled treatment considerations are moot (i.e. Matthew Shepard’s murder). Shepard’s murder was unequivocally understood as an anti-gay hate crime by the mass public and political elites. Unlike Pulse, it was not simultaneously a terrorist attack or an instance of violence against Latinx people. Contemporary hate crime laws in the United States are even named after Matthew Shepard. Shepard’s murder was not a terror attack nor an attack against a member of a politically non-dominant ethno-racial group. However, we find a similar pattern of results to the Pulse massacre, where positive attitudes toward gay people increase immediately after his murder, but dissipate in the long-run.

### 1.8.1 Terror Attack: Boston Bombing (2013)



**Figure 9: Saliency of Boston Bombing.** The x-axis the the proportion of respondents following each issue closely, the y-axis is the respective issue. Panel A is data from the April 18 Pew Survey. Panel B is data from the April 25 Pew Survey. 95% CIs displayed from 1000 bootstrap simulations. All estimates use survey population weights.



**Figure 10: Influence of Boston Bombing on Anti-Gay Attitudes.** The x-axis is the bandwidth (in days) used from the PI S-IAT data. The y-axis is the post-event coefficient. Annotations denote sample size (in thousands) corresponding to each respective coefficient estimate along the bandwidth size. All covariates rescaled between 0-1. 95% CIs displayed from HC2 robust standard errors. See DSM Tables 2-12 for regression tables characterizing the post-event coefficient estimates in addition to control covariate estimates.

**Table 2: Influence of Boston bombing on anti-gay attitudes**

Post-Bombing Coef.	SE	p-value	Outcome	Controls?	Bandwidth	N
0.01	0.01	0.18	D-Score	No	5.00	1941.00
0.00	0.00	0.74	D-Score	No	10.00	4045.00
0.00	0.00	0.65	D-Score	No	15.00	5687.00
-0.00	0.00	0.13	D-Score	No	20.00	7354.00
-0.00	0.00	0.10	D-Score	No	25.00	8996.00
-0.00	0.00	0.11	D-Score	No	30.00	10266.00
-0.00	0.00	0.22	D-Score	No	35.00	11778.00
0.00	0.00	0.32	D-Score	No	40.00	13536.00
0.00	0.00	0.47	D-Score	No	45.00	14837.00
-0.00	0.00	0.77	D-Score	No	50.00	16413.00
0.01	0.01	0.29	D-Score	Yes	5.00	1941.00
-0.00	0.00	0.97	D-Score	Yes	10.00	4045.00
0.00	0.00	0.71	D-Score	Yes	15.00	5687.00
-0.00	0.00	0.25	D-Score	Yes	20.00	7354.00
-0.00	0.00	0.29	D-Score	Yes	25.00	8996.00
-0.00	0.00	0.31	D-Score	Yes	30.00	10266.00
-0.00	0.00	0.36	D-Score	Yes	35.00	11778.00
-0.00	0.00	0.77	D-Score	Yes	40.00	13536.00
-0.00	0.00	0.45	D-Score	Yes	45.00	14837.00
-0.00	0.00	0.11	D-Score	Yes	50.00	16413.00
0.01	0.01	0.17	Heterocentrism	No	5.00	1942.00
0.01	0.00	0.12	Heterocentrism	No	10.00	4053.00
0.00	0.00	0.80	Heterocentrism	No	15.00	5696.00
-0.00	0.00	0.31	Heterocentrism	No	20.00	7370.00
-0.00	0.00	0.82	Heterocentrism	No	25.00	9016.00
0.00	0.00	0.95	Heterocentrism	No	30.00	10302.00
0.00	0.00	0.65	Heterocentrism	No	35.00	11829.00
0.01	0.00	0.01	Heterocentrism	No	40.00	13597.00
0.01	0.00	0.02	Heterocentrism	No	45.00	14907.00
0.00	0.00	0.10	Heterocentrism	No	50.00	16494.00
0.01	0.01	0.35	Heterocentrism	Yes	5.00	1942.00
0.00	0.00	0.35	Heterocentrism	Yes	10.00	4053.00
-0.00	0.00	0.93	Heterocentrism	Yes	15.00	5696.00
-0.00	0.00	0.28	Heterocentrism	Yes	20.00	7370.00
0.00	0.00	0.89	Heterocentrism	Yes	25.00	9016.00
0.00	0.00	0.81	Heterocentrism	Yes	30.00	10302.00
0.00	0.00	0.70	Heterocentrism	Yes	35.00	11829.00
0.00	0.00	0.35	Heterocentrism	Yes	40.00	13597.00
0.00	0.00	0.53	Heterocentrism	Yes	45.00	14907.00
0.00	0.00	0.87	Heterocentrism	Yes	50.00	16494.00
0.01	0.01	0.20	Straight Bias	No	5.00	1892.00
0.01	0.01	0.26	Straight Bias	No	10.00	3953.00
0.00	0.01	0.87	Straight Bias	No	15.00	5547.00
-0.01	0.00	0.14	Straight Bias	No	20.00	7163.00
-0.00	0.00	0.38	Straight Bias	No	25.00	8770.00
-0.00	0.00	0.66	Straight Bias	No	30.00	10012.00
-0.00	0.00	0.81	Straight Bias	No	35.00	11500.00
0.01	0.00	0.06	Straight Bias	No	40.00	13222.00
0.01	0.00	0.07	Straight Bias	No	45.00	14498.00
0.00	0.00	0.19	Straight Bias	No	50.00	16039.00
0.01	0.01	0.45	Straight Bias	Yes	5.00	1892.00
0.00	0.01	0.72	Straight Bias	Yes	10.00	3953.00
-0.00	0.00	0.66	Straight Bias	Yes	15.00	5547.00
-0.01	0.00	0.06	Straight Bias	Yes	20.00	7163.00
-0.00	0.00	0.41	Straight Bias	Yes	25.00	8770.00
-0.00	0.00	0.58	Straight Bias	Yes	30.00	10012.00
-0.00	0.00	0.62	Straight Bias	Yes	35.00	11500.00
-0.00	0.00	0.94	Straight Bias	Yes	40.00	13222.00
-0.00	0.00	0.81	Straight Bias	Yes	45.00	14498.00
-0.00	0.00	0.63	Straight Bias	Yes	50.00	16039.00

**Table 3: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (Boston bombing, Part 1)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.01	5.00	1941
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1941
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1941
Age (30-44)	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	5.00	1941
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.04	0.01	5.00	1941
Age (60+)	D-Score	-0.00	0.04	5.00	1941
Religious	D-Score	0.03	0.01	5.00	1941
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.01	5.00	1941
Florida	D-Score	-0.00	0.02	5.00	1941
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1941
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.01	5.00	1941
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.02	5.00	1941
Illinois	D-Score	0.03	0.01	5.00	1941
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	10.00	4045
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	10.00	4045
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	10.00	4045
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.00	0.01	10.00	4045
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.04	0.01	10.00	4045
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.01	0.02	10.00	4045
Religious	D-Score	0.03	0.00	10.00	4045
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	10.00	4045
Florida	D-Score	0.00	0.01	10.00	4045
California	D-Score	0.00	0.01	10.00	4045
New York	D-Score	0.01	0.01	10.00	4045
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	10.00	4045
Illinois	D-Score	0.02	0.01	10.00	4045
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	15.00	5687
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	15.00	5687
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	15.00	5687
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.01	15.00	5687
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.04	0.01	15.00	5687
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.02	0.01	15.00	5687
Religious	D-Score	0.03	0.00	15.00	5687
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	15.00	5687
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	15.00	5687
California	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	15.00	5687
New York	D-Score	0.01	0.01	15.00	5687
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.01	0.01	15.00	5687
Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.01	15.00	5687
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	20.00	7354

**Table 4: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (Boston bombing, Part 2)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	20.00	7354
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	20.00	7354
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	20.00	7354
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	20.00	7354
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	20.00	7354
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	20.00	7354
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	20.00	7354
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	20.00	7354
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	20.00	7354
New York	D-Score	0.01	0.01	20.00	7354
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	20.00	7354
Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.01	20.00	7354
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	25.00	8996
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	25.00	8996
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	25.00	8996
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	25.00	8996
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	25.00	8996
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	25.00	8996
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	25.00	8996
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	25.00	8996
Florida	D-Score	0.02	0.01	25.00	8996
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	25.00	8996
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.01	25.00	8996
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	25.00	8996
Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	25.00	8996
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	30.00	10266
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	30.00	10266
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	30.00	10266
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	30.00	10266
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	30.00	10266
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	30.00	10266
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	30.00	10266
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	30.00	10266
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	30.00	10266
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	30.00	10266
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.01	30.00	10266
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	30.00	10266
Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.01	30.00	10266
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	35.00	11778
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11778



**Table 5: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (Boston bombing, Part 3)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11778
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	35.00	11778
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.02	0.00	35.00	11778
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	35.00	11778
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	35.00	11778
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	35.00	11778
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	35.00	11778
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11778
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.01	35.00	11778
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	35.00	11778
Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	35.00	11778
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	40.00	13536
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13536
College	D-Score	-0.02	0.00	40.00	13536
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	40.00	13536
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.03	0.00	40.00	13536
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.04	0.01	40.00	13536
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	40.00	13536
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	40.00	13536
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	40.00	13536
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13536
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.01	40.00	13536
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	40.00	13536
Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	40.00	13536
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	45.00	14837
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14837
College	D-Score	-0.02	0.00	45.00	14837
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	45.00	14837
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.03	0.00	45.00	14837
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	45.00	14837
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	45.00	14837
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	45.00	14837
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	45.00	14837
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14837
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.00	45.00	14837
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	45.00	14837
Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	45.00	14837
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	50.00	16413
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16413
College	D-Score	-0.02	0.00	50.00	16413

**Table 6: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (Boston bombing, Part 4)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	50.00	16413
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.02	0.00	50.00	16413
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	50.00	16413
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	50.00	16413
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	50.00	16413
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.00	50.00	16413
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16413
New York	D-Score	-0.00	0.00	50.00	16413
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.00	50.00	16413
Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.01	50.00	16413
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.01	5.00	1942
White	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	5.00	1942
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	5.00	1942
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.01	5.00	1942
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	5.00	1942
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.02	5.00	1942
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.01	5.00	1942
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.01	5.00	1942
Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1942
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1942
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.04	0.01	5.00	1942
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.02	5.00	1942
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1942
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	10.00	4053
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	10.00	4053
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	10.00	4053
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	10.00	4053
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	10.00	4053
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	10.00	4053
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	10.00	4053
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.06	0.00	10.00	4053
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	10.00	4053
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	10.00	4053
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	10.00	4053
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	10.00	4053
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	10.00	4053
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	15.00	5696
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	15.00	5696
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	15.00	5696
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	15.00	5696

**Table 7: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (Boston bombing, Part 5)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	15.00	5696
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.01	15.00	5696
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	15.00	5696
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	15.00	5696
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	15.00	5696
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	15.00	5696
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	15.00	5696
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	15.00	5696
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	15.00	5696
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	20.00	7370
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	20.00	7370
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	20.00	7370
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	20.00	7370
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	20.00	7370
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	20.00	7370
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	20.00	7370
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	20.00	7370
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.01	20.00	7370
California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	20.00	7370
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	20.00	7370
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	20.00	7370
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	20.00	7370
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	25.00	9016
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	25.00	9016
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	25.00	9016
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	25.00	9016
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	25.00	9016
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	25.00	9016
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	25.00	9016
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	25.00	9016
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	25.00	9016
California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	25.00	9016
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	25.00	9016
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.01	25.00	9016
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	25.00	9016
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	30.00	10302
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	30.00	10302
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	30.00	10302
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	30.00	10302
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	30.00	10302

**Table 8: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (Boston bombing, Part 6)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	30.00	10302
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	30.00	10302
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	30.00	10302
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	30.00	10302
California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	30.00	10302
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	30.00	10302
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	30.00	10302
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	30.00	10302
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	35.00	11829
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	35.00	11829
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	35.00	11829
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11829
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	35.00	11829
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	35.00	11829
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	35.00	11829
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	35.00	11829
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	35.00	11829
California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	35.00	11829
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	35.00	11829
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	35.00	11829
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	35.00	11829
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	40.00	13597
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	40.00	13597
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	40.00	13597
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13597
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.00	40.00	13597
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	40.00	13597
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	40.00	13597
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	40.00	13597
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	40.00	13597
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13597
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13597
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	40.00	13597
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	40.00	13597
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	45.00	14907
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	45.00	14907
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	45.00	14907
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14907
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.00	45.00	14907
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	45.00	14907

**Table 9: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (Boston bombing, Part 7)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	45.00	14907
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	45.00	14907
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	45.00	14907
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14907
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	45.00	14907
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	45.00	14907
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	45.00	14907
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	50.00	16494
White	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16494
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	50.00	16494
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16494
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.00	50.00	16494
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	50.00	16494
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	50.00	16494
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	50.00	16494
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	50.00	16494
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16494
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16494
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	50.00	16494
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	50.00	16494
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.01	5.00	1892
White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	5.00	1892
College	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	5.00	1892
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.04	0.01	5.00	1892
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.02	0.02	5.00	1892
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.05	5.00	1892
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.01	5.00	1892
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.01	5.00	1892
Florida	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	5.00	1892
California	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.01	5.00	1892
New York	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.02	5.00	1892
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.02	0.02	5.00	1892
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.02	5.00	1892
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.01	10.00	3953
White	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	10.00	3953
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	10.00	3953
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.01	10.00	3953
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	10.00	3953
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.02	10.00	3953
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.01	10.00	3953

**Table 10: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (Boston bombing, Part 8)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.01	10.00	3953
Florida	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	10.00	3953
California	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	10.00	3953
New York	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	10.00	3953
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	10.00	3953
Illinois	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	10.00	3953
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.01	15.00	5547
White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	15.00	5547
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	15.00	5547
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	15.00	5547
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	15.00	5547
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.02	15.00	5547
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.01	15.00	5547
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.01	15.00	5547
Florida	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	15.00	5547
California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	15.00	5547
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	15.00	5547
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	15.00	5547
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	15.00	5547
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	20.00	7163
White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	20.00	7163
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	20.00	7163
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	20.00	7163
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	20.00	7163
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	20.00	7163
Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.00	20.00	7163
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.00	20.00	7163
Florida	Straight Bias	0.03	0.01	20.00	7163
California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	20.00	7163
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	20.00	7163
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	20.00	7163
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	20.00	7163
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.00	25.00	8770
White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	25.00	8770
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	25.00	8770
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	25.00	8770
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	25.00	8770
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	25.00	8770
Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.00	25.00	8770
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.00	25.00	8770

**Table 11: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (Boston bombing, Part 9)**


Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Florida	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	25.00	8770
California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	25.00	8770
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	25.00	8770
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	25.00	8770
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	25.00	8770
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	30.00	10012
White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	30.00	10012
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	30.00	10012
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	30.00	10012
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	30.00	10012
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	30.00	10012
Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.00	30.00	10012
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.00	30.00	10012
Florida	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	30.00	10012
California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	30.00	10012
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	30.00	10012
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	30.00	10012
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	30.00	10012
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	35.00	11500
White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	35.00	11500
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11500
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11500
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	35.00	11500
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	35.00	11500
Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.00	35.00	11500
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.00	35.00	11500
Florida	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	35.00	11500
California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	35.00	11500
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	35.00	11500
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	35.00	11500
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	35.00	11500
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	40.00	13222
White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.00	40.00	13222
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13222
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13222
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	40.00	13222
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	40.00	13222
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	40.00	13222
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.00	40.00	13222
Florida	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	40.00	13222

**Table 12: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (Boston bombing, Part 10)**


Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	40.00	13222
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	40.00	13222
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	40.00	13222
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	40.00	13222
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	45.00	14498
White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.00	45.00	14498
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14498
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14498
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	45.00	14498
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	45.00	14498
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	45.00	14498
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.00	45.00	14498
Florida	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	45.00	14498
California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14498
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	45.00	14498
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	45.00	14498
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	45.00	14498
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	50.00	16039
White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.00	50.00	16039
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16039
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16039
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	50.00	16039
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	50.00	16039
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	50.00	16039
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.00	50.00	16039
Florida	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	50.00	16039
California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16039
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	50.00	16039
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	50.00	16039
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	50.00	16039




## 1.8.2 Terror Attack: San Bernardino (2015)



**Figure 11: Salience of San Bernardino Shooting.** The x-axis the the proportion of respondents indicating each issue was the most important (or two) of 2015, the y-axis is the respective issue. Data are from the NBC/Wall Street Journal December 2015 poll.



**Figure 12: Influence of San Bernardino Shooting on Anti-Gay Attitudes.** The x-axis is the bandwidth (in days) used from the PI S-IAT data. The y-axis is the post-event coefficient. Annotations denote sample size (in thousands) corresponding to each respective coefficient estimate along the bandwidth size. All covariates rescaled between 0-1. See Tables 13-23 for regression tables characterizing the post-event coefficients in addition to control covariate coefficients. 95% CIs displayed from HC2 robust standard errors.



**Figure 13: Balance on IAT Taker Composition Before and After the San Bernardino Shooting.** Each panel characterizes covariate balance for different bandwidths (see plot title). The x-axis is the *post-shooting* coefficient derived from separate regression models regressing a baseline covariate (y-axis) on *post-shooting*.

**Table 13: Influence of San Bernardino shooting on anti-gay attitudes**

Post-Shooting Coef.	SE	p-value	Outcome	Controls?	Bandwidth	N
-0.00	0.01	0.86	D-Score	No	5.00	1963.00
-0.00	0.00	0.80	D-Score	No	10.00	3425.00
-0.00	0.00	0.20	D-Score	No	15.00	5025.00
-0.00	0.00	0.20	D-Score	No	20.00	6452.00
-0.01	0.00	0.03	D-Score	No	25.00	8105.00
-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	No	30.00	10157.00
-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	No	35.00	11106.00
-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	No	40.00	12154.00
-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	No	45.00	13471.00
-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	No	50.00	14649.00
0.00	0.01	0.61	D-Score	Yes	5.00	1963.00
-0.00	0.00	0.97	D-Score	Yes	10.00	3425.00
-0.00	0.00	0.46	D-Score	Yes	15.00	5025.00
-0.00	0.00	0.42	D-Score	Yes	20.00	6452.00
-0.00	0.00	0.13	D-Score	Yes	25.00	8105.00
-0.01	0.00	0.02	D-Score	Yes	30.00	10157.00
-0.01	0.00	0.02	D-Score	Yes	35.00	11106.00
-0.01	0.00	0.01	D-Score	Yes	40.00	12154.00
-0.01	0.00	0.01	D-Score	Yes	45.00	13471.00
-0.01	0.00	0.01	D-Score	Yes	50.00	14649.00
-0.00	0.01	0.79	Heterocentrism	No	5.00	1982.00
-0.00	0.00	0.75	Heterocentrism	No	10.00	3477.00
-0.01	0.00	0.18	Heterocentrism	No	15.00	5092.00
-0.01	0.00	0.07	Heterocentrism	No	20.00	6518.00
-0.01	0.00	0.03	Heterocentrism	No	25.00	8185.00
-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	No	30.00	10252.00
-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	No	35.00	11205.00
-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	No	40.00	12261.00
-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	No	45.00	13623.00
-0.01	0.00	0.01	Heterocentrism	No	50.00	14803.00
0.00	0.01	0.59	Heterocentrism	Yes	5.00	1982.00
0.00	0.00	0.80	Heterocentrism	Yes	10.00	3477.00
-0.00	0.00	0.81	Heterocentrism	Yes	15.00	5092.00
-0.00	0.00	0.54	Heterocentrism	Yes	20.00	6518.00
-0.00	0.00	0.48	Heterocentrism	Yes	25.00	8185.00
-0.00	0.00	0.33	Heterocentrism	Yes	30.00	10252.00
-0.00	0.00	0.36	Heterocentrism	Yes	35.00	11205.00
-0.00	0.00	0.35	Heterocentrism	Yes	40.00	12261.00
-0.00	0.00	0.31	Heterocentrism	Yes	45.00	13623.00
-0.00	0.00	0.33	Heterocentrism	Yes	50.00	14803.00
-0.00	0.01	0.88	Straight Bias	No	5.00	1926.00
-0.00	0.01	0.98	Straight Bias	No	10.00	3369.00
-0.01	0.01	0.26	Straight Bias	No	15.00	4943.00
-0.01	0.01	0.21	Straight Bias	No	20.00	6321.00
-0.01	0.00	0.14	Straight Bias	No	25.00	7946.00
-0.01	0.00	0.02	Straight Bias	No	30.00	9955.00
-0.01	0.00	0.06	Straight Bias	No	35.00	10884.00
-0.01	0.00	0.05	Straight Bias	No	40.00	11919.00
-0.01	0.00	0.04	Straight Bias	No	45.00	13246.00
-0.01	0.00	0.07	Straight Bias	No	50.00	14393.00
0.01	0.01	0.48	Straight Bias	Yes	5.00	1926.00
0.00	0.01	0.64	Straight Bias	Yes	10.00	3369.00
-0.00	0.01	0.98	Straight Bias	Yes	15.00	4943.00
-0.00	0.00	0.85	Straight Bias	Yes	20.00	6321.00
-0.00	0.00	0.77	Straight Bias	Yes	25.00	7946.00
-0.00	0.00	0.49	Straight Bias	Yes	30.00	9955.00
-0.00	0.00	0.75	Straight Bias	Yes	35.00	10884.00
-0.00	0.00	0.61	Straight Bias	Yes	40.00	11919.00
-0.00	0.00	0.54	Straight Bias	Yes	45.00	13246.00
-0.00	0.00	0.56	Straight Bias	Yes	50.00	14393.00

**Table 14: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (San Bernardino shooting, Part 1)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.01	5.00	1941
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1941
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1941
Age (30-44)	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	5.00	1941
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.04	0.01	5.00	1941
Age (60+)	D-Score	-0.00	0.04	5.00	1941
Religious	D-Score	0.03	0.01	5.00	1941
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.01	5.00	1941
Florida	D-Score	-0.00	0.02	5.00	1941
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1941
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.01	5.00	1941
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.02	5.00	1941
Illinois	D-Score	0.03	0.01	5.00	1941
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	10.00	4045
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	10.00	4045
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	10.00	4045
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.00	0.01	10.00	4045
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.04	0.01	10.00	4045
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.01	0.02	10.00	4045
Religious	D-Score	0.03	0.00	10.00	4045
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	10.00	4045
Florida	D-Score	0.00	0.01	10.00	4045
California	D-Score	0.00	0.01	10.00	4045
New York	D-Score	0.01	0.01	10.00	4045
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	10.00	4045
Illinois	D-Score	0.02	0.01	10.00	4045
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	15.00	5687
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	15.00	5687
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	15.00	5687
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.01	15.00	5687
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.04	0.01	15.00	5687
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.02	0.01	15.00	5687
Religious	D-Score	0.03	0.00	15.00	5687
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	15.00	5687
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	15.00	5687
California	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	15.00	5687
New York	D-Score	0.01	0.01	15.00	5687
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.01	0.01	15.00	5687
Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.01	15.00	5687
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	20.00	7354

**Table 15: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (San Bernardino shooting, Part 2)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	20.00	7354
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	20.00	7354
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	20.00	7354
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	20.00	7354
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	20.00	7354
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	20.00	7354
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	20.00	7354
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	20.00	7354
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	20.00	7354
New York	D-Score	0.01	0.01	20.00	7354
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	20.00	7354
Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.01	20.00	7354
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	25.00	8996
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	25.00	8996
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	25.00	8996
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	25.00	8996
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	25.00	8996
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	25.00	8996
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	25.00	8996
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	25.00	8996
Florida	D-Score	0.02	0.01	25.00	8996
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	25.00	8996
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.01	25.00	8996
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	25.00	8996
Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	25.00	8996
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	30.00	10266
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	30.00	10266
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	30.00	10266
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	30.00	10266
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	30.00	10266
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	30.00	10266
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	30.00	10266
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	30.00	10266
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	30.00	10266
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	30.00	10266
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.01	30.00	10266
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	30.00	10266
Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.01	30.00	10266
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	35.00	11778
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11778

**Table 16: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (San Bernardino shooting, Part 3)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
College	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11778
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	35.00	11778
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.02	0.00	35.00	11778
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	35.00	11778
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	35.00	11778
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	35.00	11778
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	35.00	11778
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11778
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.01	35.00	11778
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	35.00	11778
Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	35.00	11778
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	40.00	13536
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13536
College	D-Score	-0.02	0.00	40.00	13536
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	40.00	13536
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.03	0.00	40.00	13536
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.04	0.01	40.00	13536
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	40.00	13536
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	40.00	13536
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	40.00	13536
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13536
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.01	40.00	13536
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	40.00	13536
Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	40.00	13536
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	45.00	14837
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14837
College	D-Score	-0.02	0.00	45.00	14837
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	45.00	14837
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.03	0.00	45.00	14837
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	45.00	14837
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	45.00	14837
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	45.00	14837
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	45.00	14837
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14837
New York	D-Score	0.00	0.00	45.00	14837
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	45.00	14837
Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	45.00	14837
Woman	D-Score	-0.03	0.00	50.00	16413
White	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16413
College	D-Score	-0.02	0.00	50.00	16413

**Table 17: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (San Bernardino shooting, Part 4)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Age (30-44)	D-Score	0.01	0.00	50.00	16413
Age (45-59)	D-Score	0.02	0.00	50.00	16413
Age (60+)	D-Score	0.03	0.01	50.00	16413
Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.00	50.00	16413
Liberal	D-Score	-0.07	0.00	50.00	16413
Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.00	50.00	16413
California	D-Score	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16413
New York	D-Score	-0.00	0.00	50.00	16413
Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.00	50.00	16413
Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.01	50.00	16413
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.01	5.00	1942
White	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	5.00	1942
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	5.00	1942
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.01	5.00	1942
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	5.00	1942
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.02	5.00	1942
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.01	5.00	1942
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.01	5.00	1942
Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1942
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1942
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.04	0.01	5.00	1942
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.02	5.00	1942
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	5.00	1942
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	10.00	4053
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	10.00	4053
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	10.00	4053
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	10.00	4053
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	10.00	4053
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	10.00	4053
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	10.00	4053
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.06	0.00	10.00	4053
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	10.00	4053
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	10.00	4053
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	10.00	4053
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	10.00	4053
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	10.00	4053
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	15.00	5696
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	15.00	5696
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	15.00	5696
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	15.00	5696

**Table 18: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (San Bernardino shooting, Part 5)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	15.00	5696
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.01	15.00	5696
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	15.00	5696
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	15.00	5696
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	15.00	5696
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	15.00	5696
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	15.00	5696
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	15.00	5696
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	15.00	5696
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	20.00	7370
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	20.00	7370
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	20.00	7370
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	20.00	7370
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	20.00	7370
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	20.00	7370
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	20.00	7370
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	20.00	7370
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.01	20.00	7370
California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	20.00	7370
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	20.00	7370
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	20.00	7370
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	20.00	7370
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	25.00	9016
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	25.00	9016
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	25.00	9016
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	25.00	9016
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	25.00	9016
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	25.00	9016
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	25.00	9016
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	25.00	9016
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	25.00	9016
California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	25.00	9016
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	25.00	9016
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.01	25.00	9016
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	25.00	9016
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	30.00	10302
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	30.00	10302
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	30.00	10302
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	30.00	10302
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	30.00	10302



**Table 19: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (San Bernardino shooting, Part 6)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	30.00	10302
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	30.00	10302
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	30.00	10302
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	30.00	10302
California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	30.00	10302
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	30.00	10302
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	30.00	10302
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	30.00	10302
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	35.00	11829
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	35.00	11829
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	35.00	11829
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11829
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	35.00	11829
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	35.00	11829
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	35.00	11829
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	35.00	11829
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	35.00	11829
California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	35.00	11829
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	35.00	11829
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	35.00	11829
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	35.00	11829
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	40.00	13597
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	40.00	13597
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	40.00	13597
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13597
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.00	40.00	13597
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	40.00	13597
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	40.00	13597
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	40.00	13597
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	40.00	13597
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13597
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13597
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	40.00	13597
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	40.00	13597
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	45.00	14907
White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	45.00	14907
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	45.00	14907
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14907
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.00	45.00	14907
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	45.00	14907

**Table 20: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (San Bernardino shooting, Part 7)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	45.00	14907
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	45.00	14907
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	45.00	14907
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14907
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	45.00	14907
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	45.00	14907
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	45.00	14907
Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.00	50.00	16494
White	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16494
College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.00	50.00	16494
Age (30-44)	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16494
Age (45-59)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.00	50.00	16494
Age (60+)	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	50.00	16494
Religious	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.00	50.00	16494
Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.00	50.00	16494
Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	50.00	16494
California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16494
New York	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16494
Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	50.00	16494
Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	50.00	16494
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.01	5.00	1892
White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	5.00	1892
College	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	5.00	1892
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.04	0.01	5.00	1892
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.02	0.02	5.00	1892
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.05	5.00	1892
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.01	5.00	1892
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.01	5.00	1892
Florida	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	5.00	1892
California	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.01	5.00	1892
New York	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.02	5.00	1892
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.02	0.02	5.00	1892
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.02	5.00	1892
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.01	10.00	3953
White	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	10.00	3953
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	10.00	3953
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.01	10.00	3953
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	10.00	3953
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.02	10.00	3953
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.01	10.00	3953

**Table 21: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (San Bernardino shooting, Part 8)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.01	10.00	3953
Florida	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	10.00	3953
California	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	10.00	3953
New York	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	10.00	3953
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	10.00	3953
Illinois	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	10.00	3953
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.01	15.00	5547
White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	15.00	5547
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	15.00	5547
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	15.00	5547
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	15.00	5547
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.02	15.00	5547
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.01	15.00	5547
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.01	15.00	5547
Florida	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	15.00	5547
California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	15.00	5547
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	15.00	5547
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	15.00	5547
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	15.00	5547
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	20.00	7163
White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	20.00	7163
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	20.00	7163
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	20.00	7163
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	20.00	7163
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	20.00	7163
Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.00	20.00	7163
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.00	20.00	7163
Florida	Straight Bias	0.03	0.01	20.00	7163
California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	20.00	7163
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	20.00	7163
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	20.00	7163
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	20.00	7163
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.00	25.00	8770
White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	25.00	8770
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	25.00	8770
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	25.00	8770
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	25.00	8770
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	25.00	8770
Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.00	25.00	8770
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.00	25.00	8770


**Table 22: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (San Bernardino shooting, Part 9)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Florida	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	25.00	8770
California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	25.00	8770
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	25.00	8770
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	25.00	8770
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	25.00	8770
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	30.00	10012
White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	30.00	10012
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	30.00	10012
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	30.00	10012
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	30.00	10012
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	30.00	10012
Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.00	30.00	10012
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.00	30.00	10012
Florida	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	30.00	10012
California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	30.00	10012
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	30.00	10012
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	30.00	10012
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	30.00	10012
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	35.00	11500
White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	35.00	11500
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11500
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	35.00	11500
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	35.00	11500
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	35.00	11500
Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.00	35.00	11500
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.00	35.00	11500
Florida	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	35.00	11500
California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	35.00	11500
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	35.00	11500
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	35.00	11500
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	35.00	11500
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	40.00	13222
White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.00	40.00	13222
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13222
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	40.00	13222
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	40.00	13222
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	40.00	13222
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	40.00	13222
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.00	40.00	13222
Florida	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	40.00	13222

**Table 23: Influence of control covariates on anti-gay attitudes (San Bernardino shooting, Part 10)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	40.00	13222
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	40.00	13222
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	40.00	13222
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	40.00	13222
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	45.00	14498
White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.00	45.00	14498
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14498
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14498
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	45.00	14498
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	45.00	14498
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	45.00	14498
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.00	45.00	14498
Florida	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	45.00	14498
California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	45.00	14498
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	45.00	14498
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	45.00	14498
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	45.00	14498
Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	50.00	16039
White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.00	50.00	16039
College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16039
Age (30-44)	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16039
Age (45-59)	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	50.00	16039
Age (60+)	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	50.00	16039
Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	50.00	16039
Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.10	0.00	50.00	16039
Florida	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	50.00	16039
California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	50.00	16039
New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	50.00	16039
Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	50.00	16039
Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	50.00	16039

### 1.8.3 Terror Attack: Other Events



**Figure 14: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes.** Each panel characterizes the effects of terror attacks on anti-gay attitudes for 15 and 20-day bandwidth samples. The x-axis is the post-attack coefficient, the y-axis is the event. Color denotes outcome at use. Terror attack data are sourced from the following crowdsourced list: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Terrorism\\_in\\_the\\_United\\_States#Islamist\\_extremism](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Terrorism_in_the_United_States#Islamist_extremism). PIS-IAT datasets on self-selected U.S. adults are used from each year that each attack occurs within. See Tables 24-44 for regression tables characterizing the post-attack coefficients in addition to control covariate coefficients. 95% CIs displayed from robust SEs.

**Table 24: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Post-Attack Coefficients, Part 1)**

Post-Attack Coef.	SE	p-value	Event	Outcome	Bandwidth
0.00	0.01	0.84	Little Rock Shooting	D Score	15 Days
-0.00	0.01	0.74	Little Rock Shooting	D Score	20 Days
-0.01	0.01	0.26	Little Rock Shooting	Straight Bias	15 Days
-0.01	0.01	0.02	Little Rock Shooting	Straight Bias	20 Days
-0.00	0.01	0.65	Little Rock Shooting	Heterocentrism	15 Days
-0.01	0.01	0.09	Little Rock Shooting	Heterocentrism	20 Days
0.01	0.00	0.04	Fort Hood Shooting	D Score	15 Days
0.01	0.00	0.05	Fort Hood Shooting	D Score	20 Days
0.02	0.00	0.00	Fort Hood Shooting	Straight Bias	15 Days
0.02	0.00	0.00	Fort Hood Shooting	Straight Bias	20 Days
0.03	0.01	0.00	Fort Hood Shooting	Heterocentrism	15 Days
0.03	0.00	0.00	Fort Hood Shooting	Heterocentrism	20 Days
0.01	0.01	0.32	Vaughan Foods Beheading	D Score	15 Days
0.01	0.01	0.05	Vaughan Foods Beheading	D Score	20 Days
0.00	0.00	0.43	Vaughan Foods Beheading	Straight Bias	15 Days
0.00	0.00	0.40	Vaughan Foods Beheading	Straight Bias	20 Days
0.00	0.01	0.58	Vaughan Foods Beheading	Heterocentrism	15 Days
0.00	0.00	0.70	Vaughan Foods Beheading	Heterocentrism	20 Days
0.01	0.01	0.43	Chattanooga Shooting	D Score	15 Days
-0.00	0.01	0.73	Chattanooga Shooting	D Score	20 Days
-0.00	0.01	0.98	Chattanooga Shooting	Straight Bias	15 Days
-0.00	0.01	0.39	Chattanooga Shooting	Straight Bias	20 Days
-0.00	0.01	0.98	Chattanooga Shooting	Heterocentrism	15 Days
-0.00	0.01	0.73	Chattanooga Shooting	Heterocentrism	20 Days
-0.00	0.01	0.54	UC-Merced Stabbing	D Score	15 Days
-0.01	0.00	0.17	UC-Merced Stabbing	D Score	20 Days
0.00	0.00	0.56	UC-Merced Stabbing	Straight Bias	15 Days
0.00	0.00	0.80	UC-Merced Stabbing	Straight Bias	20 Days
-0.00	0.01	0.89	UC-Merced Stabbing	Heterocentrism	15 Days
-0.00	0.00	0.85	UC-Merced Stabbing	Heterocentrism	20 Days

**Table 25: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Post-Attack Coefficients, Part 2)**

Post-Attack Coef.	SE	p-value	Event	Outcome	Bandwidth
0.01	0.02	0.54	Jesse Hartnett Shooting	D Score	15 Days
0.01	0.02	0.56	Jesse Hartnett Shooting	D Score	20 Days
-0.02	0.05	0.66	Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Straight Bias	15 Days
-0.02	0.05	0.69	Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Straight Bias	20 Days
-0.02	0.11	0.83	Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Heterocentrism	15 Days
-0.02	0.10	0.88	Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Heterocentrism	20 Days
0.00	0.01	0.76	Ohio Machete Attack	D Score	15 Days
0.00	0.01	0.98	Ohio Machete Attack	D Score	20 Days
-0.02	0.02	0.39	Ohio Machete Attack	Straight Bias	15 Days
-0.02	0.02	0.25	Ohio Machete Attack	Straight Bias	20 Days
-0.07	0.06	0.18	Ohio Machete Attack	Heterocentrism	15 Days
-0.07	0.05	0.17	Ohio Machete Attack	Heterocentrism	20 Days
0.00	0.01	0.71	Ohio State Attack	D Score	15 Days
0.00	0.01	0.76	Ohio State Attack	D Score	20 Days
0.01	0.02	0.74	Ohio State Attack	Straight Bias	15 Days
-0.00	0.02	0.84	Ohio State Attack	Straight Bias	20 Days
0.05	0.05	0.27	Ohio State Attack	Heterocentrism	15 Days
0.04	0.04	0.42	Ohio State Attack	Heterocentrism	20 Days
0.01	0.01	0.24	NYC Truck Attack	D Score	15 Days
0.00	0.00	0.29	NYC Truck Attack	D Score	20 Days
0.00	0.00	0.14	NYC Truck Attack	Straight Bias	15 Days
0.00	0.00	0.32	NYC Truck Attack	Straight Bias	20 Days
0.00	0.00	0.35	NYC Truck Attack	Heterocentrism	15 Days
0.00	0.00	0.24	NYC Truck Attack	Heterocentrism	20 Days
-0.02	0.01	0.00	Pensacola Shooting	D Score	15 Days
-0.02	0.01	0.00	Pensacola Shooting	D Score	20 Days
-0.01	0.00	0.05	Pensacola Shooting	Straight Bias	15 Days
-0.01	0.00	0.07	Pensacola Shooting	Straight Bias	20 Days
0.00	0.00	0.17	Pensacola Shooting	Heterocentrism	15 Days
0.00	0.00	0.32	Pensacola Shooting	Heterocentrism	20 Days
-0.02	0.01	0.00	Corpus Christi Shooting	D Score	15 Days
-0.03	0.01	0.00	Corpus Christi Shooting	D Score	20 Days
-0.00	0.00	0.56	Corpus Christi Shooting	Straight Bias	15 Days
-0.01	0.00	0.02	Corpus Christi Shooting	Straight Bias	20 Days
-0.02	0.01	0.01	Corpus Christi Shooting	Heterocentrism	15 Days
-0.02	0.01	0.00	Corpus Christi Shooting	Heterocentrism	20 Days



**Table 26: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 1)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Little Rock Shooting	Age	D-Score	-0.01	0.06	0.84	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.05	0.01	0.00	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	White	D-Score	0.02	0.04	0.56	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	College	D-Score	-0.02	0.06	0.75	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.04	0.01	0.00	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.15	0.01	0.00	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.03	0.02	0.10	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	California	D-Score	-0.00	0.02	0.89	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.47	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	New York	D-Score	-0.02	0.02	0.33	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.78	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.74	15.00	3158
Little Rock Shooting	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.04	0.99	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.06	0.01	0.00	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	White	D-Score	0.04	0.04	0.24	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	College	D-Score	-0.01	0.04	0.82	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.05	0.01	0.00	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.15	0.01	0.00	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.03	0.01	0.03	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	California	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.51	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.02	0.15	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	New York	D-Score	-0.04	0.02	0.07	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.52	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	0.02	0.02	0.21	20.00	4308
Little Rock Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	0.05	0.05	0.25	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.07	0.01	0.00	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	White	Straight Bias	0.06	0.04	0.15	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	College	Straight Bias	0.05	0.07	0.46	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.01	0.00	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.12	0.01	0.00	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.03	0.01	0.05	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	0.06	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.04	0.02	0.02	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	New York	Straight Bias	0.00	0.02	0.96	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	0.90	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	Illinois	Straight Bias	0.00	0.02	0.83	15.00	2999
Little Rock Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	0.02	0.04	0.67	20.00	4087
Little Rock Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.01	0.00	20.00	4087
Little Rock Shooting	White	Straight Bias	0.05	0.03	0.14	20.00	4087
Little Rock Shooting	College	Straight Bias	0.04	0.05	0.47	20.00	4087

**Table 27: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 2)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Little Rock Shooting	Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.01	0.00	20.00	4087
Little Rock Shooting	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.12	0.01	0.00	20.00	4087
Little Rock Shooting	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	0.05	20.00	4087
Little Rock Shooting	California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.28	20.00	4087
Little Rock Shooting	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.03	0.01	0.01	20.00	4087
Little Rock Shooting	New York	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	0.79	20.00	4087
Little Rock Shooting	Florida	Straight Bias	0.00	0.02	0.86	20.00	4087
Little Rock Shooting	Illinois	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	0.30	20.00	4087
Little Rock Shooting	Age	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.06	0.87	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.04	0.01	0.00	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	White	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.04	0.41	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	College	Heterocentrism	0.04	0.14	0.77	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.11	0.01	0.00	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.17	0.01	0.00	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.04	0.02	0.03	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	California	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.02	0.13	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.04	0.02	0.08	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.02	0.25	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.02	0.37	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	Illinois	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.02	0.90	15.00	3172
Little Rock Shooting	Age	Heterocentrism	-0.04	0.05	0.38	20.00	4320
Little Rock Shooting	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.05	0.01	0.00	20.00	4320
Little Rock Shooting	White	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.04	0.68	20.00	4320
Little Rock Shooting	College	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.09	0.94	20.00	4320
Little Rock Shooting	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.11	0.01	0.00	20.00	4320
Little Rock Shooting	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.17	0.01	0.00	20.00	4320
Little Rock Shooting	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.04	0.02	0.01	20.00	4320
Little Rock Shooting	California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.26	20.00	4320
Little Rock Shooting	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.04	0.02	0.04	20.00	4320
Little Rock Shooting	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.02	0.35	20.00	4320
Little Rock Shooting	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.02	0.50	20.00	4320
Little Rock Shooting	Illinois	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.02	0.66	20.00	4320
Fort Hood Shooting	Age	D-Score	-0.00	0.03	0.87	15.00	13812
Fort Hood Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.06	0.00	0.00	15.00	13812
Fort Hood Shooting	White	D-Score	-0.04	0.03	0.09	15.00	13812
Fort Hood Shooting	College	D-Score	-0.02	0.02	0.31	15.00	13812
Fort Hood Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.06	0.00	0.00	15.00	13812
Fort Hood Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.13	0.00	0.00	15.00	13812
Fort Hood Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.02	15.00	13812
Fort Hood Shooting	California	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.14	15.00	13812

**Table 28: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 3)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Fort Hood Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.31	15.00	13812
Fort Hood Shooting	New York	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.52	15.00	13812
Fort Hood Shooting	Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.55	15.00	13812
Fort Hood Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	0.96	15.00	13812
Fort Hood Shooting	Age	D-Score	-0.00	0.03	0.89	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.05	0.00	0.00	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	White	D-Score	-0.04	0.02	0.06	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	College	D-Score	-0.00	0.02	0.98	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.06	0.00	0.00	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.13	0.00	0.00	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.01	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	California	D-Score	-0.02	0.01	0.03	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.56	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	New York	D-Score	0.00	0.01	0.58	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	Florida	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	0.88	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	0.85	20.00	15615
Fort Hood Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	0.01	0.02	0.62	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	0.00	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.02	0.84	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	College	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.02	0.08	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.00	0.00	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.13	0.00	0.00	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	0.00	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	California	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	0.55	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	0.06	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	New York	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.12	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.20	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	0.59	15.00	13271
Fort Hood Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	0.01	0.02	0.48	20.00	14965
Fort Hood Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.00	0.00	20.00	14965
Fort Hood Shooting	White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.02	0.89	20.00	14965
Fort Hood Shooting	College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.02	0.49	20.00	14965
Fort Hood Shooting	Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	0.00	20.00	14965
Fort Hood Shooting	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.13	0.00	0.00	20.00	14965
Fort Hood Shooting	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.03	0.01	0.00	20.00	14965
Fort Hood Shooting	California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.26	20.00	14965
Fort Hood Shooting	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	0.06	20.00	14965
Fort Hood Shooting	New York	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.20	20.00	14965
Fort Hood Shooting	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.08	20.00	14965
Fort Hood Shooting	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	0.66	20.00	14965

**Table 29: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 4)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Fort Hood Shooting	Age	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.03	0.85	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.05	0.01	0.00	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	White	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.02	0.21	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	College	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.03	0.78	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.11	0.01	0.00	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.17	0.00	0.00	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.01	0.00	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.02	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.05	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.11	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.11	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.59	15.00	14040
Fort Hood Shooting	Age	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.03	0.80	20.00	15846
Fort Hood Shooting	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.05	0.00	0.00	20.00	15846
Fort Hood Shooting	White	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.02	0.14	20.00	15846
Fort Hood Shooting	College	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.03	0.37	20.00	15846
Fort Hood Shooting	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.10	0.00	0.00	20.00	15846
Fort Hood Shooting	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.17	0.00	0.00	20.00	15846
Fort Hood Shooting	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.01	0.00	20.00	15846
Fort Hood Shooting	California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.00	20.00	15846
Fort Hood Shooting	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.02	20.00	15846
Fort Hood Shooting	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.08	20.00	15846
Fort Hood Shooting	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.16	20.00	15846
Fort Hood Shooting	Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.63	20.00	15846
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Age	D-Score	-0.05	0.03	0.12	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Woman	D-Score	-0.04	0.01	0.00	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	White	D-Score	0.03	0.03	0.27	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	College	D-Score	-0.00	0.04	1.00	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Religious	D-Score	0.06	0.01	0.00	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Liberal	D-Score	-0.11	0.01	0.00	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.31	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	California	D-Score	-0.03	0.01	0.01	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.09	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	New York	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.37	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Florida	D-Score	0.04	0.01	0.01	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Illinois	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	0.97	15.00	7783
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Age	D-Score	-0.04	0.03	0.22	20.00	9923
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Woman	D-Score	-0.05	0.01	0.00	20.00	9923
Vaughan Foods Beheading	White	D-Score	0.02	0.03	0.32	20.00	9923
Vaughan Foods Beheading	College	D-Score	0.00	0.03	1.00	20.00	9923

**Table 30: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 5)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Religious	D-Score	0.06	0.01	0.00	20.00	9923
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Liberal	D-Score	-0.11	0.01	0.00	20.00	9923
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.05	20.00	9923
Vaughan Foods Beheading	California	D-Score	-0.03	0.01	0.00	20.00	9923
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.03	0.01	0.00	20.00	9923
Vaughan Foods Beheading	New York	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.23	20.00	9923
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Florida	D-Score	0.05	0.01	0.00	20.00	9923
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	0.89	20.00	9923
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Age	Straight Bias	-0.04	0.02	0.12	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.07	0.00	0.00	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	White	Straight Bias	0.03	0.02	0.14	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	College	Straight Bias	0.01	0.02	0.73	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	0.00	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.00	0.00	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	0.93	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	0.00	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	0.37	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	New York	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.11	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Florida	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	0.36	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Illinois	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	0.92	15.00	7601
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Age	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.02	0.22	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.07	0.00	0.00	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	White	Straight Bias	0.03	0.02	0.08	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	College	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	0.90	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	0.00	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.00	0.00	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	0.37	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	0.00	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	0.73	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	0.02	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Florida	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	0.33	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.54	20.00	9700
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Age	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.03	0.99	15.00	7831
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.05	0.01	0.00	15.00	7831
Vaughan Foods Beheading	White	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.03	0.36	15.00	7831
Vaughan Foods Beheading	College	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.03	0.75	15.00	7831
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.10	0.01	0.00	15.00	7831
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.14	0.01	0.00	15.00	7831
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	0.03	15.00	7831
Vaughan Foods Beheading	California	Heterocentrism	-0.04	0.01	0.00	15.00	7831

**Table 31: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 6)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	0.17	15.00	7831
Vaughan Foods Beheading	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.01	0.86	15.00	7831
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Florida	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.02	0.06	15.00	7831
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Illinois	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	0.57	15.00	7831
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Age	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.03	0.99	20.00	9981
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.05	0.01	0.00	20.00	9981
Vaughan Foods Beheading	White	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.02	0.38	20.00	9981
Vaughan Foods Beheading	College	Heterocentrism	-0.05	0.03	0.16	20.00	9981
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.10	0.01	0.00	20.00	9981
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.14	0.01	0.00	20.00	9981
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.01	0.00	20.00	9981
Vaughan Foods Beheading	California	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.01	0.00	20.00	9981
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	0.09	20.00	9981
Vaughan Foods Beheading	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.13	20.00	9981
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Florida	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.01	0.02	20.00	9981
Vaughan Foods Beheading	Illinois	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	0.43	20.00	9981
Chattanooga Shooting	Age	D-Score	-0.02	0.05	0.64	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.05	0.01	0.00	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	White	D-Score	0.07	0.05	0.16	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	College	D-Score	0.02	0.05	0.68	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.07	0.01	0.00	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.14	0.01	0.00	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.00	0.02	0.80	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	California	D-Score	-0.03	0.02	0.09	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	-0.03	0.02	0.17	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	New York	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.59	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	Florida	D-Score	-0.00	0.02	0.83	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.03	0.94	15.00	3400
Chattanooga Shooting	Age	D-Score	-0.05	0.04	0.26	20.00	4937
Chattanooga Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.05	0.01	0.00	20.00	4937
Chattanooga Shooting	White	D-Score	0.04	0.04	0.30	20.00	4937
Chattanooga Shooting	College	D-Score	0.00	0.05	0.97	20.00	4937
Chattanooga Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.07	0.01	0.00	20.00	4937
Chattanooga Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.15	0.01	0.00	20.00	4937
Chattanooga Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.48	20.00	4937
Chattanooga Shooting	California	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.54	20.00	4937
Chattanooga Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	-0.03	0.02	0.11	20.00	4937
Chattanooga Shooting	New York	D-Score	0.00	0.02	0.84	20.00	4937
Chattanooga Shooting	Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.75	20.00	4937
Chattanooga Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	-0.01	0.02	0.67	20.00	4937

**Table 32: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 7)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Chattanooga Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.03	0.87	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.01	0.00	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	White	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.03	0.68	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	College	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.04	0.47	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.01	0.00	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.11	0.01	0.00	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	0.13	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	California	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	0.03	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.02	0.24	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	New York	Straight Bias	-0.04	0.02	0.00	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.39	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	0.89	15.00	3317
Chattanooga Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.03	0.42	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.01	0.00	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.03	0.86	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	College	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.04	0.49	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.01	0.00	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.11	0.01	0.00	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	0.04	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.10	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.46	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	New York	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.01	0.00	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.34	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	Illinois	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	0.96	20.00	4842
Chattanooga Shooting	Age	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.04	0.51	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.01	0.00	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	White	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.04	0.69	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	College	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.07	0.72	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.11	0.01	0.00	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.14	0.01	0.00	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.02	0.13	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.08	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.02	0.94	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.02	0.17	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	Florida	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.02	0.45	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.02	0.38	15.00	3412
Chattanooga Shooting	Age	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.03	0.57	20.00	4972
Chattanooga Shooting	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.01	0.00	20.00	4972
Chattanooga Shooting	White	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.03	0.69	20.00	4972
Chattanooga Shooting	College	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.05	0.82	20.00	4972

**Table 33: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 8)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Chattanooga Shooting	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.10	0.01	0.00	20.00	4972
Chattanooga Shooting	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.14	0.01	0.00	20.00	4972
Chattanooga Shooting	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.01	0.04	20.00	4972
Chattanooga Shooting	California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.06	20.00	4972
Chattanooga Shooting	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.02	0.83	20.00	4972
Chattanooga Shooting	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.02	0.21	20.00	4972
Chattanooga Shooting	Florida	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.02	0.28	20.00	4972
Chattanooga Shooting	Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.02	0.56	20.00	4972
UC-Merced Stabbing	Age	D-Score	-0.04	0.04	0.33	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	Woman	D-Score	-0.05	0.01	0.00	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	White	D-Score	-0.03	0.02	0.26	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	College	D-Score	0.06	0.03	0.04	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	Religious	D-Score	0.07	0.01	0.00	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	Liberal	D-Score	-0.14	0.01	0.00	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.32	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	California	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.13	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.69	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	New York	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.35	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	Florida	D-Score	0.03	0.01	0.04	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	Illinois	D-Score	0.00	0.01	0.72	15.00	9880
UC-Merced Stabbing	Age	D-Score	-0.04	0.04	0.35	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	Woman	D-Score	-0.05	0.01	0.00	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	White	D-Score	-0.02	0.02	0.45	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	College	D-Score	0.06	0.02	0.01	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	Religious	D-Score	0.07	0.01	0.00	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	Liberal	D-Score	-0.13	0.00	0.00	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.06	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	California	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	0.60	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.00	0.01	0.92	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	New York	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	0.69	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	Florida	D-Score	0.04	0.01	0.00	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.47	20.00	12441
UC-Merced Stabbing	Age	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.04	0.67	15.00	9701
UC-Merced Stabbing	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.06	0.00	0.00	15.00	9701
UC-Merced Stabbing	White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.02	0.94	15.00	9701
UC-Merced Stabbing	College	Straight Bias	0.01	0.02	0.69	15.00	9701
UC-Merced Stabbing	Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.00	0.00	15.00	9701
UC-Merced Stabbing	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.12	0.00	0.00	15.00	9701
UC-Merced Stabbing	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	0.27	15.00	9701
UC-Merced Stabbing	California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.33	15.00	9701



**Table 34: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 9)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
UC-Merced Stabbing	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.16	15.00	9701
UC-Merced Stabbing	New York	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.01	0.00	15.00	9701
UC-Merced Stabbing	Florida	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	0.79	15.00	9701
UC-Merced Stabbing	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.40	15.00	9701
UC-Merced Stabbing	Age	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.03	0.60	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.06	0.00	0.00	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.02	0.91	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	College	Straight Bias	0.01	0.02	0.73	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	Religious	Straight Bias	0.09	0.00	0.00	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.12	0.00	0.00	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	0.13	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.31	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	0.08	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	0.00	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	Florida	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	0.49	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.41	20.00	12225
UC-Merced Stabbing	Age	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.05	0.62	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.01	0.00	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	White	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.03	0.26	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	College	Heterocentrism	0.06	0.02	0.01	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.11	0.01	0.00	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.17	0.01	0.00	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	0.42	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.02	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.46	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.04	0.01	0.00	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.02	0.98	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	Illinois	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	0.57	15.00	9964
UC-Merced Stabbing	Age	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.04	0.58	20.00	12563
UC-Merced Stabbing	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.04	0.01	0.00	20.00	12563
UC-Merced Stabbing	White	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.02	0.34	20.00	12563
UC-Merced Stabbing	College	Heterocentrism	0.05	0.02	0.06	20.00	12563
UC-Merced Stabbing	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.11	0.01	0.00	20.00	12563
UC-Merced Stabbing	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.17	0.00	0.00	20.00	12563
UC-Merced Stabbing	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	0.10	20.00	12563
UC-Merced Stabbing	California	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.02	20.00	12563
UC-Merced Stabbing	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.62	20.00	12563
UC-Merced Stabbing	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.04	0.01	0.00	20.00	12563
UC-Merced Stabbing	Florida	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	0.93	20.00	12563
UC-Merced Stabbing	Illinois	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	0.89	20.00	12563

**Table 35: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 10)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.01	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.09	0.02	0.00	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	White	D-Score	-0.03	0.02	0.06	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	College	D-Score	-0.03	0.02	0.09	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.11	0.02	0.00	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.28	0.02	0.00	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.01	0.04	0.70	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	California	D-Score	0.01	0.03	0.82	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.11	0.04	0.01	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	New York	D-Score	0.07	0.05	0.15	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Florida	D-Score	-0.07	0.04	0.07	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	0.02	0.04	0.71	15.00	2958
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.01	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.09	0.02	0.00	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	White	D-Score	-0.04	0.02	0.01	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	College	D-Score	-0.02	0.02	0.34	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.10	0.02	0.00	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.28	0.02	0.00	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.03	0.03	0.24	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	California	D-Score	0.00	0.02	0.87	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.10	0.04	0.01	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	New York	D-Score	0.10	0.04	0.01	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Florida	D-Score	-0.04	0.03	0.29	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	0.04	0.03	0.22	20.00	4071
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	0.01	0.00	0.01	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.27	0.05	0.00	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	White	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.04	0.47	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	College	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.04	0.30	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Religious	Straight Bias	0.47	0.04	0.00	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.75	0.04	0.00	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.08	0.26	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	California	Straight Bias	0.09	0.06	0.11	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.11	0.10	0.28	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	New York	Straight Bias	-0.31	0.11	0.00	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.25	0.09	0.01	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Illinois	Straight Bias	0.07	0.11	0.48	15.00	2909
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	0.01	20.00	4005
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.23	0.04	0.00	20.00	4005
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	White	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.04	0.37	20.00	4005
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	College	Straight Bias	0.00	0.04	0.97	20.00	4005

**Table 36: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 11)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Religious	Straight Bias	0.49	0.04	0.00	20.00	4005
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.72	0.03	0.00	20.00	4005
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	-0.07	0.06	0.24	20.00	4005
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	California	Straight Bias	0.09	0.05	0.06	20.00	4005
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.17	0.09	0.04	20.00	4005
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	New York	Straight Bias	-0.26	0.09	0.00	20.00	4005
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.17	0.08	0.03	20.00	4005
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Illinois	Straight Bias	0.08	0.08	0.35	20.00	4005
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Age	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.00	0.22	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.35	0.10	0.00	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	White	Heterocentrism	-0.10	0.10	0.32	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	College	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.10	0.50	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Religious	Heterocentrism	1.01	0.10	0.00	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-1.64	0.10	0.00	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.18	0.98	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	California	Heterocentrism	-0.12	0.12	0.33	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.17	0.23	0.45	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.48	0.24	0.05	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.25	0.22	0.25	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Illinois	Heterocentrism	0.18	0.25	0.47	15.00	2976
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Age	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.00	0.08	20.00	4096
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.30	0.08	0.00	20.00	4096
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	White	Heterocentrism	-0.11	0.08	0.21	20.00	4096
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	College	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.09	0.94	20.00	4096
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Religious	Heterocentrism	1.01	0.09	0.00	20.00	4096
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-1.64	0.08	0.00	20.00	4096
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.15	0.94	20.00	4096
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	California	Heterocentrism	-0.07	0.11	0.53	20.00	4096
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.31	0.20	0.11	20.00	4096
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.49	0.20	0.01	20.00	4096
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.25	0.19	0.17	20.00	4096
Jesse Hartnett Shooting	Illinois	Heterocentrism	0.09	0.19	0.65	20.00	4096
Ohio Machete Attack	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	7934
Ohio Machete Attack	Woman	D-Score	-0.09	0.01	0.00	15.00	7934
Ohio Machete Attack	White	D-Score	-0.03	0.01	0.02	15.00	7934
Ohio Machete Attack	College	D-Score	-0.04	0.01	0.00	15.00	7934
Ohio Machete Attack	Religious	D-Score	0.11	0.01	0.00	15.00	7934
Ohio Machete Attack	Liberal	D-Score	-0.24	0.01	0.00	15.00	7934
Ohio Machete Attack	Non-Metro	D-Score	-0.01	0.02	0.61	15.00	7934
Ohio Machete Attack	California	D-Score	-0.03	0.02	0.08	15.00	7934

**Table 37: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 12)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Ohio Machete Attack	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.63	15.00	7934
Ohio Machete Attack	New York	D-Score	-0.06	0.02	0.00	15.00	7934
Ohio Machete Attack	Florida	D-Score	-0.04	0.03	0.20	15.00	7934
Ohio Machete Attack	Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.03	0.73	15.00	7934
Ohio Machete Attack	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	Woman	D-Score	-0.09	0.01	0.00	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	White	D-Score	-0.03	0.01	0.00	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	College	D-Score	-0.03	0.01	0.01	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	Religious	D-Score	0.11	0.01	0.00	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	Liberal	D-Score	-0.24	0.01	0.00	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	Non-Metro	D-Score	-0.00	0.02	0.98	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	California	D-Score	-0.04	0.02	0.03	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.02	0.02	0.31	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	New York	D-Score	-0.03	0.02	0.10	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	Florida	D-Score	-0.01	0.03	0.62	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	Illinois	D-Score	0.03	0.02	0.18	20.00	10187
Ohio Machete Attack	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.37	0.03	0.00	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	White	Straight Bias	-0.08	0.03	0.00	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	College	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.03	0.87	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	Religious	Straight Bias	0.51	0.03	0.00	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.60	0.03	0.00	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.01	0.04	0.81	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	California	Straight Bias	-0.12	0.04	0.00	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.06	0.06	0.34	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	New York	Straight Bias	-0.04	0.05	0.37	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.07	0.52	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.09	0.06	0.12	15.00	7788
Ohio Machete Attack	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	10004
Ohio Machete Attack	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.35	0.02	0.00	20.00	10004
Ohio Machete Attack	White	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.02	0.03	20.00	10004
Ohio Machete Attack	College	Straight Bias	0.01	0.02	0.57	20.00	10004
Ohio Machete Attack	Religious	Straight Bias	0.50	0.02	0.00	20.00	10004
Ohio Machete Attack	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.63	0.02	0.00	20.00	10004
Ohio Machete Attack	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.00	0.04	0.96	20.00	10004
Ohio Machete Attack	California	Straight Bias	-0.11	0.04	0.01	20.00	10004
Ohio Machete Attack	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.05	0.89	20.00	10004
Ohio Machete Attack	New York	Straight Bias	-0.04	0.04	0.35	20.00	10004
Ohio Machete Attack	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.04	0.06	0.48	20.00	10004
Ohio Machete Attack	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.05	0.39	20.00	10004

**Table 38: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 13)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Ohio Machete Attack	Age	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.91	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.49	0.06	0.00	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	White	Heterocentrism	-0.13	0.06	0.03	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	College	Heterocentrism	-0.08	0.06	0.19	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	Religious	Heterocentrism	1.04	0.06	0.00	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-1.54	0.06	0.00	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.14	0.10	0.17	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	California	Heterocentrism	-0.39	0.10	0.00	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.08	0.12	0.49	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	New York	Heterocentrism	0.06	0.11	0.59	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.11	0.17	0.53	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.06	0.15	0.66	15.00	7982
Ohio Machete Attack	Age	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.63	20.00	10252
Ohio Machete Attack	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.46	0.06	0.00	20.00	10252
Ohio Machete Attack	White	Heterocentrism	-0.11	0.05	0.04	20.00	10252
Ohio Machete Attack	College	Heterocentrism	-0.04	0.05	0.50	20.00	10252
Ohio Machete Attack	Religious	Heterocentrism	1.01	0.06	0.00	20.00	10252
Ohio Machete Attack	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-1.57	0.05	0.00	20.00	10252
Ohio Machete Attack	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.16	0.09	0.08	20.00	10252
Ohio Machete Attack	California	Heterocentrism	-0.36	0.09	0.00	20.00	10252
Ohio Machete Attack	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.03	0.11	0.79	20.00	10252
Ohio Machete Attack	New York	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.10	0.84	20.00	10252
Ohio Machete Attack	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.18	0.13	0.17	20.00	10252
Ohio Machete Attack	Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.05	0.13	0.69	20.00	10252
Ohio State Attack	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	Woman	D-Score	-0.09	0.01	0.00	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	White	D-Score	-0.05	0.01	0.00	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	College	D-Score	-0.07	0.01	0.00	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	Religious	D-Score	0.11	0.01	0.00	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	Liberal	D-Score	-0.29	0.01	0.00	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.07	0.02	0.00	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	California	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.53	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.00	0.03	0.96	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	New York	D-Score	0.03	0.02	0.08	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	Florida	D-Score	0.04	0.03	0.16	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.71	15.00	9570
Ohio State Attack	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	12356
Ohio State Attack	Woman	D-Score	-0.09	0.01	0.00	20.00	12356
Ohio State Attack	White	D-Score	-0.05	0.01	0.00	20.00	12356
Ohio State Attack	College	D-Score	-0.07	0.01	0.00	20.00	12356

**Table 39: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 14)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Ohio State Attack	Religious	D-Score	0.11	0.01	0.00	20.00	12356
Ohio State Attack	Liberal	D-Score	-0.29	0.01	0.00	20.00	12356
Ohio State Attack	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.07	0.01	0.00	20.00	12356
Ohio State Attack	California	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.56	20.00	12356
Ohio State Attack	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.59	20.00	12356
Ohio State Attack	New York	D-Score	0.03	0.02	0.12	20.00	12356
Ohio State Attack	Florida	D-Score	0.05	0.03	0.06	20.00	12356
Ohio State Attack	Illinois	D-Score	0.03	0.02	0.15	20.00	12356
Ohio State Attack	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.24	0.02	0.00	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	White	Straight Bias	-0.07	0.02	0.00	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	College	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.03	0.58	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	Religious	Straight Bias	0.40	0.03	0.00	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.75	0.02	0.00	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.12	0.04	0.00	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	California	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.04	0.21	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.06	0.06	0.34	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	New York	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.05	0.27	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	Florida	Straight Bias	0.20	0.07	0.00	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	Illinois	Straight Bias	0.02	0.05	0.67	15.00	9574
Ohio State Attack	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	0.01	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.25	0.02	0.00	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	White	Straight Bias	-0.06	0.02	0.00	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	College	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.02	0.46	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	Religious	Straight Bias	0.42	0.02	0.00	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.75	0.02	0.00	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.09	0.04	0.01	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	California	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.03	0.09	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.04	0.05	0.42	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	New York	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.04	0.61	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	Florida	Straight Bias	0.21	0.06	0.00	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	Illinois	Straight Bias	0.05	0.04	0.29	20.00	12343
Ohio State Attack	Age	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.00	0.01	15.00	9602
Ohio State Attack	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.24	0.05	0.00	15.00	9602
Ohio State Attack	White	Heterocentrism	-0.20	0.05	0.00	15.00	9602
Ohio State Attack	College	Heterocentrism	-0.20	0.06	0.00	15.00	9602
Ohio State Attack	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.85	0.06	0.00	15.00	9602
Ohio State Attack	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-1.75	0.05	0.00	15.00	9602
Ohio State Attack	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.53	0.09	0.00	15.00	9602
Ohio State Attack	California	Heterocentrism	-0.18	0.08	0.02	15.00	9602

**Table 40: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 15)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Ohio State Attack	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.06	0.13	0.64	15.00	9602
Ohio State Attack	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.23	0.10	0.02	15.00	9602
Ohio State Attack	Florida	Heterocentrism	0.24	0.15	0.11	15.00	9602
Ohio State Attack	Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.11	0.92	15.00	9602
Ohio State Attack	Age	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.00	0.00	20.00	12390
Ohio State Attack	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.30	0.05	0.00	20.00	12390
Ohio State Attack	White	Heterocentrism	-0.16	0.05	0.00	20.00	12390
Ohio State Attack	College	Heterocentrism	-0.21	0.05	0.00	20.00	12390
Ohio State Attack	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.87	0.05	0.00	20.00	12390
Ohio State Attack	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-1.77	0.05	0.00	20.00	12390
Ohio State Attack	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.47	0.08	0.00	20.00	12390
Ohio State Attack	California	Heterocentrism	-0.19	0.07	0.01	20.00	12390
Ohio State Attack	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.09	0.12	0.43	20.00	12390
Ohio State Attack	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.20	0.09	0.03	20.00	12390
Ohio State Attack	Florida	Heterocentrism	0.26	0.13	0.05	20.00	12390
Ohio State Attack	Illinois	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.10	0.99	20.00	12390
NYC Truck Attack	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	Woman	D-Score	-0.06	0.01	0.00	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	White	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	0.64	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	College	D-Score	0.02	0.02	0.35	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	Religious	D-Score	0.08	0.01	0.00	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	Liberal	D-Score	-0.18	0.01	0.00	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.35	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	California	D-Score	0.00	0.01	0.64	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.63	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	New York	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.29	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	Florida	D-Score	-0.00	0.02	0.92	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	Illinois	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.64	15.00	11803
NYC Truck Attack	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	14837
NYC Truck Attack	Woman	D-Score	-0.06	0.01	0.00	20.00	14837
NYC Truck Attack	White	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	0.64	20.00	14837
NYC Truck Attack	College	D-Score	0.02	0.02	0.28	20.00	14837
NYC Truck Attack	Religious	D-Score	0.08	0.01	0.00	20.00	14837
NYC Truck Attack	Liberal	D-Score	-0.18	0.00	0.00	20.00	14837
NYC Truck Attack	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.24	20.00	14837
NYC Truck Attack	California	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.26	20.00	14837
NYC Truck Attack	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.00	0.01	0.77	20.00	14837
NYC Truck Attack	New York	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.07	20.00	14837
NYC Truck Attack	Florida	D-Score	0.00	0.01	0.85	20.00	14837
NYC Truck Attack	Illinois	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	0.70	20.00	14837

**Table 41: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 16)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
NYC Truck Attack	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	0.02	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.06	0.00	0.00	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	White	Straight Bias	0.00	0.01	0.80	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	College	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	0.12	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	0.00	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.12	0.00	0.00	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	0.16	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.01	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.10	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	New York	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.32	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.22	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.46	15.00	11755
NYC Truck Attack	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.06	0.00	0.00	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	White	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.40	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	College	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	0.23	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	0.00	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.12	0.00	0.00	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	0.03	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.00	0.04	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.13	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	New York	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	0.63	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	0.10	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.27	20.00	14773
NYC Truck Attack	Age	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.09	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.05	0.01	0.00	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	White	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	0.98	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	College	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.02	0.32	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.10	0.01	0.00	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.18	0.00	0.00	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	0.01	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.14	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.15	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.45	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.15	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.18	15.00	11788
NYC Truck Attack	Age	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.06	20.00	14808
NYC Truck Attack	Woman	Heterocentrism	-0.05	0.00	0.00	20.00	14808
NYC Truck Attack	White	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.10	20.00	14808
NYC Truck Attack	College	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.02	0.24	20.00	14808



**Table 42: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 17)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
NYC Truck Attack	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.10	0.00	0.00	20.00	14808
NYC Truck Attack	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.18	0.00	0.00	20.00	14808
NYC Truck Attack	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	0.03	0.01	0.00	20.00	14808
NYC Truck Attack	California	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.41	20.00	14808
NYC Truck Attack	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.22	20.00	14808
NYC Truck Attack	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.38	20.00	14808
NYC Truck Attack	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.22	20.00	14808
NYC Truck Attack	Illinois	Heterocentrism	-0.02	0.01	0.16	20.00	14808
Pensacola Shooting	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.06	0.01	0.00	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	White	D-Score	-0.02	0.01	0.09	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	College	D-Score	0.03	0.03	0.30	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.08	0.01	0.00	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.18	0.01	0.00	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.07	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	California	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.20	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.70	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	New York	D-Score	0.04	0.02	0.01	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	Florida	D-Score	0.02	0.02	0.17	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	-0.02	0.02	0.26	15.00	7879
Pensacola Shooting	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.06	0.01	0.00	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	White	D-Score	-0.02	0.01	0.05	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	College	D-Score	0.03	0.02	0.20	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.08	0.01	0.00	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.18	0.01	0.00	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.12	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	California	D-Score	0.00	0.01	0.78	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.63	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	New York	D-Score	0.03	0.01	0.01	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.38	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	-0.02	0.02	0.32	20.00	10223
Pensacola Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	0.24	15.00	7791
Pensacola Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.00	0.00	15.00	7791
Pensacola Shooting	White	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.25	15.00	7791
Pensacola Shooting	College	Straight Bias	0.02	0.02	0.40	15.00	7791
Pensacola Shooting	Religious	Straight Bias	0.07	0.00	0.00	15.00	7791
Pensacola Shooting	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.13	0.00	0.00	15.00	7791
Pensacola Shooting	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	0.00	15.00	7791
Pensacola Shooting	California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.31	15.00	7791


**Table 43: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 18)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Pensacola Shooting	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	0.95	15.00	7791
Pensacola Shooting	New York	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.31	15.00	7791
Pensacola Shooting	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.03	0.01	0.05	15.00	7791
Pensacola Shooting	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.30	15.00	7791
Pensacola Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	0.19	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.00	0.00	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	0.52	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	College	Straight Bias	0.02	0.02	0.44	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	Religious	Straight Bias	0.08	0.00	0.00	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.13	0.00	0.00	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	0.01	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.29	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	0.82	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	New York	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.27	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.02	0.01	0.06	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.27	20.00	10117
Pensacola Shooting	Age	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.74	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	Woman	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.10	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.17	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	College	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	0.30	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.91	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.01	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.22	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	California	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.80	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.28	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.31	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.86	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	Illinois	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.21	15.00	7889
Pensacola Shooting	Age	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.83	20.00	10237
Pensacola Shooting	Woman	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.26	20.00	10237
Pensacola Shooting	White	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.10	20.00	10237
Pensacola Shooting	College	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	0.29	20.00	10237
Pensacola Shooting	Religious	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.86	20.00	10237
Pensacola Shooting	Liberal	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	10237
Pensacola Shooting	Non-Metro	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.25	20.00	10237
Pensacola Shooting	California	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.42	20.00	10237
Pensacola Shooting	Pennsylvania	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.29	20.00	10237
Pensacola Shooting	New York	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.30	20.00	10237
Pensacola Shooting	Florida	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.00	0.74	20.00	10237
Pensacola Shooting	Illinois	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.00	0.23	20.00	10237


**Table 44: Influence of Other Terror Attacks on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 19)**

Event	Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Corpus Christi Shooting	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.07	0.01	0.00	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	White	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.55	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	College	D-Score	0.03	0.03	0.38	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.06	0.01	0.00	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.18	0.01	0.00	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.07	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	California	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	0.81	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.03	0.02	0.10	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	New York	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.15	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	Florida	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.76	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	0.02	0.02	0.33	15.00	7264
Corpus Christi Shooting	Age	D-Score	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	Woman	D-Score	-0.06	0.01	0.00	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	White	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.21	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	College	D-Score	0.03	0.02	0.22	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	Religious	D-Score	0.06	0.01	0.00	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	Liberal	D-Score	-0.17	0.01	0.00	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	Non-Metro	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.04	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	California	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	0.78	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	Pennsylvania	D-Score	0.03	0.01	0.05	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	New York	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.60	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	Florida	D-Score	0.03	0.01	0.06	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	Illinois	D-Score	0.01	0.02	0.37	20.00	10726
Corpus Christi Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.00	0.00	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	0.99	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	College	Straight Bias	0.03	0.02	0.25	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	Religious	Straight Bias	0.06	0.00	0.00	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	Liberal	Straight Bias	-0.12	0.00	0.00	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	Non-Metro	Straight Bias	0.02	0.01	0.03	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	California	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.25	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	Pennsylvania	Straight Bias	0.01	0.01	0.65	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	New York	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.36	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	Florida	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.26	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	Illinois	Straight Bias	-0.01	0.01	0.57	15.00	7196
Corpus Christi Shooting	Age	Straight Bias	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	10628
Corpus Christi Shooting	Woman	Straight Bias	-0.05	0.00	0.00	20.00	10628
Corpus Christi Shooting	White	Straight Bias	-0.00	0.01	1.00	20.00	10628
Corpus Christi Shooting	College	Straight Bias	0.03	0.02	0.11	20.00	10628

### 1.8.4 Anti-Latino Attack: El Paso (2019)



**Figure 15: Salience of El Paso Shooting As A Hate Crime.** The x-axis is the date (in weeks), the y-axis is the Google search hit intensity for “hate crime.” From left to right, dashed vertical lines denote Jussie Smollett reporting a hate crime, his indictment for faking the hate crime, and the El Paso shooting.



**Figure 16: Influence of El Paso shooting on Anti-LGBTQ+ Attitudes.** The x-axis is the bandwidth (in days) used from the Nationscape data. The y-axis is the post-event coefficient. Annotations denote sample size (in thousands) corresponding to each respective coefficient estimate along the bandwidth size. Differences in sample sizes across outcomes are not due to non-random missingness, but rather the random omission of the *no trans military* outcome item in the Nationscape data for respondents in the weekly subsamples. All covariates rescaled between 0-1. 95% CIs displayed from HC2 robust standard errors. All estimates use survey population weights. For regression tables characterizing the post-event and control coefficient estimates, see DSM Tables 45-55

**Table 45: Influence of El Paso shooting on anti-LGBT attitudes**

Post-Shooting Coef.	SE	p-value	Outcome	Controls?	Bandwidth	N
-0.01	0.02	0.39	LGBT Unfavorability	No	5.00	8348.00
0.00	0.01	0.78	LGBT Unfavorability	No	10.00	16716.00
0.00	0.01	0.99	LGBT Unfavorability	No	15.00	26896.00
-0.01	0.01	0.51	LGBT Unfavorability	No	20.00	34777.00
0.00	0.01	0.73	LGBT Unfavorability	No	25.00	42298.00
0.00	0.01	0.59	LGBT Unfavorability	No	30.00	45902.00
0.00	0.01	0.62	LGBT Unfavorability	No	35.00	49960.00
0.00	0.01	0.94	LGBT Unfavorability	No	40.00	54741.00
0.00	0.01	0.63	LGBT Unfavorability	No	45.00	58844.00
0.00	0.01	0.57	LGBT Unfavorability	No	50.00	62521.00
-0.02	0.02	0.17	LGBT Unfavorability	Yes	5.00	8348.00
-0.00	0.01	0.97	LGBT Unfavorability	Yes	10.00	16716.00
-0.00	0.01	0.69	LGBT Unfavorability	Yes	15.00	26896.00
-0.01	0.01	0.39	LGBT Unfavorability	Yes	20.00	34777.00
-0.00	0.01	0.89	LGBT Unfavorability	Yes	25.00	42298.00
0.00	0.01	0.87	LGBT Unfavorability	Yes	30.00	45902.00
0.00	0.01	0.78	LGBT Unfavorability	Yes	35.00	49960.00
-0.00	0.01	0.84	LGBT Unfavorability	Yes	40.00	54741.00
0.00	0.01	0.83	LGBT Unfavorability	Yes	45.00	58844.00
0.00	0.01	0.80	LGBT Unfavorability	Yes	50.00	62521.00
-0.01	0.03	0.65	No Trans Military	No	5.00	2738.00
-0.01	0.02	0.48	No Trans Military	No	10.00	5428.00
-0.00	0.02	0.93	No Trans Military	No	15.00	8566.00
0.00	0.01	0.81	No Trans Military	No	20.00	11177.00
0.00	0.01	0.97	No Trans Military	No	25.00	13643.00
-0.00	0.01	0.86	No Trans Military	No	30.00	14740.00
-0.00	0.01	0.75	No Trans Military	No	35.00	16023.00
-0.01	0.01	0.62	No Trans Military	No	40.00	17630.00
-0.00	0.01	0.69	No Trans Military	No	45.00	18966.00
-0.00	0.01	0.81	No Trans Military	No	50.00	20161.00
-0.01	0.03	0.76	No Trans Military	Yes	5.00	2738.00
-0.02	0.02	0.33	No Trans Military	Yes	10.00	5428.00
-0.01	0.01	0.70	No Trans Military	Yes	15.00	8566.00
0.00	0.01	0.70	No Trans Military	Yes	20.00	11177.00
-0.00	0.01	0.95	No Trans Military	Yes	25.00	13643.00
-0.00	0.01	0.84	No Trans Military	Yes	30.00	14740.00
-0.00	0.01	0.88	No Trans Military	Yes	35.00	16023.00
-0.00	0.01	0.72	No Trans Military	Yes	40.00	17630.00
-0.00	0.01	0.87	No Trans Military	Yes	45.00	18966.00
-0.00	0.01	1.00	No Trans Military	Yes	50.00	20161.00

**Table 46: Influence of El Paso shooting on anti-LGBT attitudes (Control coefficient estimates, Part 1)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Woman	LGBT Unfav.	-0.07	0.02	5.00	8348
White	LGBT Unfav.	-0.08	0.02	5.00	8348
College	LGBT Unfav.	-0.04	0.02	5.00	8348
Age	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.00	5.00	8348
Evangelical	LGBT Unfav.	0.18	0.02	5.00	8348
Ideology	LGBT Unfav.	-0.08	0.01	5.00	8348
Ideology (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.19	0.18	5.00	8348
Party ID	LGBT Unfav.	-0.02	0.01	5.00	8348
Party ID (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.21	0.23	5.00	8348
Florida	LGBT Unfav.	-0.04	0.03	5.00	8348
California	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.03	5.00	8348
New York	LGBT Unfav.	-0.00	0.03	5.00	8348
Pennsylvania	LGBT Unfav.	0.03	0.03	5.00	8348
Illinois	LGBT Unfav.	-0.01	0.04	5.00	8348
Woman	LGBT Unfav.	-0.08	0.01	10.00	16716
White	LGBT Unfav.	-0.05	0.01	10.00	16716
College	LGBT Unfav.	-0.04	0.01	10.00	16716
Age	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.00	10.00	16716
Evangelical	LGBT Unfav.	0.17	0.01	10.00	16716
Ideology	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.01	10.00	16716
Ideology (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.04	0.18	10.00	16716
Party ID	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.00	10.00	16716
Party ID (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	0.25	0.35	10.00	16716
Florida	LGBT Unfav.	-0.02	0.02	10.00	16716
California	LGBT Unfav.	0.01	0.02	10.00	16716
New York	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.02	10.00	16716
Pennsylvania	LGBT Unfav.	-0.00	0.02	10.00	16716
Illinois	LGBT Unfav.	0.01	0.03	10.00	16716
Woman	LGBT Unfav.	-0.07	0.01	15.00	26896
White	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.01	15.00	26896
College	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.01	15.00	26896

**Table 47: Influence of El Paso shooting on anti-LGBT attitudes (Control coefficient estimates, Part 2)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
College	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.01	15.00	26896
Age	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.00	15.00	26896
Evangelical	LGBT Unfav.	0.19	0.01	15.00	26896
Ideology	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.01	15.00	26896
Ideology (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.11	0.14	15.00	26896
Party ID	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.00	15.00	26896
Party ID (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	0.18	0.32	15.00	26896
Florida	LGBT Unfav.	-0.04	0.02	15.00	26896
California	LGBT Unfav.	-0.01	0.01	15.00	26896
New York	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.02	15.00	26896
Pennsylvania	LGBT Unfav.	-0.01	0.02	15.00	26896
Illinois	LGBT Unfav.	0.01	0.02	15.00	26896
Woman	LGBT Unfav.	-0.07	0.01	20.00	34777
White	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.01	20.00	34777
College	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.01	20.00	34777
Age	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.00	20.00	34777
Evangelical	LGBT Unfav.	0.19	0.01	20.00	34777
Ideology	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.00	20.00	34777
Ideology (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.11	0.12	20.00	34777
Party ID	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.00	20.00	34777
Party ID (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.14	0.23	20.00	34777
Florida	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.01	20.00	34777
California	LGBT Unfav.	-0.01	0.01	20.00	34777
New York	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.02	20.00	34777
Pennsylvania	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.02	20.00	34777
Illinois	LGBT Unfav.	0.01	0.02	20.00	34777
Woman	LGBT Unfav.	-0.07	0.01	25.00	42298
White	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.01	25.00	42298
College	LGBT Unfav.	-0.02	0.01	25.00	42298
Age	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.00	25.00	42298
Evangelical	LGBT Unfav.	0.19	0.01	25.00	42298

**Table 48: Influence of El Paso shooting on anti-LGBT attitudes (Control coefficient estimates, Part 3)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Evangelical	LGBT Unfav.	0.19	0.01	25.00	42298
Ideology	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.00	25.00	42298
Ideology (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.14	0.10	25.00	42298
Party ID	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.00	25.00	42298
Party ID (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.08	0.18	25.00	42298
Florida	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.01	25.00	42298
California	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.01	25.00	42298
New York	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.01	25.00	42298
Pennsylvania	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.02	25.00	42298
Illinois	LGBT Unfav.	0.01	0.02	25.00	42298
Woman	LGBT Unfav.	-0.07	0.01	30.00	45902
White	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.01	30.00	45902
College	LGBT Unfav.	-0.02	0.01	30.00	45902
Age	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.00	30.00	45902
Evangelical	LGBT Unfav.	0.19	0.01	30.00	45902
Ideology	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.00	30.00	45902
Ideology (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.14	0.10	30.00	45902
Party ID	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.00	30.00	45902
Party ID (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.12	0.16	30.00	45902
Florida	LGBT Unfav.	-0.04	0.01	30.00	45902
California	LGBT Unfav.	0.01	0.01	30.00	45902
New York	LGBT Unfav.	-0.00	0.01	30.00	45902
Pennsylvania	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.02	30.00	45902
Illinois	LGBT Unfav.	0.01	0.02	30.00	45902
Woman	LGBT Unfav.	-0.07	0.01	35.00	49960
White	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.01	35.00	49960
College	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.01	35.00	49960
Age	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.00	35.00	49960
Evangelical	LGBT Unfav.	0.19	0.01	35.00	49960
Ideology	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.00	35.00	49960
Ideology (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.13	0.09	35.00	49960



**Table 49: Influence of El Paso shooting on anti-LGBT attitudes (Control coefficient estimates, Part 4)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Ideology (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.13	0.09	35.00	49960
Party ID	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.00	35.00	49960
Party ID (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.13	0.15	35.00	49960
Florida	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.01	35.00	49960
California	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.01	35.00	49960
New York	LGBT Unfav.	-0.00	0.01	35.00	49960
Pennsylvania	LGBT Unfav.	-0.00	0.01	35.00	49960
Illinois	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.02	35.00	49960
Woman	LGBT Unfav.	-0.07	0.01	40.00	54741
White	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.01	40.00	54741
College	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.01	40.00	54741
Age	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.00	40.00	54741
Evangelical	LGBT Unfav.	0.18	0.01	40.00	54741
Ideology	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.00	40.00	54741
Ideology (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.14	0.08	40.00	54741
Party ID	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.00	40.00	54741
Party ID (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.13	0.14	40.00	54741
Florida	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.01	40.00	54741
California	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.01	40.00	54741
New York	LGBT Unfav.	-0.00	0.01	40.00	54741
Pennsylvania	LGBT Unfav.	-0.00	0.01	40.00	54741
Illinois	LGBT Unfav.	0.01	0.02	40.00	54741
Woman	LGBT Unfav.	-0.08	0.01	45.00	58844
White	LGBT Unfav.	-0.05	0.01	45.00	58844
College	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.01	45.00	58844
Age	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.00	45.00	58844
Evangelical	LGBT Unfav.	0.19	0.01	45.00	58844
Ideology	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.00	45.00	58844
Ideology (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.13	0.08	45.00	58844
Party ID	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.00	45.00	58844
Party ID (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.10	0.14	45.00	58844

**Table 50: Influence of El Paso shooting on anti-LGBT attitudes (Control coefficient estimates, Part 5)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Party ID (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.10	0.14	45.00	58844
Florida	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.01	45.00	58844
California	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.01	45.00	58844
New York	LGBT Unfav.	-0.00	0.01	45.00	58844
Pennsylvania	LGBT Unfav.	-0.00	0.01	45.00	58844
Illinois	LGBT Unfav.	0.01	0.02	45.00	58844
Woman	LGBT Unfav.	-0.07	0.01	50.00	62521
White	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.01	50.00	62521
College	LGBT Unfav.	-0.02	0.01	50.00	62521
Age	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.00	50.00	62521
Evangelical	LGBT Unfav.	0.18	0.01	50.00	62521
Ideology	LGBT Unfav.	-0.06	0.00	50.00	62521
Ideology (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.11	0.08	50.00	62521
Party ID	LGBT Unfav.	-0.03	0.00	50.00	62521
Party ID (Miss.)	LGBT Unfav.	-0.12	0.14	50.00	62521
Florida	LGBT Unfav.	-0.02	0.01	50.00	62521
California	LGBT Unfav.	0.00	0.01	50.00	62521
New York	LGBT Unfav.	-0.00	0.01	50.00	62521
Pennsylvania	LGBT Unfav.	-0.01	0.01	50.00	62521
Illinois	LGBT Unfav.	0.01	0.01	50.00	62521
Woman	No Trans Military	-0.09	0.02	5.00	2738
White	No Trans Military	0.05	0.03	5.00	2738
College	No Trans Military	0.02	0.03	5.00	2738
Age	No Trans Military	0.00	0.00	5.00	2738
Evangelical	No Trans Military	0.13	0.03	5.00	2738
Ideology	No Trans Military	-0.07	0.02	5.00	2738
Ideology (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.45	0.06	5.00	2738
Party ID	No Trans Military	-0.04	0.01	5.00	2738
Party ID (Miss.)	No Trans Military	0.18	0.45	5.00	2738
Florida	No Trans Military	0.06	0.06	5.00	2738
California	No Trans Military	0.01	0.04	5.00	2738

**Table 51: Influence of El Paso shooting on anti-LGBT attitudes (Control coefficient estimates, Part 6)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
California	No Trans Military	0.01	0.04	5.00	2738
New York	No Trans Military	0.03	0.06	5.00	2738
Pennsylvania	No Trans Military	0.04	0.06	5.00	2738
Illinois	No Trans Military	0.07	0.07	5.00	2738
Woman	No Trans Military	-0.09	0.02	10.00	5428
White	No Trans Military	0.03	0.02	10.00	5428
College	No Trans Military	0.02	0.02	10.00	5428
Age	No Trans Military	0.00	0.00	10.00	5428
Evangelical	No Trans Military	0.11	0.02	10.00	5428
Ideology	No Trans Military	-0.07	0.01	10.00	5428
Ideology (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.28	0.25	10.00	5428
Party ID	No Trans Military	-0.05	0.01	10.00	5428
Party ID (Miss.)	No Trans Military	0.08	0.33	10.00	5428
Florida	No Trans Military	0.05	0.04	10.00	5428
California	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.03	10.00	5428
New York	No Trans Military	0.05	0.04	10.00	5428
Pennsylvania	No Trans Military	0.02	0.04	10.00	5428
Illinois	No Trans Military	0.04	0.04	10.00	5428
Woman	No Trans Military	-0.09	0.01	15.00	8566
White	No Trans Military	0.01	0.02	15.00	8566
College	No Trans Military	0.01	0.02	15.00	8566
Age	No Trans Military	0.00	0.00	15.00	8566
Evangelical	No Trans Military	0.11	0.02	15.00	8566
Ideology	No Trans Military	-0.07	0.01	15.00	8566
Ideology (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.04	0.27	15.00	8566
Party ID	No Trans Military	-0.05	0.00	15.00	8566
Party ID (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.02	0.37	15.00	8566
Florida	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.03	15.00	8566
California	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.02	15.00	8566
New York	No Trans Military	0.02	0.03	15.00	8566
Pennsylvania	No Trans Military	0.01	0.04	15.00	8566

**Table 52: Influence of El Paso shooting on anti-LGBT attitudes (Control coefficient estimates, Part 7)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Pennsylvania	No Trans Military	0.01	0.04	15.00	8566
Illinois	No Trans Military	-0.02	0.03	15.00	8566
Woman	No Trans Military	-0.08	0.01	20.00	11177
White	No Trans Military	0.01	0.02	20.00	11177
College	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.01	20.00	11177
Age	No Trans Military	0.00	0.00	20.00	11177
Evangelical	No Trans Military	0.12	0.02	20.00	11177
Ideology	No Trans Military	-0.07	0.01	20.00	11177
Ideology (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.04	0.26	20.00	11177
Party ID	No Trans Military	-0.05	0.00	20.00	11177
Party ID (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.38	20.00	11177
Florida	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.03	20.00	11177
California	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.02	20.00	11177
New York	No Trans Military	0.01	0.03	20.00	11177
Pennsylvania	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.03	20.00	11177
Illinois	No Trans Military	-0.02	0.03	20.00	11177
Woman	No Trans Military	-0.08	0.01	25.00	13643
White	No Trans Military	0.01	0.01	25.00	13643
College	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.01	25.00	13643
Age	No Trans Military	0.00	0.00	25.00	13643
Evangelical	No Trans Military	0.12	0.02	25.00	13643
Ideology	No Trans Military	-0.07	0.01	25.00	13643
Ideology (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.16	0.21	25.00	13643
Party ID	No Trans Military	-0.04	0.00	25.00	13643
Party ID (Miss.)	No Trans Military	0.20	0.29	25.00	13643
Florida	No Trans Military	-0.02	0.02	25.00	13643
California	No Trans Military	0.00	0.02	25.00	13643
New York	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.03	25.00	13643
Pennsylvania	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.03	25.00	13643
Illinois	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.03	25.00	13643
Woman	No Trans Military	-0.08	0.01	30.00	14740

**Table 53: Influence of El Paso shooting on anti-LGBT attitudes (Control coefficient estimates, Part 8)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Woman	No Trans Military	-0.08	0.01	30.00	14740
White	No Trans Military	0.01	0.01	30.00	14740
College	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.01	30.00	14740
Age	No Trans Military	0.00	0.00	30.00	14740
Evangelical	No Trans Military	0.12	0.01	30.00	14740
Ideology	No Trans Military	-0.07	0.01	30.00	14740
Ideology (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.14	0.20	30.00	14740
Party ID	No Trans Military	-0.04	0.00	30.00	14740
Party ID (Miss.)	No Trans Military	0.08	0.28	30.00	14740
Florida	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.02	30.00	14740
California	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.02	30.00	14740
New York	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.02	30.00	14740
Pennsylvania	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.03	30.00	14740
Illinois	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.03	30.00	14740
Woman	No Trans Military	-0.08	0.01	35.00	16023
White	No Trans Military	0.01	0.01	35.00	16023
College	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.01	35.00	16023
Age	No Trans Military	0.00	0.00	35.00	16023
Evangelical	No Trans Military	0.13	0.01	35.00	16023
Ideology	No Trans Military	-0.07	0.01	35.00	16023
Ideology (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.13	0.20	35.00	16023
Party ID	No Trans Military	-0.04	0.00	35.00	16023
Party ID (Miss.)	No Trans Military	0.04	0.25	35.00	16023
Florida	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.02	35.00	16023
California	No Trans Military	0.01	0.02	35.00	16023
New York	No Trans Military	0.00	0.02	35.00	16023
Pennsylvania	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.03	35.00	16023
Illinois	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.03	35.00	16023
Woman	No Trans Military	-0.09	0.01	40.00	17630
White	No Trans Military	0.01	0.01	40.00	17630
College	No Trans Military	0.01	0.01	40.00	17630


**Table 54: Influence of El Paso shooting on anti-LGBT attitudes (Control coefficient estimates, Part 9)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
College	No Trans Military	0.01	0.01	40.00	17630
Age	No Trans Military	0.00	0.00	40.00	17630
Evangelical	No Trans Military	0.13	0.01	40.00	17630
Ideology	No Trans Military	-0.06	0.01	40.00	17630
Ideology (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.11	0.19	40.00	17630
Party ID	No Trans Military	-0.04	0.00	40.00	17630
Party ID (Miss.)	No Trans Military	0.04	0.25	40.00	17630
Florida	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.02	40.00	17630
California	No Trans Military	0.01	0.02	40.00	17630
New York	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.02	40.00	17630
Pennsylvania	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.02	40.00	17630
Illinois	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.02	40.00	17630
Woman	No Trans Military	-0.09	0.01	45.00	18966
White	No Trans Military	0.01	0.01	45.00	18966
College	No Trans Military	0.00	0.01	45.00	18966
Age	No Trans Military	0.00	0.00	45.00	18966
Evangelical	No Trans Military	0.14	0.01	45.00	18966
Ideology	No Trans Military	-0.06	0.01	45.00	18966
Ideology (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.12	0.19	45.00	18966
Party ID	No Trans Military	-0.04	0.00	45.00	18966
Party ID (Miss.)	No Trans Military	0.05	0.25	45.00	18966
Florida	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.02	45.00	18966
California	No Trans Military	0.01	0.02	45.00	18966
New York	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.02	45.00	18966
Pennsylvania	No Trans Military	-0.01	0.02	45.00	18966
Illinois	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.02	45.00	18966
Woman	No Trans Military	-0.09	0.01	50.00	20161
White	No Trans Military	0.00	0.01	50.00	20161
College	No Trans Military	0.00	0.01	50.00	20161
Age	No Trans Military	0.00	0.00	50.00	20161
Evangelical	No Trans Military	0.13	0.01	50.00	20161

**Table 55: Influence of El Paso shooting on anti-LGBT attitudes (Control coefficient estimates, Part 10)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SEs	Bandwidth	N
Evangelical	No Trans Military	0.13	0.01	50.00	20161
Ideology	No Trans Military	-0.06	0.01	50.00	20161
Ideology (Miss.)	No Trans Military	-0.11	0.19	50.00	20161
Party ID	No Trans Military	-0.04	0.00	50.00	20161
Party ID (Miss.)	No Trans Military	0.04	0.25	50.00	20161
Florida	No Trans Military	-0.00	0.02	50.00	20161
California	No Trans Military	0.01	0.02	50.00	20161
New York	No Trans Military	-0.02	0.02	50.00	20161
Pennsylvania	No Trans Military	0.00	0.02	50.00	20161
Illinois	No Trans Military	0.00	0.02	50.00	20161

## 1.8.5 Muslim Ban Falsification



**Figure 17: Influence of Pulse Massacre on Support for Muslim Ban.** Panel A characterizes covariate balance between the ABC News May 2016 and ABC News June 2016 surveys. Panel B characterizes the influence of being interviewed in the June 2016 survey on support for the Muslim Ban with and without control covariates (i.e. the balance covariates). All covariates rescaled between 0-1. 95% CIs displayed from HC2 robust standard errors. All estimates use survey population weights. See DSM Table 56 for regression coefficients characterizing Panel B.

**Details:** To assess the influence of Pulse on attitudes toward the Muslim Ban, we stacked two different ABC News Telephone Polls fielded shortly before and after the Pulse massacre. The first ABC survey was fielded between May 16-19, 2016 ( $N = 1005$ ), less than one month before the massacre. The second ABC survey was fielded between June 20-23, 2016 ( $N = 1001$ ), just a week after the massacre. The outcome of interest is support for the Muslim Ban. The two surveys ask respondents if they “would support or oppose a temporary ban on Muslims who are not U.S. citizens from entering the United States?” The outcome is coded 1 if the respondent indicates “support, strongly” or “support, somewhat,” 0 if the respondent indicates “oppose, somewhat” or “oppose, strongly.” We assess the effect of being interviewed *post-Pulse* relative to pre-Pulse. If respondents are inclined to restrict the rights of Muslims *post-Pulse*, then the *post-Pulse* coefficient with respect to the Muslim Ban outcome would be positive. We also adjust for a number of covariates (age, woman, white, college education, income, liberal ideology, Democrat, and state-level indicators for Texas, California, New York, Florida, and Pennsylvania), that we also assess balance for, suggesting that respondents interviewed before and after Pulse in the ABC polls are compositionally similar (Figure 17, Panel A). We do not find evidence respondents interviewed after Pulse are more likely to support the Muslim Ban (Figure 17, Panel B). With or without covariate adjustment, the post-Pulse coefficients being either 0 or near-zero, and statistically insignificant.


**Table 56: Influence of Pulse Massacre on Support for Muslim Ban**

	Muslim Ban Support	
	(1)	(2)
Post-Pulse	-0.00 (0.03)	-0.00 (0.02)
Age		0.14*** (0.03)
Woman		0.02 (0.02)
White		0.14*** (0.03)
College		-0.20*** (0.02)
Income		0.00 (0.03)
Liberal		-0.15*** (0.03)
Democrat		-0.16*** (0.03)
Texas		0.04 (0.05)
California		0.02 (0.04)
New York		-0.13** (0.04)
Florida		0.03 (0.05)
Pennsylvania		0.08 (0.06)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.00	0.14
Num. obs.	1988	1988

\*\*\* $p < 0.001$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$




### 1.8.6 Muslim Hate Crime Falsification



**Figure 18: Discontinuous Effect of Pulse Massacre on Anti-Muslim/Anti-Arab Hate Crimes.** Panel A characterizes daily anti-Muslim/Arab hate crimes over time in 2016. Solid lines are loess models fit to each side of the moment the Pulse massacre occurs. The dashed vertical line characterizes the moment the Pulse massacre occurs. Panel B characterizes regression discontinuity-in-time (RDiT) coefficient estimates (y-axis) of the effect of the Pulse massacre on anti-Muslim/Arab hate crimes across kernel/polynomial specifications (x-axis). Bandwidth selection is data-driven, mean-squared optimal (see Calonico et al. (2015)). 95% CIs displayed derived from robust SEs.

### 1.8.7 Latino Old-Fashioned Ethno-Racism: Effects of Pulse



**Figure 19: Effect of Pulse on Old Fashioned Ethno-Racism (GSS '16).** The x-axis is the bandwidth sample at use (in days), the y-axis is the *post-Pulse* coefficient. The outcome for Panel A is a binary indicator if the respondent indicates they favor intermarriage with a Latino for a familial relative, the outcome for Panel B is a binary indicator if the respondent indicates they oppose intermarriage with a Latino for a familial relative. Black coefficients are from models adjusting for age, gender, race, college-education, income, partisanship, and ideology, grey otherwise. Data is from the 2016 General Social Survey. See Tables 57-64 95% CIs displayed derived from robust SEs.

**Table 57: Influence of Pulse massacre on old-fashioned racist beliefs toward Latinos**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	N	Outcomes	Controls	Bandwidth
0.06	0.09	0.53	131.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	No	5
0.05	0.06	0.46	275.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	No	10
0.04	0.05	0.43	393.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	No	15
0.03	0.05	0.58	488.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	No	20
0.02	0.04	0.63	582.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	No	25
0.05	0.04	0.27	694.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	No	30
0.07	0.04	0.08	793.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	No	35
0.05	0.04	0.18	885.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	No	40
0.03	0.03	0.38	988.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	No	45
0.03	0.03	0.30	1091.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	No	50
0.03	0.02	0.25	1888.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	No	Full
0.02	0.09	0.80	131.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	5
0.04	0.06	0.53	275.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	10
0.04	0.05	0.49	393.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	15
0.03	0.05	0.57	488.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	20
0.02	0.04	0.68	582.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	25
0.04	0.04	0.37	694.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	30
0.06	0.04	0.15	793.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	35
0.04	0.04	0.29	885.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	40
0.02	0.03	0.58	988.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	45
0.02	0.03	0.47	1091.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	50
0.03	0.02	0.20	1888.00	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	Full
-0.00	0.04	0.89	131.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	No	5
0.03	0.04	0.40	275.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	No	10
-0.02	0.04	0.57	393.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	No	15
-0.02	0.03	0.59	488.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	No	20
-0.02	0.03	0.48	582.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	No	25
-0.01	0.03	0.56	694.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	No	30
-0.01	0.02	0.67	793.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	No	35
-0.01	0.02	0.75	885.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	No	40
-0.01	0.02	0.50	988.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	No	45
-0.01	0.02	0.69	1091.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	No	50
-0.02	0.01	0.21	1888.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	No	Full
-0.01	0.04	0.85	131.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	5
0.03	0.04	0.46	275.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	10
-0.02	0.04	0.59	393.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	15
-0.01	0.03	0.71	488.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	20
-0.01	0.03	0.69	582.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	25
-0.01	0.03	0.80	694.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	30
-0.00	0.02	0.85	793.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	35
-0.00	0.02	0.88	885.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	40
-0.01	0.02	0.63	988.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	45
-0.00	0.02	0.93	1091.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	50
-0.01	0.01	0.40	1888.00	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	Yes	Full

**Table 58: Influence of Pulse massacre on old-fashioned racist beliefs toward Latinos (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 1)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SE	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.02	0.03	0.64	5.00	131
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.06	0.03	0.07	5.00	131
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.10	0.08	0.19	5.00	131
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.03	0.04	0.40	5.00	131
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.08	0.65	5.00	131
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.04	0.05	0.46	5.00	131
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.04	0.36	5.00	131
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.02	0.04	0.72	10.00	275
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.05	0.05	0.34	10.00	275
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.18	0.09	0.04	10.00	275
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.09	0.04	0.01	10.00	275
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.06	0.05	0.23	10.00	275
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.01	0.06	0.92	10.00	275
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.07	0.03	0.05	10.00	275
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.03	0.04	0.48	15.00	393
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.09	0.04	0.04	15.00	393
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.15	0.09	0.08	15.00	393
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.11	0.03	0.00	15.00	393
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.06	0.04	0.16	15.00	393
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.01	0.05	0.85	15.00	393
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.06	0.03	0.07	15.00	393
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.02	0.03	0.63	20.00	488
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.10	0.04	0.01	20.00	488
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.10	0.08	0.20	20.00	488
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.10	0.03	0.00	20.00	488
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.03	0.04	0.41	20.00	488
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.01	0.05	0.81	20.00	488
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.06	0.03	0.04	20.00	488
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.02	0.03	0.48	25.00	582
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.10	0.03	0.00	25.00	582
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.15	0.07	0.05	25.00	582
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.10	0.02	0.00	25.00	582
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.00	0.04	0.97	25.00	582
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.01	0.04	0.86	25.00	582
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.07	0.03	0.01	25.00	582
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.01	0.02	0.66	30.00	694
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.03	0.01	30.00	694
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.15	0.07	0.02	30.00	694
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.10	0.02	0.00	30.00	694
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.01	0.03	0.71	30.00	694

**Table 59: Influence of Pulse massacre on old-fashioned racist beliefs toward Latinos (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 2)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SE	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Democrat	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.00	0.03	0.99	30.00	694
Liberal	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.04	0.02	0.08	30.00	694
Woman	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.01	0.02	0.65	35.00	793
White	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.07	0.02	0.01	35.00	793
Age	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.13	0.06	0.03	35.00	793
College	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.08	0.02	0.00	35.00	793
Income	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.01	0.03	0.64	35.00	793
Democrat	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.00	0.03	0.90	35.00	793
Liberal	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.05	0.02	0.03	35.00	793
Woman	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.01	0.02	0.62	40.00	885
White	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.06	0.02	0.01	40.00	885
Age	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.16	0.05	0.00	40.00	885
College	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.08	0.02	0.00	40.00	885
Income	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.01	0.03	0.81	40.00	885
Democrat	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.01	0.03	0.69	40.00	885
Liberal	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.05	0.02	0.01	40.00	885
Woman	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.01	0.02	0.56	45.00	988
White	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.06	0.02	0.01	45.00	988
Age	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.16	0.05	0.00	45.00	988
College	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.08	0.02	0.00	45.00	988
Income	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.01	0.02	0.81	45.00	988
Democrat	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.02	0.03	0.56	45.00	988
Liberal	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.03	0.02	0.14	45.00	988
Woman	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.01	0.02	0.43	50.00	1091
White	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.05	0.02	0.01	50.00	1091
Age	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.15	0.05	0.00	50.00	1091
College	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.07	0.02	0.00	50.00	1091
Income	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.00	0.02	0.88	50.00	1091
Democrat	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.02	0.03	0.34	50.00	1091
Liberal	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.03	0.02	0.19	50.00	1091
Woman	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.03	0.01	0.03	200.00	1888
White	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.05	0.01	0.00	200.00	1888
Age	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	0.19	0.04	0.00	200.00	1888
College	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.07	0.01	0.00	200.00	1888
Income	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.01	0.02	0.55	200.00	1888
Democrat	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.02	0.02	0.21	200.00	1888
Liberal	Oppose Latino Inter-marriage	-0.01	0.02	0.55	200.00	1888
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.05	0.09	0.57	5.00	131
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.31	0.11	0.01	5.00	131
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.60	0.24	0.01	5.00	131

**Table 60: Influence of Pulse massacre on old-fashioned racist beliefs toward Latinos (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 3)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SE	p-value	Bandwidth	N
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.10	0.10	0.29	5.00	131
Income	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.04	0.15	0.81	5.00	131
Democrat	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.19	0.10	0.07	5.00	131
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.03	0.10	0.79	5.00	131
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.07	0.06	0.30	10.00	275
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.12	0.07	0.09	10.00	275
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.25	0.17	0.14	10.00	275
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.06	0.07	0.37	10.00	275
Income	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.11	0.09	0.20	10.00	275
Democrat	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.07	0.08	0.39	10.00	275
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.03	0.08	0.72	10.00	275
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.03	0.05	0.62	15.00	393
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.10	0.06	0.09	15.00	393
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.18	0.14	0.21	15.00	393
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.07	0.06	0.22	15.00	393
Income	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.07	0.07	0.35	15.00	393
Democrat	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.06	0.07	0.39	15.00	393
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.00	0.06	0.99	15.00	393
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.05	0.05	0.34	20.00	488
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.18	0.05	0.00	20.00	488
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.22	0.13	0.08	20.00	488
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.08	0.05	0.13	20.00	488
Income	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.10	0.06	0.13	20.00	488
Democrat	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.06	0.06	0.34	20.00	488
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.00	0.06	0.99	20.00	488
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.07	0.04	0.13	25.00	582
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.17	0.05	0.00	25.00	582
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.20	0.11	0.08	25.00	582
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.04	0.05	0.41	25.00	582
Income	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.08	0.06	0.16	25.00	582
Democrat	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.03	0.05	0.57	25.00	582
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.00	0.05	0.98	25.00	582
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.06	0.04	0.14	30.00	694
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.16	0.05	0.00	30.00	694
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.24	0.10	0.02	30.00	694
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.03	0.04	0.46	30.00	694
Income	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.08	0.05	0.14	30.00	694
Democrat	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.01	0.05	0.85	30.00	694
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.00	0.05	0.99	30.00	694
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.08	0.04	0.02	35.00	793

**Table 61: Influence of Pulse massacre on old-fashioned racist beliefs toward Latinos (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 4)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SE	p-value	Bandwidth	N
White	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.12	0.04	0.01	35.00	793
Age	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.24	0.09	0.01	35.00	793
College	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.03	0.04	0.47	35.00	793
Income	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.08	0.05	0.11	35.00	793
Democrat	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.00	0.04	0.95	35.00	793
Liberal	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.01	0.04	0.89	35.00	793
Woman	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.04	0.06	40.00	885
White	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.11	0.04	0.01	40.00	885
Age	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.15	0.09	0.08	40.00	885
College	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.02	0.04	0.52	40.00	885
Income	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.05	0.16	40.00	885
Democrat	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.00	0.04	0.95	40.00	885
Liberal	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.02	0.04	0.71	40.00	885
Woman	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.04	0.03	0.22	45.00	988
White	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.10	0.04	0.01	45.00	988
Age	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.19	0.08	0.02	45.00	988
College	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.04	0.21	45.00	988
Income	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.04	0.13	45.00	988
Democrat	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.02	0.04	0.61	45.00	988
Liberal	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.02	0.04	0.59	45.00	988
Woman	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.04	0.03	0.15	50.00	1091
White	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.10	0.04	0.01	50.00	1091
Age	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.19	0.08	0.02	50.00	1091
College	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.03	0.27	50.00	1091
Income	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.04	0.08	50.00	1091
Democrat	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.02	0.04	0.54	50.00	1091
Liberal	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.00	0.04	0.93	50.00	1091
Woman	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.06	0.02	0.01	200.00	1888
White	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.13	0.03	0.00	200.00	1888
Age	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.21	0.06	0.00	200.00	1888
College	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.05	0.03	0.03	200.00	1888
Income	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.03	0.02	200.00	1888
Democrat	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.03	0.02	200.00	1888
Liberal	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.03	0.19	200.00	1888
Woman	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.05	0.09	0.57	5.00	131
White	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.31	0.11	0.01	5.00	131
Age	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.60	0.24	0.01	5.00	131
College	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.10	0.10	0.29	5.00	131
Income	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.15	0.81	5.00	131
Democrat	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.19	0.10	0.07	5.00	131

**Table 62: Influence of Pulse massacre on old-fashioned racist beliefs toward Latinos (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 5)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SE	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.03	0.10	0.79	5.00	131
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.07	0.06	0.30	10.00	275
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.12	0.07	0.09	10.00	275
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.25	0.17	0.14	10.00	275
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.06	0.07	0.37	10.00	275
Income	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.11	0.09	0.20	10.00	275
Democrat	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.07	0.08	0.39	10.00	275
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.03	0.08	0.72	10.00	275
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.03	0.05	0.62	15.00	393
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.10	0.06	0.09	15.00	393
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.18	0.14	0.21	15.00	393
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.07	0.06	0.22	15.00	393
Income	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.07	0.07	0.35	15.00	393
Democrat	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.06	0.07	0.39	15.00	393
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.00	0.06	0.99	15.00	393
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.05	0.05	0.34	20.00	488
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.18	0.05	0.00	20.00	488
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.22	0.13	0.08	20.00	488
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.08	0.05	0.13	20.00	488
Income	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.10	0.06	0.13	20.00	488
Democrat	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.06	0.06	0.34	20.00	488
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.00	0.06	0.99	20.00	488
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.07	0.04	0.13	25.00	582
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.17	0.05	0.00	25.00	582
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.20	0.11	0.08	25.00	582
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.04	0.05	0.41	25.00	582
Income	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.08	0.06	0.16	25.00	582
Democrat	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.03	0.05	0.57	25.00	582
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.00	0.05	0.98	25.00	582
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.06	0.04	0.14	30.00	694
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.16	0.05	0.00	30.00	694
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.24	0.10	0.02	30.00	694
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.03	0.04	0.46	30.00	694
Income	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.08	0.05	0.14	30.00	694
Democrat	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.01	0.05	0.85	30.00	694
Liberal	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.00	0.05	0.99	30.00	694
Woman	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.08	0.04	0.02	35.00	793
White	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.12	0.04	0.01	35.00	793
Age	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	0.24	0.09	0.01	35.00	793
College	Favor Latino Inter-marriage	-0.03	0.04	0.47	35.00	793



**Table 63: Influence of Pulse massacre on old-fashioned racist beliefs toward Latinos (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 6)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SE	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Income	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.08	0.05	0.11	35.00	793
Democrat	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.00	0.04	0.95	35.00	793
Liberal	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.01	0.04	0.89	35.00	793
Woman	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.04	0.06	40.00	885
White	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.11	0.04	0.01	40.00	885
Age	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.15	0.09	0.08	40.00	885
College	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.02	0.04	0.52	40.00	885
Income	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.05	0.16	40.00	885
Democrat	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.00	0.04	0.95	40.00	885
Liberal	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.02	0.04	0.71	40.00	885
Woman	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.04	0.03	0.22	45.00	988
White	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.10	0.04	0.01	45.00	988
Age	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.19	0.08	0.02	45.00	988
College	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.04	0.21	45.00	988
Income	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.04	0.13	45.00	988
Democrat	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.02	0.04	0.61	45.00	988
Liberal	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.02	0.04	0.59	45.00	988
Woman	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.04	0.03	0.15	50.00	1091
White	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.10	0.04	0.01	50.00	1091
Age	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.19	0.08	0.02	50.00	1091
College	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.03	0.27	50.00	1091
Income	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.04	0.08	50.00	1091
Democrat	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.02	0.04	0.54	50.00	1091
Liberal	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.00	0.04	0.93	50.00	1091
Woman	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.06	0.02	0.01	200.00	1888
White	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.13	0.03	0.00	200.00	1888
Age	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.21	0.06	0.00	200.00	1888
College	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.05	0.03	0.03	200.00	1888
Income	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.03	0.02	200.00	1888
Democrat	Favor Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.03	0.02	200.00	1888
Liberal	Favor Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.03	0.19	200.00	1888
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.02	0.03	0.64	5.00	131
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.06	0.03	0.07	5.00	131
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.10	0.08	0.19	5.00	131
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.03	0.04	0.40	5.00	131
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.08	0.65	5.00	131
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.04	0.05	0.46	5.00	131
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.04	0.36	5.00	131
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.02	0.04	0.72	10.00	275
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.05	0.05	0.34	10.00	275

**Table 64: Influence of Pulse massacre on old-fashioned racist beliefs toward Latinos (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 7)**

Control Covariate	Outcome	Coef.	SE	p-value	Bandwidth	N
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.18	0.09	0.04	10.00	275
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.09	0.04	0.01	10.00	275
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.06	0.05	0.23	10.00	275
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.01	0.06	0.92	10.00	275
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.07	0.03	0.05	10.00	275
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.03	0.04	0.48	15.00	393
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.09	0.04	0.04	15.00	393
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.15	0.09	0.08	15.00	393
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.11	0.03	0.00	15.00	393
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.06	0.04	0.16	15.00	393
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.01	0.05	0.85	15.00	393
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.06	0.03	0.07	15.00	393
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.02	0.03	0.63	20.00	488
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.10	0.04	0.01	20.00	488
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.10	0.08	0.20	20.00	488
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.10	0.03	0.00	20.00	488
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.03	0.04	0.41	20.00	488
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.01	0.05	0.81	20.00	488
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.06	0.03	0.04	20.00	488
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.02	0.03	0.48	25.00	582
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.10	0.03	0.00	25.00	582
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.15	0.07	0.05	25.00	582
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.10	0.02	0.00	25.00	582
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.00	0.04	0.97	25.00	582
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.01	0.04	0.86	25.00	582
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.07	0.03	0.01	25.00	582
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.01	0.02	0.66	30.00	694
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.03	0.01	30.00	694
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.15	0.07	0.02	30.00	694
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.10	0.02	0.00	30.00	694
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.01	0.03	0.71	30.00	694
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.00	0.03	0.99	30.00	694
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.04	0.02	0.08	30.00	694
Woman	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.01	0.02	0.65	35.00	793
White	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.07	0.02	0.01	35.00	793
Age	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.13	0.06	0.03	35.00	793
College	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.08	0.02	0.00	35.00	793
Income	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.01	0.03	0.64	35.00	793
Democrat	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	0.00	0.03	0.90	35.00	793
Liberal	Oppose Latino Intermarriage	-0.05	0.02	0.03	35.00	793

## 1.9 Balance Tests After Removing Days After Pulse Event

**Table 65: Covariate Balance Tests After Cutting Days Immediately After Pulse Massacre**

Days Cut	# Imbalanced Covariates (out of 20)	Imbalanced Covariates
1	2/20	Age, College
2	1/20	Age
3	2/20	Age, Child
4	1/20	Age
5	2/20	Age, Child
6	1/20	Age
7	2/20	Age, College
8	2/20	Age, College
9	3/20	Age, Married, College
10	3/20	Age, Child, College
11	3/20	Age, Child, College
12	2/20	Age, College
13	3/20	Age, Child, College
14	1/20	Age
15	2/20	Age, Child
16	1/20	Age
17	2/20	Age, Metro
18	3/20	Age, Married, Metro
19	2/20	Age, Married
20	2/20	Union, Internet Access
21	1/20	Internet Access
22	1/20	Internet Access
23	3/20	Married, Internet Access, Florida
24	5/20	Non-religious, College, Internet Access, Florida, California

## 2 Study 1: TAPS

### 2.1 Outcome Measurement

To measure support for same-sex marriage, we use an item in the June 2016 TAPS survey asking respondents if “you generally support or oppose same-sex marriage.” with options to choose: 1) Support; 2) Oppose; and 3) No opinion.

### 2.2 Baseline Covariate Measurement

**Age** is a 4 category index from 0-3 characterizing respondents aged 18-29, 30-44, 45-59, 60+. Scaled between 0-1.

**White** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent indicates that “white” is a race they currently identify as.

**Woman** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent indicates they are “female” in response to a question asking if they are female or male.

**Child** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent indicates they have children in response to an item asking if they have biological or adopted children.

**Non-religious** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent indicates they are “not religious” in response to an item asking if they consider themselves Christian, Jewish, Muslim, Buddhist, Hindu, or another religion.

**Married** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent did not indicate they were divorced, widowed, separated from their partner, or never married.

**Income** is a 0-5 scale of the respondents self-reported household income from < \$10,000, \$10-29,999, \$30-49,999, \$50-79,999, \$80-99,999, \$100,000 or more. Scaled between 0-1.

**College** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent reports the highest level of school they have completed is at or above a bachelor’s degree.

**Unemployment** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent reports they are not working at a job for pay.

**Union** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent reports they or someone in their household is a member of a labor union.

**Rent** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent reports they rent when asked if they rent or own their home.

**Internet Access** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent reports they have household internet access.

**Internet Mode** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent was recruited via an online mechanism instead of mail, call-in, or outbound calls.

**Liberal** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent indicates they are “slightly liberal,” “liberal,” or “very liberal” in addition to indicating that they are “liberal if they had to choose” in an additional question conditional on indicating “don’t know” or “moderate” in the initial question.

**Metro** is a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent lives in a zipcode that is a metropolitan area.

**State indicators (Florida, Texas, California, New York, Pennsylvania)** are equal to 1 if the respondent self-reports they live in the respective states.

## 2.3 Temporal Placebo Test Survey Information

### 2.3.1 Temporal Placebo Test Survey Information

**Pew 2012:** The 2012 Pew Voter Attitude Survey obtained telephone interviews with a nationally representative sample of  $N = 2013$  adults living in the United States. The interviews were conducted by Princeton Survey Research Associates International between June 7, 2012 to June 17, 2012. The margin of sampling error for the complete set of weighted data is  $\pm 2.6$  percentage points. The same sex marriage outcome asks respondents if they “strongly favor, favor, oppose or strongly oppose allowing gays and lesbians to marry legally.” The outcome is coded 1 if the respondent indicates strongly favor or favor, 0 otherwise.

**CNN 2013:** The 2013 CNN poll is a nationally representative survey using landline and cell phone sampling ( $N = 1014$ ). The poll was in the field between June 11, 2013 and June 13, 2013. The same sex marriage outcome asks respondents if they “think marriages between gay and lesbian couples should or should not be recognized by the law as valid, with the same rights as traditional marriages?” The outcome is coded 1 if the respondent indicates gay and lesbian couples should be recognized by the law, and 0 otherwise.

**Pew 2017:** The 2017 Pew Political Landscape Survey was in the field between June 8, 2017 and June 18, 2017. It is a nationally representative survey of 2504 respondents. Interviews were conducted via landline and cell phone. The survey was conducted by Princeton Survey Research Associates International. The margin of error is  $\pm 1.6$  percentage points. The same sex marriage outcome asks respondents if they “strongly favor, favor, oppose or strongly oppose allowing gays and lesbians to marry legally.” The outcome is coded 1 if the respondent indicates strongly favor or favor, 0 otherwise.

## 2.4 Insensitivity to Truncation

### 2.4.1 Discussion

Online survey respondent inattentiveness produces low quality responses that attenuate associations of interest (Read et al., 2021). Attention is critical for question comprehension and retrieval of relevant information from memory to form a judgement (Krosnick and Alwin, 1987). Our design depends upon respondents cognitively making connections between violence against marginalized groups they observe in mass media and their policy preferences implicating said groups. Prior research suggests very quick and very slow survey response times are associated with lower attention and quality responses (Malhotra, 2008; Read et al., 2021). In TAPS, the minimum response time was 3 minutes, insufficient to process a  $\sim 250$  item survey. Furthermore, the maximum response time is 34,586 minutes, raising the possibility some respondents were multi-tasking, distracted, or intermittently engaging the survey with low effort. Thus, in the absence of internal attention checks, we truncate the sample to respondents who completed the survey in a “reasonable duration” of time, defined as those who took between 15-60 minutes to complete the survey. Our truncation is consistent with the rule of thumb by Roßmann (2010), who suggest removing respondents below 60% the median completion time.

The final TAPS data contain  $N = 1142$  respondents, with 682 (60%) interviewed before Pulse and 460 after (40%). Truncation is unlikely to undercut generalizability. There are limited differences between inattentive and attentive TAPS respondents (Figure 20, Panel A). Additionally,

the truncated sample is compositionally similar to the full TAPS sample and the “gold standard” in election studies, the 2016 ANES (Figure 20, Panel B, Table 66). Although our truncation is arbitrary, we follow best practices (Greszki et al., 2015), and show the results are insensitive to using the initial raw data or alternative response time cut-offs for “reasonable duration (Figure 20, Panel C).”<sup>7</sup>

## 2.4.2 Analyses

**Table 66: Comparison Between truncated TAPS June ’16 Sample and ANES ’16 Sample**


Covariate	TAPS Jun. ’16	ANES ’16	Diff.	T-test p-value
Woman	0.51	0.51	0.01	0.73
White	0.78	0.78	0.01	0.71
Age (18-29)	0.20	0.18	0.03	0.03
Age (30-44)	0.24	0.23	0.00	0.76
Age (45-59)	0.29	0.32	-0.02	0.13
Age (60+)	0.26	0.27	-0.01	0.55
College	0.31	0.29	0.02	0.16
Liberal	0.39	0.41	-0.02	0.32
California	0.10	0.09	0.01	0.49
New York	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.36
Florida	0.05	0.06	-0.01	0.22
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.05	-0.00	0.93
Texas	0.07	0.08	-0.01	0.18

Figure 20 displays estimates using different types of data truncation. The x-axis displays the kinds of respondents that are removed. For instance,  $>15, <60$  means that respondents who took more than 15 minutes and less than 60 minutes are included in the sample, and those who took less than 15 minutes and more than 60 minutes are excluded from the sample.

The truncated estimates operate in a manner consistent with the notion that respondents who take the survey either too quickly or too slowly are less attentive. Respondents who take the survey too quickly may not have sufficient time to make cognitive connections between their political context and their expressed attitudes on particular issues. Respondents who take the survey for too long may be intermittently attentive to the survey or are not taking the survey as seriously as they otherwise should, again, undercutting cognitive connections between their political context and their expressed attitudes on particular issues (Malhotra, 2008; Read et al., 2021). We find that removing respondents who take too long to take the survey increases the size of the coefficient estimates, consistent with research on how inattention attenuates coefficient estimates. However, we do not find that removing speeders increases the size of the coefficient estimates (for example, respondents who take less than 15 minutes to take the survey, the threshold we use for the results in the main text).

We do not believe this to be a problem, given *most speeders are not engaging in egregious levels of speeding* and true speeders are a *very small proportion of the sample*, which would suggest speeders have an inconsequential effect on coefficient size. In the TAPS data, of the speeding population (that is, those who take the survey in less than 15 minutes), over 80% take the survey in more than 10 minutes. This is fast for a large survey, but not egregiously fast. The other


<sup>7</sup>Another benefit of the truncated data is the reduction in imbalance between respondents interviewed before and after the massacre. The truncated sample is imbalanced on 1/20 baseline covariates, whereas the full sample is imbalanced on 3/20 covariates.



**Figure 20: Truncated Estimates.** Panel A displays balance between removed respondents (who finished the survey in less than 15 minutes, more than 60) and respondents that were not removed. Panel B displays balance between the full and the truncated sample. Panel C displays coefficients characterizing the influence of *post-Pulse* on *SSM support* based on various samples removing respondents who took more than or less than a particular number of minutes (defined on the x-axis). For Panels A-B, black coefficients are statistically significant, grey otherwise. For Panel C, black coefficients are derived from regression models including baseline control covariates (i.e. the balance covariates), grey coefficients are derived from regression models that do not include control covariates. For regression tables characterizing the truncated post-Pulse coefficient estimates (in addition to control coefficient estimates), see DSM Tables 67-74

20% (only 21 respondents), took the survey in less than 10 minutes. Therefore, the number of serious speeders may not be large enough to affect *post-Pulse* coefficient estimates. But, dropping slow respondents based on our cutoff leads to 352 dropped respondents, who may be particularly inattentive to the survey given that the median “slow respondent” took 1421 minutes (24 hours) to respond to the survey.

Regardless, the results are *insensitive to truncation*. Across the different truncated estimates adjusting for controls on Figure 20, 15/16 are statistically significant at  $p < 0.10$ , and 8/16 are statistically significant at  $p < 0.05$ . Importantly, the results hold without truncating the data at all at  $p < 0.10$  (Figure 20, Panel C). The findings on temporal persistence are also the same without truncating the data (Figure 21). In addition, the *post-Pulse* effect may not be biased given the TAPS survey, when weighted, is compositionally similar to the 2016 ANES, the gold standard in representative surveys. Prior evidence suggests the maintenance of a representative sample



**Figure 21: The Influence of Pulse on SSM Support Attenuates Over Time (Using Full TAPS Sample).** For regression tables characterizing post-Pulse and control covariate coefficients, see DSM Tables 75-105

composition mitigates the prospect for coefficient effect bias after truncating data to attentive respondents (Alvarez et al., 2019).

Moreover, one might think the larger effects sizes we derive using the truncated sample may be due to cognitive difficulties or lifestyle factors. The one difference between the truncated and full sample is that the truncated sample includes *less* youth. Prior work shows younger people are less attentive (Alvarez et al., 2019), but they also tend to be more pro-LGBTQ+, so that should ostensibly attenuate effect estimates from a substantive basis but increase effect estimates from the basis of increasing attention. Likewise, if the problem was cognition, then the truncated sample, which is older, should have smaller effects, given older people tend to be more likely to experience cognitive decline (Murman, 2015). We do not observe smaller effects using the older, truncated sample, suggesting cognitive decline may not bias our coefficient estimates. Therefore, we feel confident our truncation exercise is removing respondents inattentive to survey content.



**Table 67: Truncated Post-Pulse Coefficient Estimates**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	Controls?	Subset (In Minutes)
0.09	0.04	0.03	No Controls	> 15, < 7500
0.06	0.04	0.11	Yes Controls	> 15, < 7500
0.09	0.04	0.04	No Controls	> 15, < 2000
0.06	0.04	0.11	Yes Controls	> 15, < 2000
0.09	0.04	0.04	No Controls	> 15, < 180
0.07	0.04	0.07	Yes Controls	> 15, < 180
0.09	0.05	0.04	No Controls	> 15, < 140
0.07	0.04	0.09	Yes Controls	> 15, < 140
0.11	0.05	0.02	No Controls	> 15, < 100
0.08	0.04	0.04	Yes Controls	> 15, < 100
0.11	0.05	0.02	No Controls	> 15, < 80
0.08	0.04	0.03	Yes Controls	> 15, < 80
0.12	0.05	0.01	No Controls	> 15, < 60
0.09	0.04	0.02	Yes Controls	> 15, < 60
0.11	0.04	0.01	No Controls	> 10, < 60
0.08	0.04	0.03	Yes Controls	> 10, < 60
0.13	0.04	0.00	No Controls	> 5, < 60
0.09	0.04	0.01	Yes Controls	> 5, < 60
0.13	0.04	0.00	No Controls	> 3, < 60
0.09	0.04	0.01	Yes Controls	> 3, < 60
0.13	0.04	0.00	No Controls	> 0, < 60
0.09	0.04	0.01	Yes Controls	> 0, < 60
0.09	0.04	0.04	No Controls	> 15
0.05	0.03	0.13	Yes Controls	> 15
0.08	0.04	0.03	No Controls	> 10
0.05	0.03	0.17	Yes Controls	> 10
0.09	0.04	0.01	No Controls	> 5
0.06	0.03	0.09	Yes Controls	> 5
0.09	0.04	0.01	No Controls	> 3
0.06	0.03	0.09	Yes Controls	> 3
0.09	0.04	0.01	No Controls	> 0
0.06	0.03	0.09	Yes Controls	> 0

**Table 68: Truncated Post-Pulse Coefficient Estimates (Control Coefficients, Part 1)**

Controls	Coef.	SE	p-value	Subset
Age	0.01	0.06	0.88	> 15, < 7500
White	0.18	0.05	0.00	> 15, < 7500
Female	0.06	0.03	0.08	> 15, < 7500
Nonreligious	0.21	0.05	0.00	> 15, < 7500
Married	0.02	0.04	0.63	> 15, < 7500
Child	-0.13	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 7500
Income	0.02	0.06	0.72	> 15, < 7500
College	0.11	0.03	0.00	> 15, < 7500
Unemployed	-0.04	0.04	0.27	> 15, < 7500
Union	-0.05	0.05	0.23	> 15, < 7500
Renter	-0.00	0.05	0.96	> 15, < 7500
Internet	0.01	0.04	0.77	> 15, < 7500
Internet Mode	0.02	0.03	0.49	> 15, < 7500
Liberal	0.38	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 7500
Metro Area	0.10	0.04	0.02	> 15, < 7500
Florida	0.19	0.10	0.07	> 15, < 7500
Texas	-0.06	0.06	0.29	> 15, < 7500
California	0.12	0.07	0.08	> 15, < 7500
New York	0.20	0.06	0.00	> 15, < 7500
Pennsylvania	0.07	0.10	0.48	> 15, < 7500
Age	0.01	0.06	0.80	> 15, < 2000
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	> 15, < 2000
Female	0.07	0.04	0.06	> 15, < 2000
Nonreligious	0.21	0.05	0.00	> 15, < 2000
Married	0.02	0.05	0.59	> 15, < 2000
Child	-0.13	0.04	0.01	> 15, < 2000
Income	-0.00	0.06	0.95	> 15, < 2000
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	> 15, < 2000
Unemployed	-0.04	0.04	0.32	> 15, < 2000
Union	-0.06	0.05	0.19	> 15, < 2000
Renter	-0.01	0.05	0.87	> 15, < 2000
Internet	0.01	0.04	0.90	> 15, < 2000
Internet Mode	0.02	0.03	0.48	> 15, < 2000
Liberal	0.38	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 2000
Metro Area	0.10	0.04	0.03	> 15, < 2000
Florida	0.18	0.10	0.07	> 15, < 2000
Texas	-0.07	0.06	0.22	> 15, < 2000
California	0.10	0.07	0.16	> 15, < 2000
New York	0.22	0.07	0.00	> 15, < 2000
Pennsylvania	0.07	0.10	0.49	> 15, < 2000

**Table 69: Truncated Post-Pulse Coefficient Estimates (Control Coefficients, Part 2)**

Controls	Coef.	SE	p-value	Subset
Age	-0.02	0.06	0.75	> 15, < 180
White	0.22	0.05	0.00	> 15, < 180
Female	0.05	0.04	0.19	> 15, < 180
Nonreligious	0.23	0.05	0.00	> 15, < 180
Married	0.01	0.05	0.82	> 15, < 180
Child	-0.09	0.04	0.05	> 15, < 180
Income	0.02	0.07	0.75	> 15, < 180
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	> 15, < 180
Unemployed	-0.03	0.04	0.50	> 15, < 180
Union	-0.07	0.05	0.12	> 15, < 180
Renter	0.00	0.06	0.98	> 15, < 180
Internet	-0.00	0.04	0.97	> 15, < 180
Internet Mode	0.01	0.04	0.75	> 15, < 180
Liberal	0.39	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 180
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.08	> 15, < 180
Florida	0.20	0.10	0.05	> 15, < 180
Texas	-0.07	0.06	0.29	> 15, < 180
California	0.13	0.08	0.10	> 15, < 180
New York	0.21	0.07	0.00	> 15, < 180
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.11	0.64	> 15, < 180
Age	-0.02	0.06	0.79	> 15, < 140
White	0.21	0.05	0.00	> 15, < 140
Female	0.05	0.04	0.16	> 15, < 140
Nonreligious	0.24	0.05	0.00	> 15, < 140
Married	0.01	0.05	0.78	> 15, < 140
Child	-0.09	0.05	0.04	> 15, < 140
Income	0.03	0.07	0.66	> 15, < 140
College	0.12	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 140
Unemployed	-0.03	0.04	0.44	> 15, < 140
Union	-0.06	0.05	0.19	> 15, < 140
Renter	0.01	0.06	0.87	> 15, < 140
Internet	0.00	0.04	1.00	> 15, < 140
Internet Mode	0.01	0.04	0.69	> 15, < 140
Liberal	0.38	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 140
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.09	> 15, < 140
Florida	0.21	0.10	0.05	> 15, < 140
Texas	-0.07	0.06	0.31	> 15, < 140
California	0.14	0.08	0.09	> 15, < 140
New York	0.21	0.07	0.00	> 15, < 140
Pennsylvania	0.06	0.11	0.61	> 15, < 140

**Table 70: Truncated Post-Pulse Coefficient Estimates (Control Coefficients, Part 3)**

Controls	Coef.	SE	p-value	Subset
Age	0.00	0.06	0.99	> 15, < 100
White	0.21	0.05	0.00	> 15, < 100
Female	0.05	0.04	0.17	> 15, < 100
Nonreligious	0.24	0.06	0.00	> 15, < 100
Married	0.01	0.05	0.87	> 15, < 100
Child	-0.09	0.05	0.04	> 15, < 100
Income	0.04	0.07	0.58	> 15, < 100
College	0.11	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 100
Unemployed	-0.04	0.04	0.40	> 15, < 100
Union	-0.05	0.05	0.26	> 15, < 100
Renter	0.01	0.06	0.86	> 15, < 100
Internet	-0.01	0.04	0.77	> 15, < 100
Internet Mode	0.01	0.04	0.71	> 15, < 100
Liberal	0.39	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 100
Metro Area	0.06	0.05	0.18	> 15, < 100
Florida	0.21	0.11	0.05	> 15, < 100
Texas	-0.06	0.06	0.32	> 15, < 100
California	0.15	0.08	0.07	> 15, < 100
New York	0.20	0.07	0.01	> 15, < 100
Pennsylvania	0.06	0.11	0.58	> 15, < 100
Age	0.00	0.06	0.95	> 15, < 80
White	0.21	0.05	0.00	> 15, < 80
Female	0.05	0.04	0.17	> 15, < 80
Nonreligious	0.24	0.06	0.00	> 15, < 80
Married	0.00	0.05	0.94	> 15, < 80
Child	-0.10	0.05	0.04	> 15, < 80
Income	0.02	0.07	0.75	> 15, < 80
College	0.11	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 80
Unemployed	-0.04	0.05	0.41	> 15, < 80
Union	-0.05	0.05	0.31	> 15, < 80
Renter	0.01	0.06	0.88	> 15, < 80
Internet	-0.02	0.05	0.69	> 15, < 80
Internet Mode	0.02	0.04	0.65	> 15, < 80
Liberal	0.38	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 80
Metro Area	0.06	0.05	0.18	> 15, < 80
Florida	0.22	0.11	0.04	> 15, < 80
Texas	-0.06	0.07	0.33	> 15, < 80
California	0.15	0.08	0.07	> 15, < 80
New York	0.21	0.08	0.01	> 15, < 80
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.12	0.77	> 15, < 80

**Table 71: Truncated Post-Pulse Coefficient Estimates (Control Coefficients, Part 4)**

Controls	Coef.	SE	p-value	Subset
Age	0.02	0.07	0.75	> 15, < 60
White	0.20	0.05	0.00	> 15, < 60
Female	0.05	0.04	0.22	> 15, < 60
Nonreligious	0.25	0.06	0.00	> 15, < 60
Married	-0.01	0.05	0.81	> 15, < 60
Child	-0.09	0.05	0.05	> 15, < 60
Income	0.03	0.07	0.67	> 15, < 60
College	0.11	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 60
Unemployed	-0.03	0.05	0.45	> 15, < 60
Union	-0.05	0.05	0.34	> 15, < 60
Renter	0.03	0.06	0.67	> 15, < 60
Internet	-0.03	0.05	0.58	> 15, < 60
Internet Mode	0.01	0.04	0.89	> 15, < 60
Liberal	0.38	0.04	0.00	> 15, < 60
Metro Area	0.06	0.05	0.22	> 15, < 60
Florida	0.22	0.11	0.05	> 15, < 60
Texas	-0.06	0.07	0.37	> 15, < 60
California	0.15	0.09	0.08	> 15, < 60
New York	0.21	0.08	0.01	> 15, < 60
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.12	0.77	> 15, < 60
Age	0.01	0.06	0.90	> 10, < 60
White	0.20	0.05	0.00	> 10, < 60
Female	0.06	0.04	0.11	> 10, < 60
Nonreligious	0.27	0.05	0.00	> 10, < 60
Married	-0.01	0.05	0.86	> 10, < 60
Child	-0.12	0.04	0.01	> 10, < 60
Income	0.04	0.07	0.52	> 10, < 60
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	> 10, < 60
Unemployed	-0.01	0.04	0.82	> 10, < 60
Union	-0.04	0.05	0.40	> 10, < 60
Renter	0.05	0.05	0.40	> 10, < 60
Internet	-0.05	0.04	0.29	> 10, < 60
Internet Mode	-0.00	0.04	0.95	> 10, < 60
Liberal	0.35	0.04	0.00	> 10, < 60
Metro Area	0.04	0.05	0.38	> 10, < 60
Florida	0.19	0.10	0.06	> 10, < 60
Texas	-0.08	0.06	0.23	> 10, < 60
California	0.13	0.08	0.08	> 10, < 60
New York	0.19	0.08	0.01	> 10, < 60
Pennsylvania	-0.04	0.09	0.67	> 10, < 60

**Table 72: Truncated Post-Pulse Coefficient Estimates (Control Coefficients, Part 5)**

Controls	Coef.	SE	p-value	Subset
Age	0.02	0.06	0.79	> 5, < 60
White	0.20	0.05	0.00	> 5, < 60
Female	0.07	0.04	0.05	> 5, < 60
Nonreligious	0.27	0.05	0.00	> 5, < 60
Married	0.01	0.05	0.84	> 5, < 60
Child	-0.11	0.04	0.01	> 5, < 60
Income	0.04	0.07	0.60	> 5, < 60
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	> 5, < 60
Unemployed	-0.02	0.04	0.61	> 5, < 60
Union	-0.04	0.05	0.39	> 5, < 60
Renter	0.06	0.05	0.26	> 5, < 60
Internet	-0.05	0.04	0.20	> 5, < 60
Internet Mode	-0.00	0.04	0.91	> 5, < 60
Liberal	0.36	0.04	0.00	> 5, < 60
Metro Area	0.04	0.05	0.38	> 5, < 60
Florida	0.18	0.10	0.07	> 5, < 60
Texas	-0.06	0.06	0.32	> 5, < 60
California	0.13	0.08	0.08	> 5, < 60
New York	0.20	0.07	0.01	> 5, < 60
Pennsylvania	-0.05	0.09	0.61	> 5, < 60
Age	0.02	0.06	0.79	> 3, < 60
White	0.20	0.05	0.00	> 3, < 60
Female	0.07	0.04	0.05	> 3, < 60
Nonreligious	0.27	0.05	0.00	> 3, < 60
Married	0.01	0.05	0.84	> 3, < 60
Child	-0.11	0.04	0.01	> 3, < 60
Income	0.04	0.07	0.60	> 3, < 60
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	> 3, < 60
Unemployed	-0.02	0.04	0.61	> 3, < 60
Union	-0.04	0.05	0.39	> 3, < 60
Renter	0.06	0.05	0.26	> 3, < 60
Internet	-0.05	0.04	0.20	> 3, < 60
Internet Mode	-0.00	0.04	0.91	> 3, < 60
Liberal	0.36	0.04	0.00	> 3, < 60
Metro Area	0.04	0.05	0.38	> 3, < 60
Florida	0.18	0.10	0.07	> 3, < 60
Texas	-0.06	0.06	0.32	> 3, < 60
California	0.13	0.08	0.08	> 3, < 60
New York	0.20	0.07	0.01	> 3, < 60
Pennsylvania	-0.05	0.09	0.61	> 3, < 60

**Table 73: Truncated Post-Pulse Coefficient Estimates (Control Coefficients, Part 6)**

Controls	Coef.	SE	p-value	Subset
Age	0.02	0.06	0.79	> 0, < 60
White	0.20	0.05	0.00	> 0, < 60
Female	0.07	0.04	0.05	> 0, < 60
Nonreligious	0.27	0.05	0.00	> 0, < 60
Married	0.01	0.05	0.84	> 0, < 60
Child	-0.11	0.04	0.01	> 0, < 60
Income	0.04	0.07	0.60	> 0, < 60
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	> 0, < 60
Unemployed	-0.02	0.04	0.61	> 0, < 60
Union	-0.04	0.05	0.39	> 0, < 60
Renter	0.06	0.05	0.26	> 0, < 60
Internet	-0.05	0.04	0.20	> 0, < 60
Internet Mode	-0.00	0.04	0.91	> 0, < 60
Liberal	0.36	0.04	0.00	> 0, < 60
Metro Area	0.04	0.05	0.38	> 0, < 60
Florida	0.18	0.10	0.07	> 0, < 60
Texas	-0.06	0.06	0.32	> 0, < 60
California	0.13	0.08	0.08	> 0, < 60
New York	0.20	0.07	0.01	> 0, < 60
Pennsylvania	-0.05	0.09	0.61	> 0, < 60
Age	-0.02	0.06	0.75	> 15
White	0.21	0.04	0.00	> 15
Female	0.08	0.03	0.03	> 15
Nonreligious	0.21	0.05	0.00	> 15
Married	0.00	0.04	0.91	> 15
Child	-0.13	0.04	0.00	> 15
Income	0.03	0.06	0.64	> 15
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	> 15
Unemployed	-0.03	0.04	0.45	> 15
Union	-0.05	0.04	0.22	> 15
Renter	-0.00	0.05	0.95	> 15
Internet	-0.01	0.04	0.78	> 15
Internet Mode	0.03	0.03	0.44	> 15
Liberal	0.38	0.04	0.00	> 15
Metro Area	0.10	0.04	0.02	> 15
Florida	0.22	0.09	0.01	> 15
Texas	-0.05	0.06	0.42	> 15
California	0.13	0.07	0.05	> 15
New York	0.15	0.07	0.03	> 15
Pennsylvania	0.07	0.10	0.45	> 15

**Table 74: Truncated Post-Pulse Coefficient Estimates (Control Coefficients, Part 7)**

Controls	Coef.	SE	p-value	Subset
Age	-0.02	0.05	0.68	> 10
White	0.20	0.04	0.00	> 10
Female	0.08	0.03	0.01	> 10
Nonreligious	0.22	0.05	0.00	> 10
Married	0.00	0.04	0.91	> 10
Child	-0.15	0.04	0.00	> 10
Income	0.04	0.06	0.45	> 10
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	> 10
Unemployed	-0.01	0.04	0.80	> 10
Union	-0.05	0.04	0.25	> 10
Renter	0.01	0.05	0.76	> 10
Internet	-0.02	0.04	0.51	> 10
Internet Mode	0.02	0.03	0.60	> 10
Liberal	0.36	0.04	0.00	> 10
Metro Area	0.08	0.04	0.06	> 10
Florida	0.19	0.08	0.02	> 10
Texas	-0.06	0.05	0.24	> 10
California	0.12	0.06	0.05	> 10
New York	0.13	0.06	0.04	> 10
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.09	0.96	> 10
Age	-0.01	0.05	0.79	> 5
White	0.20	0.04	0.00	> 5
Female	0.09	0.03	0.00	> 5
Nonreligious	0.23	0.04	0.00	> 5
Married	0.02	0.04	0.61	> 5
Child	-0.14	0.04	0.00	> 5
Income	0.04	0.06	0.50	> 5
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	> 5
Unemployed	-0.02	0.04	0.59	> 5
Union	-0.05	0.04	0.24	> 5
Renter	0.03	0.05	0.55	> 5
Internet	-0.03	0.04	0.38	> 5
Internet Mode	0.01	0.03	0.63	> 5
Liberal	0.36	0.04	0.00	> 5
Metro Area	0.08	0.04	0.06	> 5
Florida	0.19	0.08	0.02	> 5
Texas	-0.05	0.05	0.33	> 5
California	0.12	0.06	0.05	> 5
New York	0.14	0.06	0.03	> 5
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.09	0.97	> 5



**Table 75: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample)**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	N	Days Cut	Controls
0.10	0.04	0.01	1591	1	No
0.09	0.04	0.04	1452	2	No
0.10	0.04	0.03	1393	3	No
0.10	0.05	0.02	1361	4	No
0.11	0.05	0.02	1324	5	No
0.11	0.05	0.03	1297	6	No
0.10	0.05	0.05	1266	7	No
0.11	0.05	0.03	1253	8	No
0.10	0.06	0.07	1196	9	No
0.06	0.06	0.30	1164	10	No
0.04	0.06	0.54	1138	11	No
0.05	0.06	0.48	1129	12	No
0.03	0.07	0.67	1124	13	No
0.02	0.07	0.80	1116	14	No
-0.00	0.07	0.99	1105	15	No
-0.05	0.07	0.50	1085	16	No
-0.03	0.08	0.67	1068	17	No
0.00	0.08	0.97	1057	18	No
-0.07	0.08	0.40	1043	19	No
-0.07	0.08	0.38	1028	20	No
-0.03	0.09	0.73	1020	21	No
0.02	0.10	0.83	1005	22	No
-0.03	0.12	0.81	996	23	No
-0.08	0.18	0.65	979	24	No
0.06	0.03	0.08	1589	1	Yes
0.06	0.04	0.13	1450	2	Yes
0.06	0.04	0.12	1392	3	Yes
0.06	0.04	0.12	1360	4	Yes
0.07	0.04	0.08	1323	5	Yes
0.08	0.04	0.09	1296	6	Yes
0.06	0.05	0.18	1265	7	Yes
0.07	0.05	0.13	1252	8	Yes
0.07	0.05	0.19	1195	9	Yes
0.02	0.05	0.68	1163	10	Yes
-0.02	0.05	0.66	1137	11	Yes
-0.02	0.05	0.66	1128	12	Yes
-0.04	0.05	0.51	1123	13	Yes
-0.04	0.06	0.48	1115	14	Yes
-0.06	0.06	0.28	1104	15	Yes
-0.09	0.06	0.16	1084	16	Yes
-0.08	0.07	0.26	1067	17	Yes
-0.01	0.06	0.83	1056	18	Yes
-0.08	0.06	0.14	1042	19	Yes
-0.08	0.06	0.22	1027	20	Yes
-0.07	0.08	0.38	1019	21	Yes
-0.01	0.07	0.94	1004	22	Yes
-0.03	0.07	0.69	995	23	Yes
0.08	0.11	0.51	978	24	Yes

**Table 76: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample, control covariate coefficients, part 1)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	-0.02	0.06	0.72	1	1589
White	0.19	0.04	0.00	1	1589
Woman	0.09	0.03	0.00	1	1589
Nonreligious	0.24	0.04	0.00	1	1589
Married	0.02	0.04	0.58	1	1589
Child	-0.13	0.04	0.00	1	1589
Income	0.02	0.06	0.75	1	1589
College	0.13	0.03	0.00	1	1589
Unemployed	-0.02	0.04	0.66	1	1589
Union	-0.04	0.04	0.28	1	1589
Renter	0.03	0.05	0.57	1	1589
Internet Access	-0.02	0.04	0.58	1	1589
Internet Mode	0.02	0.03	0.59	1	1589
Liberal	0.36	0.04	0.00	1	1589
Metro Area	0.09	0.04	0.04	1	1589
Florida	0.19	0.08	0.03	1	1589
Texas	-0.05	0.05	0.30	1	1589
California	0.12	0.06	0.05	1	1589
New York	0.15	0.06	0.02	1	1589
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.09	0.99	1	1589
Age	-0.02	0.06	0.78	2	1450
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	2	1450
Woman	0.09	0.03	0.01	2	1450
Nonreligious	0.24	0.05	0.00	2	1450
Married	0.03	0.04	0.50	2	1450
Child	-0.13	0.04	0.00	2	1450
Income	0.03	0.07	0.68	2	1450
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	2	1450
Unemployed	-0.01	0.04	0.82	2	1450
Union	-0.04	0.04	0.33	2	1450
Renter	0.04	0.05	0.37	2	1450
Internet Access	-0.03	0.04	0.39	2	1450
Internet Mode	0.01	0.03	0.70	2	1450
Liberal	0.35	0.04	0.00	2	1450
Metro Area	0.09	0.04	0.06	2	1450
Florida	0.16	0.09	0.09	2	1450
Texas	-0.06	0.06	0.26	2	1450
California	0.11	0.06	0.08	2	1450
New York	0.12	0.07	0.08	2	1450
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.10	0.84	2	1450

**Table 77: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample, control covariate coefficients, part 2)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	-0.02	0.06	0.72	3	1392
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	3	1392
Woman	0.09	0.04	0.01	3	1392
Nonreligious	0.24	0.05	0.00	3	1392
Married	0.03	0.05	0.57	3	1392
Child	-0.12	0.05	0.01	3	1392
Income	0.03	0.07	0.62	3	1392
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	3	1392
Unemployed	-0.01	0.04	0.83	3	1392
Union	-0.05	0.05	0.29	3	1392
Renter	0.04	0.05	0.39	3	1392
Internet Access	-0.04	0.04	0.39	3	1392
Internet Mode	0.02	0.03	0.58	3	1392
Liberal	0.34	0.04	0.00	3	1392
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.09	3	1392
Florida	0.15	0.09	0.11	3	1392
Texas	-0.08	0.06	0.18	3	1392
California	0.12	0.07	0.08	3	1392
New York	0.12	0.07	0.09	3	1392
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.10	0.96	3	1392
Age	-0.02	0.06	0.69	4	1360
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	4	1360
Woman	0.09	0.04	0.01	4	1360
Nonreligious	0.24	0.05	0.00	4	1360
Married	0.02	0.05	0.61	4	1360
Child	-0.12	0.05	0.01	4	1360
Income	0.04	0.07	0.53	4	1360
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	4	1360
Unemployed	-0.01	0.04	0.81	4	1360
Union	-0.05	0.05	0.31	4	1360
Renter	0.04	0.05	0.41	4	1360
Internet Access	-0.04	0.04	0.40	4	1360
Internet Mode	0.02	0.03	0.49	4	1360
Liberal	0.33	0.04	0.00	4	1360
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.09	4	1360
Florida	0.14	0.09	0.14	4	1360
Texas	-0.09	0.06	0.11	4	1360
California	0.11	0.07	0.10	4	1360
New York	0.11	0.07	0.10	4	1360
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.10	0.99	4	1360

**Table 78: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample, control covariate coefficients, part 3)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	-0.02	0.06	0.81	5	1323
White	0.18	0.05	0.00	5	1323
Woman	0.10	0.04	0.00	5	1323
Nonreligious	0.24	0.05	0.00	5	1323
Married	0.03	0.05	0.55	5	1323
Child	-0.12	0.05	0.01	5	1323
Income	0.04	0.07	0.56	5	1323
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	5	1323
Unemployed	-0.01	0.04	0.87	5	1323
Union	-0.05	0.05	0.28	5	1323
Renter	0.05	0.05	0.31	5	1323
Internet Access	-0.03	0.04	0.41	5	1323
Internet Mode	0.02	0.03	0.49	5	1323
Liberal	0.34	0.04	0.00	5	1323
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.11	5	1323
Florida	0.14	0.10	0.14	5	1323
Texas	-0.09	0.06	0.11	5	1323
California	0.12	0.07	0.07	5	1323
New York	0.11	0.07	0.12	5	1323
Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.10	0.92	5	1323
Age	-0.01	0.06	0.86	6	1296
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	6	1296
Woman	0.11	0.04	0.00	6	1296
Nonreligious	0.23	0.05	0.00	6	1296
Married	0.03	0.05	0.57	6	1296
Child	-0.12	0.05	0.01	6	1296
Income	0.05	0.07	0.48	6	1296
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	6	1296
Unemployed	-0.01	0.04	0.89	6	1296
Union	-0.05	0.05	0.27	6	1296
Renter	0.05	0.05	0.37	6	1296
Internet Access	-0.04	0.04	0.39	6	1296
Internet Mode	0.03	0.04	0.44	6	1296
Liberal	0.33	0.04	0.00	6	1296
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.12	6	1296
Florida	0.15	0.10	0.13	6	1296
Texas	-0.09	0.06	0.11	6	1296
California	0.13	0.07	0.08	6	1296
New York	0.10	0.07	0.15	6	1296
Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.10	0.85	6	1296

**Table 79: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample, control covariate coefficients, part 4)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	-0.02	0.06	0.73	7	1265
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	7	1265
Woman	0.10	0.04	0.01	7	1265
Nonreligious	0.23	0.05	0.00	7	1265
Married	0.03	0.05	0.49	7	1265
Child	-0.11	0.05	0.02	7	1265
Income	0.04	0.07	0.60	7	1265
College	0.12	0.03	0.00	7	1265
Unemployed	-0.00	0.04	0.93	7	1265
Union	-0.06	0.05	0.21	7	1265
Renter	0.05	0.05	0.34	7	1265
Internet Access	-0.04	0.04	0.41	7	1265
Internet Mode	0.03	0.04	0.34	7	1265
Liberal	0.34	0.04	0.00	7	1265
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.10	7	1265
Florida	0.15	0.10	0.13	7	1265
Texas	-0.08	0.06	0.16	7	1265
California	0.12	0.07	0.08	7	1265
New York	0.10	0.07	0.18	7	1265
Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.11	0.84	7	1265
Age	-0.01	0.07	0.88	8	1252
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	8	1252
Woman	0.10	0.04	0.01	8	1252
Nonreligious	0.23	0.05	0.00	8	1252
Married	0.02	0.05	0.63	8	1252
Child	-0.11	0.05	0.03	8	1252
Income	0.03	0.07	0.64	8	1252
College	0.12	0.04	0.00	8	1252
Unemployed	-0.00	0.04	0.99	8	1252
Union	-0.06	0.05	0.19	8	1252
Renter	0.06	0.05	0.27	8	1252
Internet Access	-0.04	0.04	0.42	8	1252
Internet Mode	0.03	0.04	0.35	8	1252
Liberal	0.34	0.04	0.00	8	1252
Metro Area	0.09	0.05	0.09	8	1252
Florida	0.14	0.10	0.14	8	1252
Texas	-0.09	0.06	0.14	8	1252
California	0.12	0.07	0.09	8	1252
New York	0.09	0.07	0.19	8	1252
Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.11	0.81	8	1252

**Table 80: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample, control covariate coefficients, part 5)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.01	0.07	0.89	9	1195
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	9	1195
Woman	0.11	0.04	0.00	9	1195
Nonreligious	0.22	0.06	0.00	9	1195
Married	0.04	0.05	0.45	9	1195
Child	-0.12	0.05	0.02	9	1195
Income	0.03	0.07	0.67	9	1195
College	0.12	0.04	0.00	9	1195
Unemployed	-0.00	0.05	0.99	9	1195
Union	-0.08	0.05	0.11	9	1195
Renter	0.05	0.05	0.38	9	1195
Internet Access	-0.04	0.05	0.36	9	1195
Internet Mode	0.03	0.04	0.37	9	1195
Liberal	0.35	0.04	0.00	9	1195
Metro Area	0.07	0.05	0.17	9	1195
Florida	0.19	0.10	0.05	9	1195
Texas	-0.08	0.06	0.20	9	1195
California	0.14	0.07	0.06	9	1195
New York	0.10	0.07	0.15	9	1195
Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.11	0.84	9	1195
Age	-0.01	0.07	0.88	10	1163
White	0.20	0.05	0.00	10	1163
Woman	0.10	0.04	0.01	10	1163
Nonreligious	0.21	0.06	0.00	10	1163
Married	0.03	0.05	0.58	10	1163
Child	-0.12	0.05	0.02	10	1163
Income	0.03	0.07	0.70	10	1163
College	0.13	0.04	0.00	10	1163
Unemployed	0.02	0.05	0.62	10	1163
Union	-0.09	0.05	0.07	10	1163
Renter	0.03	0.05	0.51	10	1163
Internet Access	-0.02	0.04	0.62	10	1163
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.16	10	1163
Liberal	0.37	0.04	0.00	10	1163
Metro Area	0.05	0.05	0.30	10	1163
Florida	0.20	0.10	0.05	10	1163
Texas	-0.06	0.06	0.35	10	1163
California	0.14	0.08	0.06	10	1163
New York	0.11	0.07	0.12	10	1163
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.11	0.98	10	1163

**Table 81: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample, control covariate coefficients, part 6)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	-0.00	0.07	1.00	11	1137
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	11	1137
Woman	0.10	0.04	0.01	11	1137
Nonreligious	0.20	0.06	0.00	11	1137
Married	0.03	0.05	0.57	11	1137
Child	-0.12	0.05	0.02	11	1137
Income	0.05	0.07	0.52	11	1137
College	0.12	0.04	0.00	11	1137
Unemployed	0.01	0.05	0.80	11	1137
Union	-0.07	0.05	0.13	11	1137
Renter	0.05	0.05	0.37	11	1137
Internet Access	-0.04	0.04	0.37	11	1137
Internet Mode	0.04	0.04	0.23	11	1137
Liberal	0.38	0.04	0.00	11	1137
Metro Area	0.09	0.05	0.08	11	1137
Florida	0.17	0.11	0.11	11	1137
Texas	-0.06	0.06	0.35	11	1137
California	0.15	0.07	0.04	11	1137
New York	0.12	0.08	0.12	11	1137
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.12	0.66	11	1137
Age	-0.01	0.07	0.93	12	1128
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	12	1128
Woman	0.10	0.04	0.01	12	1128
Nonreligious	0.21	0.06	0.00	12	1128
Married	0.03	0.05	0.50	12	1128
Child	-0.13	0.05	0.01	12	1128
Income	0.05	0.07	0.48	12	1128
College	0.12	0.04	0.00	12	1128
Unemployed	0.01	0.05	0.84	12	1128
Union	-0.08	0.05	0.10	12	1128
Renter	0.04	0.05	0.45	12	1128
Internet Access	-0.04	0.04	0.38	12	1128
Internet Mode	0.04	0.04	0.23	12	1128
Liberal	0.37	0.04	0.00	12	1128
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.08	12	1128
Florida	0.17	0.11	0.11	12	1128
Texas	-0.06	0.06	0.34	12	1128
California	0.15	0.07	0.04	12	1128
New York	0.12	0.07	0.12	12	1128
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.12	0.66	12	1128

**Table 82: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample, control covariate coefficients, part 7)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.01	0.07	0.88	13	1123
White	0.18	0.05	0.00	13	1123
Woman	0.10	0.04	0.01	13	1123
Nonreligious	0.21	0.06	0.00	13	1123
Married	0.03	0.05	0.51	13	1123
Child	-0.14	0.05	0.01	13	1123
Income	0.06	0.07	0.40	13	1123
College	0.12	0.04	0.00	13	1123
Unemployed	0.01	0.05	0.80	13	1123
Union	-0.08	0.05	0.10	13	1123
Renter	0.05	0.05	0.37	13	1123
Internet Access	-0.04	0.04	0.30	13	1123
Internet Mode	0.04	0.04	0.26	13	1123
Liberal	0.37	0.04	0.00	13	1123
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.11	13	1123
Florida	0.17	0.11	0.11	13	1123
Texas	-0.05	0.06	0.40	13	1123
California	0.15	0.07	0.04	13	1123
New York	0.12	0.08	0.11	13	1123
Pennsylvania	0.06	0.12	0.63	13	1123
Age	0.01	0.07	0.90	14	1115
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	14	1115
Woman	0.10	0.04	0.01	14	1115
Nonreligious	0.21	0.06	0.00	14	1115
Married	0.03	0.05	0.48	14	1115
Child	-0.14	0.05	0.01	14	1115
Income	0.06	0.07	0.42	14	1115
College	0.12	0.04	0.00	14	1115
Unemployed	0.01	0.05	0.87	14	1115
Union	-0.08	0.05	0.12	14	1115
Renter	0.05	0.05	0.37	14	1115
Internet Access	-0.04	0.04	0.31	14	1115
Internet Mode	0.04	0.04	0.27	14	1115
Liberal	0.37	0.04	0.00	14	1115
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.10	14	1115
Florida	0.17	0.11	0.13	14	1115
Texas	-0.05	0.06	0.38	14	1115
California	0.15	0.07	0.04	14	1115
New York	0.10	0.08	0.22	14	1115
Pennsylvania	0.06	0.12	0.65	14	1115



**Table 83: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample, control covariate coefficients, part 8)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	-0.01	0.07	0.84	15	1104
White	0.18	0.05	0.00	15	1104
Woman	0.10	0.04	0.01	15	1104
Nonreligious	0.21	0.06	0.00	15	1104
Married	0.02	0.05	0.62	15	1104
Child	-0.12	0.05	0.02	15	1104
Income	0.07	0.07	0.35	15	1104
College	0.13	0.04	0.00	15	1104
Unemployed	0.02	0.05	0.70	15	1104
Union	-0.07	0.05	0.18	15	1104
Renter	0.05	0.05	0.32	15	1104
Internet Access	-0.05	0.04	0.27	15	1104
Internet Mode	0.04	0.04	0.23	15	1104
Liberal	0.37	0.04	0.00	15	1104
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.11	15	1104
Florida	0.16	0.11	0.13	15	1104
Texas	-0.06	0.06	0.29	15	1104
California	0.15	0.07	0.04	15	1104
New York	0.08	0.08	0.29	15	1104
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.12	0.92	15	1104
Age	-0.01	0.07	0.93	16	1084
White	0.20	0.05	0.00	16	1084
Woman	0.09	0.04	0.02	16	1084
Nonreligious	0.19	0.05	0.00	16	1084
Married	0.02	0.05	0.74	16	1084
Child	-0.10	0.05	0.06	16	1084
Income	0.05	0.08	0.51	16	1084
College	0.14	0.04	0.00	16	1084
Unemployed	0.01	0.05	0.89	16	1084
Union	-0.06	0.05	0.20	16	1084
Renter	0.06	0.06	0.30	16	1084
Internet Access	-0.05	0.05	0.26	16	1084
Internet Mode	0.06	0.04	0.13	16	1084
Liberal	0.37	0.04	0.00	16	1084
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.09	16	1084
Florida	0.13	0.11	0.24	16	1084
Texas	-0.06	0.06	0.33	16	1084
California	0.15	0.07	0.04	16	1084
New York	0.10	0.08	0.23	16	1084
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.12	0.88	16	1084

**Table 84: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample, control covariate coefficients, part 9)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	-0.02	0.07	0.77	17	1067
White	0.21	0.05	0.00	17	1067
Woman	0.09	0.04	0.02	17	1067
Nonreligious	0.19	0.06	0.00	17	1067
Married	0.01	0.05	0.77	17	1067
Child	-0.11	0.06	0.04	17	1067
Income	0.03	0.08	0.66	17	1067
College	0.13	0.04	0.00	17	1067
Unemployed	0.01	0.05	0.83	17	1067
Union	-0.04	0.05	0.38	17	1067
Renter	0.06	0.06	0.33	17	1067
Internet Access	-0.04	0.05	0.35	17	1067
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.15	17	1067
Liberal	0.37	0.04	0.00	17	1067
Metro Area	0.07	0.05	0.14	17	1067
Florida	0.13	0.11	0.24	17	1067
Texas	-0.03	0.06	0.60	17	1067
California	0.14	0.07	0.05	17	1067
New York	0.08	0.08	0.36	17	1067
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.12	0.87	17	1067
Age	-0.04	0.07	0.56	18	1056
White	0.22	0.05	0.00	18	1056
Woman	0.10	0.04	0.01	18	1056
Nonreligious	0.17	0.05	0.00	18	1056
Married	0.01	0.05	0.83	18	1056
Child	-0.15	0.05	0.00	18	1056
Income	0.08	0.07	0.23	18	1056
College	0.13	0.04	0.00	18	1056
Unemployed	0.04	0.05	0.43	18	1056
Union	-0.06	0.05	0.25	18	1056
Renter	0.05	0.06	0.35	18	1056
Internet Access	-0.03	0.04	0.53	18	1056
Internet Mode	0.06	0.04	0.12	18	1056
Liberal	0.38	0.04	0.00	18	1056
Metro Area	0.07	0.05	0.17	18	1056
Florida	0.15	0.11	0.17	18	1056
Texas	-0.02	0.06	0.79	18	1056
California	0.19	0.06	0.00	18	1056
New York	0.07	0.08	0.40	18	1056
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.12	0.91	18	1056

**Table 85: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample, control covariate coefficients, part 10)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	-0.01	0.06	0.86	19	1042
White	0.22	0.05	0.00	19	1042
Woman	0.10	0.04	0.01	19	1042
Nonreligious	0.18	0.05	0.00	19	1042
Married	0.01	0.05	0.91	19	1042
Child	-0.14	0.05	0.00	19	1042
Income	0.09	0.07	0.18	19	1042
College	0.14	0.04	0.00	19	1042
Unemployed	0.03	0.04	0.54	19	1042
Union	-0.04	0.05	0.45	19	1042
Renter	0.06	0.05	0.26	19	1042
Internet Access	0.00	0.04	0.92	19	1042
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.17	19	1042
Liberal	0.38	0.04	0.00	19	1042
Metro Area	0.05	0.05	0.29	19	1042
Florida	0.17	0.11	0.12	19	1042
Texas	0.01	0.06	0.89	19	1042
California	0.21	0.06	0.00	19	1042
New York	0.09	0.08	0.30	19	1042
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.12	0.81	19	1042
Age	-0.01	0.07	0.87	20	1027
White	0.22	0.05	0.00	20	1027
Woman	0.09	0.04	0.02	20	1027
Nonreligious	0.16	0.05	0.00	20	1027
Married	0.00	0.05	1.00	20	1027
Child	-0.14	0.05	0.00	20	1027
Income	0.10	0.07	0.16	20	1027
College	0.13	0.04	0.00	20	1027
Unemployed	0.02	0.04	0.66	20	1027
Union	-0.03	0.05	0.59	20	1027
Renter	0.06	0.06	0.25	20	1027
Internet Access	-0.00	0.04	0.99	20	1027
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.21	20	1027
Liberal	0.39	0.04	0.00	20	1027
Metro Area	0.04	0.05	0.41	20	1027
Florida	0.18	0.11	0.11	20	1027
Texas	0.01	0.06	0.84	20	1027
California	0.22	0.06	0.00	20	1027
New York	0.09	0.08	0.31	20	1027
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.12	0.80	20	1027

**Table 86: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (full sample, control covariate coefficients, part 11)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	-0.01	0.07	0.88	21	1019
White	0.21	0.05	0.00	21	1019
Woman	0.09	0.04	0.02	21	1019
Nonreligious	0.16	0.05	0.00	21	1019
Married	-0.01	0.05	0.91	21	1019
Child	-0.14	0.05	0.01	21	1019
Income	0.11	0.07	0.12	21	1019
College	0.13	0.04	0.00	21	1019
Unemployed	0.02	0.04	0.71	21	1019
Union	-0.02	0.05	0.73	21	1019
Renter	0.07	0.06	0.24	21	1019
Internet Access	-0.00	0.04	0.97	21	1019
Internet Mode	0.04	0.04	0.24	21	1019
Liberal	0.39	0.04	0.00	21	1019
Metro Area	0.04	0.05	0.41	21	1019
Florida	0.18	0.11	0.11	21	1019
Texas	0.01	0.06	0.86	21	1019
California	0.21	0.06	0.00	21	1019
New York	0.11	0.09	0.19	21	1019
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.12	0.83	21	1019
Age	-0.02	0.07	0.76	22	1004
White	0.22	0.05	0.00	22	1004
Woman	0.09	0.04	0.03	22	1004
Nonreligious	0.18	0.05	0.00	22	1004
Married	-0.01	0.05	0.91	22	1004
Child	-0.13	0.05	0.01	22	1004
Income	0.12	0.07	0.09	22	1004
College	0.12	0.04	0.00	22	1004
Unemployed	0.01	0.05	0.78	22	1004
Union	-0.01	0.06	0.79	22	1004
Renter	0.06	0.06	0.30	22	1004
Internet Access	-0.00	0.04	0.95	22	1004
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.18	22	1004
Liberal	0.38	0.04	0.00	22	1004
Metro Area	0.04	0.05	0.42	22	1004
Florida	0.18	0.11	0.10	22	1004
Texas	0.02	0.06	0.79	22	1004
California	0.23	0.06	0.00	22	1004
New York	0.10	0.09	0.23	22	1004
Pennsylvania	0.04	0.13	0.78	22	1004


## 2.5 Temporal Placebo Tests

**Table 87: The effect of Pulse is unique to 2016**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Post-Pulse	0.01 (0.03)	0.00 (0.02)	-0.16*** (0.05)	-0.07 (0.05)	0.12* (0.05)	0.09* (0.04)	-0.03 (0.02)	-0.01 (0.02)
Age		-0.08 (0.07)		-0.34*** (0.07)		0.01 (0.07)		-0.55*** (0.06)
White		-0.30*** (0.03)		0.04 (0.04)		0.19*** (0.05)		0.09*** (0.03)
Woman		0.06* (0.02)		0.10** (0.04)		0.05 (0.04)		0.02 (0.02)
Child		-0.01 (0.03)		-0.03 (0.04)		-0.09 (0.05)		0.05 (0.03)
Catholic		0.04 (0.03)						0.08** (0.03)
Married		-0.09** (0.03)				-0.01 (0.05)		0.01 (0.07)
Income		-0.08 (0.05)		0.09* (0.05)		0.04 (0.07)		0.10* (0.04)
College		0.02 (0.03)		0.06 (0.04)		0.10** (0.04)		0.11*** (0.02)
Unemployed		-0.01 (0.04)				-0.04 (0.05)		
Union		0.16*** (0.04)				-0.05 (0.05)		
Liberal		0.33*** (0.03)		0.34*** (0.04)		0.37*** (0.04)		0.27*** (0.02)
Urban		0.09** (0.03)						
Florida		0.08 (0.05)		0.27*** (0.08)		0.24* (0.11)		-0.01 (0.04)
Texas		-0.11* (0.06)		0.12 (0.08)		-0.05 (0.07)		-0.15*** (0.04)
California		0.02 (0.04)		0.16** (0.06)		0.15 (0.09)		0.03 (0.04)
New York		0.02 (0.06)		0.16* (0.07)		0.21* (0.08)		0.09* (0.04)
Pennsylvania		0.11* (0.05)		-0.01 (0.07)		0.03 (0.12)		0.06 (0.05)
Renter						0.02 (0.06)		
Internet						-0.04 (0.05)		
Internet Mode						-0.00 (0.04)		
Metro Area						0.06 (0.05)		
Survey	Pew '12	Pew '12	CNN '13	CNN '13	TAPS '16	TAPS '16	Pew '17	Pew '17
Controls?	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y
R <sup>2</sup>	0.00	0.21	0.02	0.19	0.01	0.35	0.00	0.16
Num. obs.	2013	2013	1014	1014	1134	1134	2504	2504

\*\*\* $p < 0.001$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$

## 2.6 SSM Support By Interview Date



**Figure 22: Support for Same Sex Marriage (y-axis) Across Interview Dates (x-axis).** Vertical line is the moment the Pulse nightclub shooting occurred. Loess models are fit on each side of the moment the Pulse shooting occurred and are weighted based on the interview date sample size. Larger circles denote more interviews on a given date. All covariates re-scaled between 0-1.

## 2.7 Outcome Item Non-response Balance

Table 88: Outcome Non-response is Balanced Pre- and Post-Pulse

SSM Item Non-Response	
Post-Pulse	0.008 (0.005)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.003
N	1142

Note: \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \* $p < 0.05$ . HC2 robust standard errors in parentheses.

## 2.8 Ruling Out Pre-Treatment Time Trends

Table 89: The Effect of Pulse On SSM Support is Not Driven by Pre-Treatment Time Trends

SSM Support	
Post-Pulse Placebo	-0.035 (0.063)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.001
N	679

Note: \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \* $p < 0.05$ . HC2 robust standard errors in parentheses.

## 2.9 ISIS = Most Important Issue Post-Pulse

Table 90: Belief that ISIS is an Important Issue Increases After Pulse

	ISIS = Most Important Issue	
	(1)	(2)
Post-Pulse	0.06*	0.06*
	(0.03)	(0.03)
Age		0.00
		(0.06)
White		0.01
		(0.04)
Woman		0.04
		(0.03)
Non-religious		-0.09**
		(0.03)
Married		0.02
		(0.03)
Child		0.00
		(0.04)
Income		-0.00
		(0.06)
College		-0.07**
		(0.02)
Unemployed		0.04
		(0.04)
Union		0.04
		(0.04)
Renter		0.02
		(0.05)
Internet Access		0.06*
		(0.03)
Internet Mode		-0.03
		(0.03)
Liberal		0.01
		(0.03)
Metro Area		-0.04
		(0.04)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.09
Num. obs.	1142	1142

\*\*\* $p < 0.001$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$



## 2.10 Regression Tables

### 2.10.1 Balance Plot for TAPS data

Table 91: Balance Plot for TAPS data.

Outcome	Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p	N
Age	-0.18	0.03	0.00	1142
White	-0.07	0.04	0.12	1142
Woman	0.02	0.05	0.61	1142
Non-religious	0.05	0.04	0.21	1142
Married	0.04	0.03	0.13	1142
Child	-0.06	0.05	0.20	1142
Income	-0.02	0.03	0.43	1142
College	-0.06	0.03	0.08	1140
Unemployment	-0.05	0.05	0.30	1142
Union	0.01	0.03	0.66	1142
Rent	0.05	0.04	0.29	1142
Internet Access	-0.01	0.04	0.90	1142
Internet Mode	0.02	0.05	0.61	1142
Liberal	0.07	0.05	0.16	1142
Metro	0.02	0.04	0.68	1142
Florida	0.01	0.02	0.67	1142
Texas	0.01	0.03	0.62	1142
California	-0.03	0.03	0.22	1142
New York	0.03	0.02	0.19	1142
Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.02	0.61	1142

HC2 robust SEs displayed. Each coefficient is from a separate regression where the outcome is on the left hand side of the linear regression and the *post-Pulse* indicator is on the right hand side of the regression.

## 2.10.2 Post-Pulse Influence on SSM Support

**Table 92: Support for Same Sex Marriage Increases After Pulse**

	SSM Support	
	(1)	(2)
Post-Pulse	0.12*	0.09*
	(0.05)	(0.04)
Age		0.02
		(0.07)
White		0.20***
		(0.05)
Woman		0.05
		(0.04)
Non-religious		0.25***
		(0.06)
Married		-0.01
		(0.05)
Child		-0.09
		(0.05)
Income		0.03
		(0.07)
College		0.11**
		(0.04)
Unemployed		-0.03
		(0.05)
Union		-0.05
		(0.05)
Renter		0.03
		(0.06)
Internet Access		-0.03
		(0.05)
Internet Mode		0.01
		(0.04)
Liberal		0.38***
		(0.04)
Metro Area		0.06
		(0.05)
State FE	N	Y
R <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.35
N	1134	1132

Note: \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \* $p < 0.05$ . HC2 robust standard errors in parentheses.

### 2.10.3 Falsification Tests

**Table 93: LGBTQ-Irrelevant Attitudes Do Not Change Post-Pulse**

	Increase Taxes (1)	Common Core (2)	Citizen Pathway (3)	Abortion (4)	Build Keystone (5)	Repeal ACA (6)	Cap Emissions (7)
Post-Pulse	-0.02 (0.05)	0.03 (0.05)	-0.00 (0.05)	0.05 (0.05)	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.06 (0.05)	-0.01 (0.05)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
N	1135	1138	1137	1132	1136	1137	1135

Note: \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \* $p < 0.05$ . HC2 robust standard errors in parentheses.

## 2.10.4 Temporal Persistence

**Table 94: Table Characterizing Post-Pulse Coefficients Cutting Days Immediately After the Pulse Massacre**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	N	Days Cut	Controls
0.12	0.05	0.01	1106	1	No
0.13	0.05	0.01	1015	2	No
0.15	0.05	0.01	975	3	No
0.15	0.06	0.01	951	4	No
0.16	0.06	0.00	922	5	No
0.15	0.06	0.01	902	6	No
0.16	0.06	0.01	883	7	No
0.17	0.06	0.01	873	8	No
0.14	0.07	0.04	837	9	No
0.09	0.07	0.22	813	10	No
0.04	0.08	0.62	794	11	No
0.04	0.08	0.57	786	12	No
0.03	0.08	0.75	782	13	No
0.00	0.08	0.95	775	14	No
-0.00	0.08	0.97	770	15	No
-0.04	0.08	0.63	757	16	No
0.01	0.09	0.89	748	17	No
0.06	0.09	0.49	739	18	No
0.05	0.09	0.59	732	19	No
0.01	0.10	0.94	720	20	No
0.08	0.11	0.44	712	21	No
0.08	0.12	0.48	703	22	No
0.05	0.14	0.73	696	23	No
-0.11	0.19	0.57	683	24	No
0.10	0.04	0.02	1104	1	Yes
0.12	0.05	0.02	1013	2	Yes
0.12	0.05	0.01	974	3	Yes
0.12	0.05	0.02	950	4	Yes
0.14	0.05	0.01	921	5	Yes
0.15	0.06	0.01	901	6	Yes
0.14	0.06	0.02	882	7	Yes
0.14	0.06	0.02	872	8	Yes
0.12	0.07	0.08	836	9	Yes
0.05	0.06	0.43	812	10	Yes
-0.02	0.06	0.74	793	11	Yes
-0.03	0.06	0.66	785	12	Yes
-0.04	0.06	0.49	781	13	Yes
-0.05	0.06	0.47	774	14	Yes
-0.05	0.07	0.45	769	15	Yes
-0.09	0.07	0.23	756	16	Yes
-0.08	0.08	0.32	747	17	Yes
0.02	0.05	0.75	738	18	Yes
0.01	0.05	0.86	731	19	Yes
-0.00	0.06	0.99	719	20	Yes
0.04	0.07	0.54	711	21	Yes
0.06	0.07	0.37	702	22	Yes
0.04	0.07	0.52	695	23	Yes
0.05	0.10	0.62	682	24	Yes

## 2.10.5 Temporal Persistence (Control Coefficients)

**Table 95: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (control covariate coefficients, part 1)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.03	0.07	0.70	1	1104
White	0.19	0.05	0.00	1	1104
Woman	0.05	0.04	0.25	1	1104
Nonreligious	0.25	0.06	0.00	1	1104
Married	-0.01	0.05	0.84	1	1104
Child	-0.09	0.05	0.07	1	1104
Income	0.01	0.07	0.85	1	1104
College	0.11	0.04	0.00	1	1104
Unemployed	-0.03	0.05	0.50	1	1104
Union	-0.04	0.05	0.39	1	1104
Renter	0.03	0.06	0.60	1	1104
Internet Access	-0.01	0.05	0.75	1	1104
Internet Mode	0.01	0.04	0.84	1	1104
Liberal	0.38	0.04	0.00	1	1104
Metro Area	0.07	0.05	0.17	1	1104
Florida	0.22	0.11	0.05	1	1104
Texas	-0.06	0.07	0.36	1	1104
California	0.16	0.09	0.06	1	1104
New York	0.22	0.08	0.01	1	1104
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.12	0.77	1	1104
Age	0.04	0.08	0.61	2	1013
White	0.20	0.06	0.00	2	1013
Woman	0.04	0.04	0.36	2	1013
Nonreligious	0.24	0.07	0.00	2	1013
Married	-0.00	0.05	0.98	2	1013
Child	-0.08	0.05	0.15	2	1013
Income	0.04	0.08	0.62	2	1013
College	0.11	0.04	0.01	2	1013
Unemployed	-0.02	0.05	0.71	2	1013
Union	-0.05	0.05	0.38	2	1013
Renter	0.06	0.07	0.40	2	1013
Internet Access	-0.03	0.05	0.52	2	1013
Internet Mode	0.01	0.04	0.90	2	1013
Liberal	0.37	0.05	0.00	2	1013
Metro Area	0.08	0.05	0.14	2	1013
Florida	0.18	0.12	0.15	2	1013
Texas	-0.08	0.07	0.29	2	1013
California	0.15	0.10	0.12	2	1013
New York	0.18	0.09	0.05	2	1013
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.13	0.71	2	1013

**Table 96: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (control covariate coefficients, part 2)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.04	0.08	0.58	3	974
White	0.20	0.06	0.00	3	974
Woman	0.04	0.04	0.35	3	974
Nonreligious	0.24	0.07	0.00	3	974
Married	-0.00	0.06	0.95	3	974
Child	-0.08	0.05	0.15	3	974
Income	0.04	0.08	0.61	3	974
College	0.10	0.04	0.01	3	974
Unemployed	-0.02	0.05	0.73	3	974
Union	-0.05	0.06	0.40	3	974
Renter	0.05	0.07	0.44	3	974
Internet Access	-0.04	0.05	0.42	3	974
Internet Mode	0.01	0.04	0.82	3	974
Liberal	0.36	0.05	0.00	3	974
Metro Area	0.07	0.05	0.18	3	974
Florida	0.19	0.13	0.14	3	974
Texas	-0.07	0.08	0.34	3	974
California	0.15	0.10	0.13	3	974
New York	0.17	0.09	0.07	3	974
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.13	0.86	3	974
Age	0.04	0.08	0.60	4	950
White	0.21	0.06	0.00	4	950
Woman	0.04	0.04	0.32	4	950
Nonreligious	0.25	0.07	0.00	4	950
Married	-0.00	0.06	0.94	4	950
Child	-0.07	0.05	0.17	4	950
Income	0.05	0.08	0.54	4	950
College	0.11	0.04	0.01	4	950
Unemployed	-0.01	0.05	0.77	4	950
Union	-0.05	0.06	0.38	4	950
Renter	0.05	0.07	0.45	4	950
Internet Access	-0.04	0.05	0.42	4	950
Internet Mode	0.01	0.04	0.83	4	950
Liberal	0.36	0.05	0.00	4	950
Metro Area	0.07	0.06	0.20	4	950
Florida	0.18	0.13	0.16	4	950
Texas	-0.09	0.08	0.26	4	950
California	0.15	0.10	0.15	4	950
New York	0.17	0.09	0.06	4	950
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.13	0.88	4	950

**Table 97: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (control covariate coefficients, part 3)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.06	0.08	0.48	5	921
White	0.20	0.06	0.00	5	921
Woman	0.06	0.04	0.19	5	921
Nonreligious	0.25	0.07	0.00	5	921
Married	0.00	0.06	0.95	5	921
Child	-0.06	0.05	0.24	5	921
Income	0.05	0.08	0.55	5	921
College	0.11	0.04	0.01	5	921
Unemployed	-0.01	0.05	0.86	5	921
Union	-0.05	0.06	0.33	5	921
Renter	0.07	0.07	0.31	5	921
Internet Access	-0.04	0.05	0.46	5	921
Internet Mode	0.01	0.04	0.84	5	921
Liberal	0.37	0.05	0.00	5	921
Metro Area	0.07	0.06	0.23	5	921
Florida	0.17	0.13	0.17	5	921
Texas	-0.08	0.08	0.32	5	921
California	0.16	0.11	0.12	5	921
New York	0.16	0.10	0.09	5	921
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.14	0.99	5	921
Age	0.06	0.08	0.44	6	901
White	0.21	0.06	0.00	6	901
Woman	0.06	0.05	0.16	6	901
Nonreligious	0.24	0.07	0.00	6	901
Married	-0.00	0.06	0.97	6	901
Child	-0.06	0.05	0.25	6	901
Income	0.06	0.08	0.47	6	901
College	0.11	0.04	0.01	6	901
Unemployed	-0.00	0.05	0.93	6	901
Union	-0.06	0.06	0.34	6	901
Renter	0.06	0.07	0.36	6	901
Internet Access	-0.04	0.06	0.49	6	901
Internet Mode	0.01	0.04	0.76	6	901
Liberal	0.36	0.05	0.00	6	901
Metro Area	0.06	0.06	0.27	6	901
Florida	0.19	0.13	0.14	6	901
Texas	-0.08	0.08	0.32	6	901
California	0.17	0.11	0.12	6	901
New York	0.17	0.10	0.09	6	901
Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.14	0.96	6	901

**Table 98: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (control covariate coefficients, part 4)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.05	0.08	0.52	7	882
White	0.21	0.07	0.00	7	882
Woman	0.06	0.05	0.22	7	882
Nonreligious	0.24	0.07	0.00	7	882
Married	-0.00	0.06	0.97	7	882
Child	-0.05	0.06	0.34	7	882
Income	0.04	0.08	0.64	7	882
College	0.12	0.04	0.01	7	882
Unemployed	-0.00	0.05	0.99	7	882
Union	-0.06	0.06	0.26	7	882
Renter	0.06	0.07	0.39	7	882
Internet Access	-0.04	0.06	0.55	7	882
Internet Mode	0.03	0.04	0.54	7	882
Liberal	0.37	0.05	0.00	7	882
Metro Area	0.07	0.06	0.23	7	882
Florida	0.19	0.13	0.14	7	882
Texas	-0.07	0.08	0.41	7	882
California	0.16	0.11	0.14	7	882
New York	0.18	0.10	0.07	7	882
Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.15	0.94	7	882
Age	0.06	0.08	0.50	8	872
White	0.21	0.07	0.00	8	872
Woman	0.06	0.05	0.23	8	872
Nonreligious	0.24	0.07	0.00	8	872
Married	-0.00	0.06	0.95	8	872
Child	-0.05	0.06	0.34	8	872
Income	0.03	0.09	0.69	8	872
College	0.12	0.04	0.01	8	872
Unemployed	-0.00	0.05	0.96	8	872
Union	-0.07	0.06	0.25	8	872
Renter	0.06	0.07	0.40	8	872
Internet Access	-0.04	0.06	0.54	8	872
Internet Mode	0.03	0.04	0.51	8	872
Liberal	0.36	0.05	0.00	8	872
Metro Area	0.07	0.06	0.22	8	872
Florida	0.19	0.13	0.14	8	872
Texas	-0.07	0.08	0.41	8	872
California	0.17	0.11	0.13	8	872
New York	0.18	0.10	0.07	8	872
Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.14	0.94	8	872



**Table 99: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (control covariate coefficients, part 5)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.07	0.09	0.46	9	836
White	0.20	0.07	0.00	9	836
Woman	0.07	0.05	0.13	9	836
Nonreligious	0.23	0.07	0.00	9	836
Married	0.01	0.06	0.86	9	836
Child	-0.06	0.06	0.25	9	836
Income	0.02	0.09	0.78	9	836
College	0.13	0.04	0.00	9	836
Unemployed	0.00	0.06	0.99	9	836
Union	-0.08	0.06	0.15	9	836
Renter	0.05	0.07	0.48	9	836
Internet Access	-0.04	0.06	0.55	9	836
Internet Mode	0.02	0.04	0.66	9	836
Liberal	0.37	0.05	0.00	9	836
Metro Area	0.05	0.06	0.43	9	836
Florida	0.24	0.13	0.07	9	836
Texas	-0.04	0.08	0.58	9	836
California	0.19	0.11	0.08	9	836
New York	0.20	0.10	0.04	9	836
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.16	0.99	9	836
Age	0.02	0.08	0.79	10	812
White	0.23	0.06	0.00	10	812
Woman	0.05	0.05	0.25	10	812
Nonreligious	0.22	0.08	0.00	10	812
Married	-0.01	0.06	0.87	10	812
Child	-0.08	0.06	0.18	10	812
Income	0.02	0.09	0.83	10	812
College	0.14	0.04	0.00	10	812
Unemployed	0.04	0.05	0.51	10	812
Union	-0.08	0.06	0.15	10	812
Renter	0.01	0.06	0.88	10	812
Internet Access	0.01	0.05	0.76	10	812
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.20	10	812
Liberal	0.39	0.05	0.00	10	812
Metro Area	0.03	0.06	0.62	10	812
Florida	0.26	0.14	0.06	10	812
Texas	-0.01	0.07	0.90	10	812
California	0.19	0.11	0.09	10	812
New York	0.23	0.09	0.01	10	812
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.16	0.87	10	812

**Table 100: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (control covariate coefficients, part 6)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.03	0.08	0.70	11	793
White	0.23	0.06	0.00	11	793
Woman	0.07	0.04	0.12	11	793
Nonreligious	0.22	0.08	0.00	11	793
Married	-0.02	0.06	0.69	11	793
Child	-0.08	0.06	0.16	11	793
Income	0.04	0.09	0.64	11	793
College	0.13	0.04	0.00	11	793
Unemployed	0.03	0.05	0.59	11	793
Union	-0.06	0.06	0.30	11	793
Renter	0.02	0.07	0.73	11	793
Internet Access	-0.01	0.05	0.87	11	793
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.22	11	793
Liberal	0.40	0.05	0.00	11	793
Metro Area	0.07	0.05	0.18	11	793
Florida	0.22	0.15	0.15	11	793
Texas	-0.01	0.07	0.83	11	793
California	0.20	0.11	0.07	11	793
New York	0.26	0.10	0.01	11	793
Pennsylvania	0.04	0.17	0.81	11	793
Age	0.02	0.08	0.79	12	785
White	0.23	0.06	0.00	12	785
Woman	0.07	0.04	0.13	12	785
Nonreligious	0.22	0.08	0.00	12	785
Married	-0.02	0.06	0.77	12	785
Child	-0.09	0.06	0.12	12	785
Income	0.05	0.09	0.62	12	785
College	0.13	0.04	0.00	12	785
Unemployed	0.03	0.05	0.62	12	785
Union	-0.07	0.06	0.21	12	785
Renter	0.01	0.07	0.87	12	785
Internet Access	-0.01	0.05	0.90	12	785
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.21	12	785
Liberal	0.39	0.05	0.00	12	785
Metro Area	0.07	0.05	0.19	12	785
Florida	0.22	0.15	0.15	12	785
Texas	-0.01	0.07	0.84	12	785
California	0.20	0.11	0.07	12	785
New York	0.26	0.10	0.01	12	785
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.17	0.79	12	785

**Table 101: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (control covariate coefficients, part 7)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.05	0.08	0.53	13	781
White	0.22	0.06	0.00	13	781
Woman	0.07	0.04	0.13	13	781
Nonreligious	0.23	0.08	0.00	13	781
Married	-0.02	0.06	0.77	13	781
Child	-0.11	0.06	0.06	13	781
Income	0.06	0.09	0.50	13	781
College	0.14	0.04	0.00	13	781
Unemployed	0.03	0.05	0.53	13	781
Union	-0.06	0.06	0.28	13	781
Renter	0.03	0.07	0.71	13	781
Internet Access	-0.02	0.05	0.73	13	781
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.23	13	781
Liberal	0.38	0.05	0.00	13	781
Metro Area	0.06	0.05	0.26	13	781
Florida	0.22	0.15	0.15	13	781
Texas	-0.00	0.07	0.98	13	781
California	0.21	0.11	0.05	13	781
New York	0.27	0.10	0.01	13	781
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.18	0.76	13	781
Age	0.05	0.08	0.54	14	774
White	0.23	0.07	0.00	14	774
Woman	0.07	0.04	0.09	14	774
Nonreligious	0.22	0.08	0.00	14	774
Married	-0.01	0.06	0.80	14	774
Child	-0.10	0.06	0.08	14	774
Income	0.06	0.09	0.49	14	774
College	0.14	0.04	0.00	14	774
Unemployed	0.03	0.05	0.60	14	774
Union	-0.06	0.06	0.31	14	774
Renter	0.03	0.07	0.70	14	774
Internet Access	-0.01	0.05	0.77	14	774
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.27	14	774
Liberal	0.38	0.05	0.00	14	774
Metro Area	0.06	0.05	0.25	14	774
Florida	0.22	0.16	0.17	14	774
Texas	-0.00	0.07	0.96	14	774
California	0.21	0.11	0.05	14	774
New York	0.22	0.11	0.04	14	774
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.17	0.77	14	774

**Table 102: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (control covariate coefficients, part 8)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.05	0.08	0.52	15	769
White	0.23	0.07	0.00	15	769
Woman	0.07	0.04	0.09	15	769
Nonreligious	0.23	0.08	0.00	15	769
Married	-0.02	0.06	0.77	15	769
Child	-0.11	0.06	0.07	15	769
Income	0.06	0.09	0.51	15	769
College	0.14	0.04	0.00	15	769
Unemployed	0.03	0.05	0.62	15	769
Union	-0.05	0.06	0.34	15	769
Renter	0.03	0.07	0.70	15	769
Internet Access	-0.02	0.05	0.71	15	769
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.21	15	769
Liberal	0.37	0.05	0.00	15	769
Metro Area	0.06	0.05	0.26	15	769
Florida	0.22	0.16	0.17	15	769
Texas	-0.00	0.07	0.96	15	769
California	0.21	0.11	0.05	15	769
New York	0.20	0.11	0.08	15	769
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.17	0.76	15	769
Age	0.06	0.08	0.42	16	756
White	0.24	0.06	0.00	16	756
Woman	0.07	0.04	0.10	16	756
Nonreligious	0.19	0.07	0.01	16	756
Married	-0.02	0.06	0.72	16	756
Child	-0.09	0.06	0.16	16	756
Income	0.03	0.10	0.73	16	756
College	0.15	0.05	0.00	16	756
Unemployed	0.01	0.06	0.84	16	756
Union	-0.04	0.06	0.45	16	756
Renter	0.04	0.07	0.54	16	756
Internet Access	-0.03	0.05	0.60	16	756
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.21	16	756
Liberal	0.38	0.05	0.00	16	756
Metro Area	0.07	0.06	0.23	16	756
Florida	0.15	0.17	0.35	16	756
Texas	0.00	0.07	0.94	16	756
California	0.23	0.10	0.02	16	756
New York	0.21	0.11	0.06	16	756
Pennsylvania	0.06	0.17	0.71	16	756

**Table 103: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (control covariate coefficients, part 9)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.05	0.08	0.57	17	747
White	0.26	0.07	0.00	17	747
Woman	0.07	0.04	0.10	17	747
Nonreligious	0.21	0.07	0.00	17	747
Married	-0.03	0.06	0.64	17	747
Child	-0.10	0.06	0.10	17	747
Income	0.01	0.09	0.88	17	747
College	0.15	0.05	0.00	17	747
Unemployed	0.02	0.06	0.77	17	747
Union	-0.02	0.06	0.72	17	747
Renter	0.04	0.07	0.62	17	747
Internet Access	-0.02	0.05	0.65	17	747
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.27	17	747
Liberal	0.38	0.05	0.00	17	747
Metro Area	0.05	0.06	0.38	17	747
Florida	0.16	0.17	0.33	17	747
Texas	0.05	0.06	0.38	17	747
California	0.23	0.10	0.02	17	747
New York	0.21	0.11	0.06	17	747
Pennsylvania	0.06	0.17	0.70	17	747
Age	0.00	0.07	0.97	18	738
White	0.28	0.06	0.00	18	738
Woman	0.08	0.04	0.05	18	738
Nonreligious	0.17	0.06	0.01	18	738
Married	-0.04	0.06	0.48	18	738
Child	-0.14	0.05	0.01	18	738
Income	0.08	0.08	0.31	18	738
College	0.13	0.04	0.00	18	738
Unemployed	0.05	0.05	0.33	18	738
Union	-0.04	0.05	0.44	18	738
Renter	0.02	0.07	0.75	18	738
Internet Access	-0.00	0.05	0.94	18	738
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.22	18	738
Liberal	0.40	0.05	0.00	18	738
Metro Area	0.04	0.06	0.42	18	738
Florida	0.19	0.17	0.26	18	738
Texas	0.08	0.06	0.22	18	738
California	0.30	0.07	0.00	18	738
New York	0.19	0.11	0.10	18	738
Pennsylvania	0.06	0.18	0.73	18	738

**Table 104: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (control covariate coefficients, part 10)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.02	0.07	0.80	19	731
White	0.28	0.06	0.00	19	731
Woman	0.09	0.04	0.03	19	731
Nonreligious	0.18	0.06	0.00	19	731
Married	-0.04	0.06	0.45	19	731
Child	-0.14	0.05	0.01	19	731
Income	0.09	0.08	0.24	19	731
College	0.14	0.04	0.00	19	731
Unemployed	0.04	0.05	0.40	19	731
Union	-0.04	0.05	0.46	19	731
Renter	0.03	0.07	0.65	19	731
Internet Access	0.01	0.05	0.89	19	731
Internet Mode	0.06	0.04	0.16	19	731
Liberal	0.40	0.05	0.00	19	731
Metro Area	0.04	0.05	0.45	19	731
Florida	0.21	0.17	0.22	19	731
Texas	0.08	0.06	0.21	19	731
California	0.30	0.07	0.00	19	731
New York	0.19	0.11	0.09	19	731
Pennsylvania	0.06	0.17	0.72	19	731
Age	0.03	0.07	0.70	20	719
White	0.28	0.06	0.00	20	719
Woman	0.09	0.04	0.04	20	719
Nonreligious	0.15	0.06	0.01	20	719
Married	-0.05	0.06	0.43	20	719
Child	-0.14	0.06	0.01	20	719
Income	0.09	0.08	0.26	20	719
College	0.13	0.04	0.00	20	719
Unemployed	0.03	0.05	0.53	20	719
Union	-0.04	0.05	0.48	20	719
Renter	0.03	0.07	0.65	20	719
Internet Access	0.00	0.05	0.93	20	719
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.21	20	719
Liberal	0.40	0.05	0.00	20	719
Metro Area	0.04	0.06	0.51	20	719
Florida	0.21	0.17	0.21	20	719
Texas	0.09	0.06	0.15	20	719
California	0.32	0.07	0.00	20	719
New York	0.20	0.11	0.08	20	719
Pennsylvania	0.07	0.17	0.69	20	719

**Table 105: Estimates characterizing Post-Pulse coefficient attenuation (control covariate coefficients, part 11)**

Covariate	Coef.	SE	p-value	Days Cut	N
Age	0.03	0.07	0.69	21	711
White	0.27	0.06	0.00	21	711
Woman	0.09	0.04	0.04	21	711
Nonreligious	0.15	0.06	0.02	21	711
Married	-0.06	0.06	0.35	21	711
Child	-0.14	0.06	0.01	21	711
Income	0.10	0.08	0.23	21	711
College	0.12	0.04	0.00	21	711
Unemployed	0.03	0.05	0.56	21	711
Union	-0.02	0.06	0.78	21	711
Renter	0.03	0.07	0.69	21	711
Internet Access	0.00	0.05	0.96	21	711
Internet Mode	0.05	0.04	0.25	21	711
Liberal	0.40	0.05	0.00	21	711
Metro Area	0.04	0.06	0.50	21	711
Florida	0.21	0.17	0.22	21	711
Texas	0.09	0.06	0.17	21	711
California	0.32	0.08	0.00	21	711
New York	0.27	0.09	0.00	21	711
Pennsylvania	0.06	0.17	0.72	21	711
Age	0.04	0.07	0.62	22	702
White	0.27	0.06	0.00	22	702
Woman	0.09	0.04	0.04	22	702
Nonreligious	0.15	0.06	0.02	22	702
Married	-0.05	0.06	0.37	22	702
Child	-0.14	0.06	0.01	22	702
Income	0.10	0.08	0.24	22	702
College	0.12	0.04	0.00	22	702
Unemployed	0.02	0.05	0.67	22	702
Union	-0.02	0.06	0.77	22	702
Renter	0.03	0.07	0.71	22	702
Internet Access	0.00	0.05	0.98	22	702
Internet Mode	0.04	0.04	0.30	22	702
Liberal	0.40	0.05	0.00	22	702
Metro Area	0.03	0.06	0.54	22	702
Florida	0.21	0.17	0.22	22	702
Texas	0.09	0.06	0.14	22	702
California	0.32	0.08	0.00	22	702
New York	0.27	0.10	0.00	22	702
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.17	0.77	22	702

## 2.10.6 Alternative Bandwidths

**Table 106: Alternative Bandwidth Post-Pulse Coefficients**

Pre/Post Bandwidth (in Days)	Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	# Imbalanced Covariates	Controls?	NA
1/1	0.15	0.15	0.30	3.00	116.00	No
2/2	0.10	0.09	0.26	2.00	329.00	No
3/3	0.06	0.08	0.40	0.00	477.00	No
4/4	0.07	0.06	0.28	0.00	862.00	No
4/5	0.06	0.06	0.36	0.00	891.00	No
4/6	0.08	0.06	0.20	1.00	911.00	No
4/7	0.08	0.06	0.17	1.00	930.00	No
4/8	0.07	0.06	0.19	1.00	940.00	No
4/9	0.11	0.05	0.05	1.00	976.00	No
4/10	0.14	0.05	0.01	1.00	1001.00	No
4/11	0.16	0.05	0.00	1.00	1020.00	No
4/12	0.15	0.05	0.00	1.00	1028.00	No
4/13	0.16	0.05	0.00	1.00	1032.00	No
4/14	0.16	0.05	0.00	1.00	1039.00	No
4/15	0.16	0.05	0.00	1.00	1045.00	No
4/16	0.16	0.05	0.00	2.00	1058.00	No
4/17	0.14	0.05	0.00	2.00	1067.00	No
4/18	0.13	0.05	0.01	1.00	1077.00	No
4/19	0.13	0.05	0.01	1.00	1084.00	No
4/20	0.14	0.05	0.01	1.00	1096.00	No
4/21	0.12	0.05	0.01	1.00	1104.00	No
4/22	0.12	0.05	0.01	1.00	1113.00	No
4/23	0.13	0.05	0.01	1.00	1120.00	No
4/24	0.13	0.05	0.01	1.00	1133.00	No
4/25	0.12	0.05	0.01	1.00	1140.00	No
4/26	0.12	0.05	0.01	1.00	1141.00	No
1/1	0.13	0.14	0.37	3.00	116.00	Yes
2/2	0.04	0.06	0.54	2.00	329.00	Yes
4/6	0.05	0.04	0.21	1.00	911.00	Yes
4/7	0.07	0.04	0.10	1.00	930.00	Yes
4/8	0.07	0.04	0.10	1.00	940.00	Yes
4/9	0.09	0.04	0.02	1.00	976.00	Yes
4/10	0.13	0.04	0.00	1.00	1001.00	Yes
4/11	0.15	0.04	0.00	1.00	1020.00	Yes
4/12	0.15	0.04	0.00	1.00	1028.00	Yes
4/13	0.15	0.04	0.00	1.00	1032.00	Yes
4/14	0.15	0.04	0.00	1.00	1039.00	Yes
4/15	0.15	0.04	0.00	1.00	1045.00	Yes
4/16	0.14	0.04	0.00	2.00	1058.00	Yes
4/17	0.13	0.04	0.00	2.00	1067.00	Yes
4/18	0.11	0.04	0.01	1.00	1077.00	Yes
4/19	0.11	0.04	0.01	1.00	1084.00	Yes
4/20	0.11	0.04	0.01	1.00	1096.00	Yes
4/21	0.10	0.04	0.02	1.00	1104.00	Yes
4/22	0.10	0.04	0.02	1.00	1113.00	Yes
4/23	0.10	0.04	0.02	1.00	1120.00	Yes
4/24	0.10	0.04	0.02	1.00	1133.00	Yes
4/25	0.10	0.04	0.02	1.00	1140.00	Yes
4/26	0.10	0.04	0.02	1.00	1141.00	Yes



## 3 Study 2: PI S-IAT

### 3.1 Representativeness Discussion

The PI data are not population representative. The sample contains more youth (68% aged 18-29 vs. 18%), women (65% vs. 51%), liberals (57% vs. 41%), college educated (44% vs. 29%), and non-whites (36% vs. 22%) than TAPS. However, although the PI sample is disproportionately composed of respondent attributes associated with pro-LGBTQ+ attitudes, the empirical conclusions we draw from the PI sample may translate to a representative population. Prior research demonstrates non-representative internet samples respond similarly to external stimuli as representative samples (Coppock, 2019). If Study 2 corroborates results from a nationally representative sample (Study 1), we may have confidence Study 2's findings are generalizable.

### 3.2 Baseline Covariate Measurement

**Age:** Self-reported age, rescaled between 0-1.

**Woman:** 1 if respondent indicates they are “female,” 0 otherwise.

**White:** 1 if respondent indicates they are “white,” 0 otherwise.

**College:** 1 if respondent indicates the highest level of education they have is a “bachelor’s degree,” “some graduate school,” a “master’s degree,” a “J.D.,” a “M.D.,” a “PhD,” an other “advanced degree” or a “M.B.A.” 0 otherwise.

**Liberal:** 1 if respondent indicates their political identity is “slightly liberal,” “moderately liberal,” or “strongly liberal.” 0 otherwise.

**Religious:** 1 if respondent indicates they are not “not at all religious,” 0 otherwise

**Non-Metro:** 1 if respondent is not from a “nonmetropolitan area,” 0 otherwise.

**California/Pennsylvania/New York/Florida/Illinois:** 1 if respondent indicates their state of residence is California/Pennsylvania/New York/Florida/Illinois, 0 otherwise.

### 3.3 D-Score Details

The S-IAT acquires respondents mean *compatible response latency* (CRL) and *incompatible response latency* (IRL) (in milliseconds). The CRL is an average of how quickly a respondent associates “good” (e.g. happy, terrific) and “bad” (e.g. evil, rotten) words in addition to “gay” (e.g. homosexual, woman/woman image) or “straight” (e.g. heterosexual, man/woman image) words/images to a left or right-sided bin that characterize associations designed to be easy for people who prefer straight to gay people (e.g. gay/bad, straight/good). The IRL measures the same thing but where the left or right-sided bins characterize associations designed to be difficult for people who prefer straight to gay people (e.g. gay/good, straight/bad). The S-IAT assumes implicitly biased respondents will be faster making congruent than incongruent associations. Consequently, the *D-score* is the  $IRL - CRL$  difference divided by the within-individual standard deviation of response latencies calculated across the compatible and incompatible trials. The *D-score* ranges from -2-2, with higher values suggesting implicit bias against gay people .

### 3.4 Explaining Coefficients = Meaningful

Figure 5 displays *post-Pulse* intent-to-treat coefficients where the outcome is the daily *D-score*, *straight bias*, and *heterocentrism*. Honing in on 15 and 20-day sample bandwidth estimates, respondents surveyed *post-Pulse* have a lower *D-score* (-0.01,  $p < 0.10$ ) and *heterocentrism* (-0.01,  $p < 0.01$ ), equivalent to roughly 7% and 8% of the respective outcome standard deviations pre-Pulse. Although these coefficients are small, they are reasonable and likely underestimated. First, prior research shows affective attitudes toward marginalized groups tend to be stable, so small attitudinal shifts may be meaningful (Sears, 1993; Vuletic and Payne, 2019).

Second, the *D-score* is indirectly measured, so it is less subject to impression management. Thus, small coefficients may be meaningful because the mass public may have difficulties shifting automatic attitudes toward LGBTQ+ community segments.

Third, conversely, *heterocentrism* is an explicit outcome asking respondents to indicate they favor straight to gay people. Thus, the measure may be subject to impression management where individuals who would otherwise adopt genuinely more prosocial beliefs toward LGBTQ+ group members may already be self-reporting disingenuous prosocial beliefs prior to the massacre on the basis of social desirability. These dynamics may generate ceiling effects on external stimuli that would otherwise motivate prosocial attitudes.

Fourth, coefficients may be smaller since we are estimating an intent-to-treat effect with a relatively youthful PI S-IAT sample relative to TAPS in Study 1. Youth pay less attention to media (Neundorf et al., 2013). Therefore, they may be less likely to shift their attitudes in response to media context changes, which could attenuate ITT effects. In summary, the “true” ITT effect may be much larger than what we identify in Study 2 if we had a representative adult population.

Fifth, we cannot truncate to attentive respondents in Study 2 like Study 1 due to the absence of auxiliary interview length data.<sup>8</sup> Inattentiveness may produce underestimates of the *post-Pulse* coefficient. Fifth, the coefficients are still meaningful from a relative basis. The *post-Pulse* coefficients for the *D-score* and *heterocentrism* outcomes are roughly 10% of the political liberalism coefficient, one of the most prognostic covariates determining prosocial attitudes toward LGBTQ+ people (Flores, 2014).

Sixth, Studies 1 and 3 suggest that violence against LGBTQ+ people can motivate relatively large ITT effects on prosocial attitudes toward LGBTQ+ group members (20% of the outcome standard deviation for Study 1, a 10 percentage point increase in support same-sex marriage; 20% of the outcome standard deviation for Study 3, a 10 percentage point decrease in reporting homosexuality is immoral). Statistically, multiple testing of the same hypothesis will generate variation in effects (Gelman, 2015). This means that the small effect in Study 2 may be a function of statistical and/or sampling variation instead of the “true” effect if we had a survey of the entire US adult population. Therefore, on balance, we have two studies with relatively large effects, and one study with relatively small effects. In the aggregate, we believe these findings imply that violence against LGBTQ+ people can have a meaningful initial impact on mass attitudes. We also believe Study 2, even in identifying smaller effect sizes, is still important because it provides additional evidence congruent with Studies 1 and 3.

Seventh, even if prosocial attitudinal shifts *post-Pulse* are small in Study 2, our target population is all US adult Americans, which could suggest substantively important effects. For instance, there are roughly 260 million adult Americans. If *heterocentrism* decreases by 0.01 on a scale from 0-1 (the *post-Pulse* effect size we identify), that could mean nearly 3 million Americans go from the maximum level of *heterocentrism* to the lowest level of *heterocentrism* while the other 257

---

<sup>8</sup>Study 3’s coefficients, which are substantively larger, are from a telephone survey, where respondents are typically more attentive.

million Americans do not shift their attitudes, a meaningful effect as far as the adult mass public is concerned. More reasonably, it could also mean *heterocentrism* decreases by one-fifth of the 0-1 scale for 15 million Americans while staying constant for the other 245 million Americans, again, a substantively meaningful effect given 15 million people are holding measurably lower levels of *heterocentrism*.

Eighth, we caution against demands for large effects in political science research. Often, large effect sizes are a function of limited statistical power, which could result in Type 1 errors. Small effect sizes are likely more reasonable, replicable, realistic, and externally valid in helping to explain human behavior (Arel-Bundock et al., 2022). Indeed, it is no surprise smaller effect sizes stem from Study 2 ( $N = 3674, 4956$ ) relative to Studies 1 ( $N = 1132$ ) and 3 ( $N = 2052$ ) since Study 2 has a larger sample size.

## 3.5 Regression Tables

### 3.5.1 Balance Tests

Table 107: Balance Tests (Part 1)

Outcome	Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	N
Age	-0.01	0.01	0.42	5 days	1501
Age	-0.01	0.01	0.35	10 days	2665
Age	-0.00	0.00	0.46	15 days	3674
Age	-0.00	0.00	0.92	20 days	4956
Age	0.00	0.00	0.56	25 days	5991
Age	0.01	0.00	0.00	30 days	7778
Age	0.01	0.00	0.00	35 days	9419
Age	0.01	0.00	0.00	40 days	10857
Age	0.02	0.00	0.00	45 days	12198
Age	0.03	0.00	0.00	50 days	14209
Woman	0.01	0.02	0.66	5 days	1501
Woman	0.02	0.02	0.25	10 days	2665
Woman	0.03	0.02	0.06	15 days	3674
Woman	0.02	0.01	0.14	20 days	4956
Woman	0.02	0.01	0.12	25 days	5991
Woman	0.02	0.01	0.14	30 days	7778
Woman	-0.00	0.01	0.92	35 days	9419
Woman	0.00	0.01	0.98	40 days	10857
Woman	-0.00	0.01	0.98	45 days	12198
Woman	-0.01	0.01	0.31	50 days	14209
White	-0.06	0.02	0.02	5 days	1501
White	-0.05	0.02	0.00	10 days	2665
White	-0.05	0.02	0.00	15 days	3674
White	-0.03	0.01	0.02	20 days	4956
White	-0.04	0.01	0.00	25 days	5991
White	-0.00	0.01	0.64	30 days	7778
White	-0.01	0.01	0.52	35 days	9419
White	-0.01	0.01	0.32	40 days	10857
White	-0.01	0.01	0.25	45 days	12198
White	0.00	0.01	0.89	50 days	14209
College	-0.01	0.03	0.84	5 days	1501
College	-0.02	0.02	0.33	10 days	2665
College	-0.02	0.02	0.15	15 days	3674
College	-0.01	0.01	0.52	20 days	4956
College	0.03	0.01	0.04	25 days	5991
College	0.06	0.01	0.00	30 days	7778
College	0.09	0.01	0.00	35 days	9419
College	0.13	0.01	0.00	40 days	10857
College	0.15	0.01	0.00	45 days	12198
College	0.19	0.01	0.00	50 days	14209
Liberal	0.02	0.03	0.43	5 days	1501
Liberal	0.03	0.02	0.15	10 days	2665
Liberal	0.02	0.02	0.20	15 days	3674
Liberal	0.02	0.01	0.20	20 days	4956
Liberal	0.03	0.01	0.03	25 days	5991
Liberal	0.05	0.01	0.00	30 days	7778
Liberal	0.07	0.01	0.00	35 days	9419
Liberal	0.07	0.01	0.00	40 days	10857
Liberal	0.07	0.01	0.00	45 days	12198
Liberal	0.09	0.01	0.00	50 days	14209
Religious	0.00	0.02	0.88	5 days	1501
Religious	-0.00	0.02	0.94	10 days	2665
Religious	0.00	0.02	0.90	15 days	3674
Religious	-0.01	0.01	0.59	20 days	4956
Religious	-0.01	0.01	0.67	25 days	5991
Religious	-0.01	0.01	0.35	30 days	7778
Religious	-0.02	0.01	0.09	35 days	9419
Religious	-0.02	0.01	0.02	40 days	10857
Religious	-0.02	0.01	0.03	45 days	12198
Religious	-0.03	0.01	0.00	50 days	14209

HC2 Robust SEs presented.

**Table 108: Balance Tests (Part 2)**

Outcome	Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	N
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.88	5 days	1501
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.54	10 days	2665
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.77	15 days	3674
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.29	20 days	4956
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.00	0.68	25 days	5991
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.89	30 days	7778
Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.00	0.09	35 days	9419
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.26	40 days	10857
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.29	45 days	12198
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.77	50 days	14209
New York	0.01	0.01	0.40	5 days	1501
New York	0.00	0.01	0.78	10 days	2665
New York	0.00	0.01	0.86	15 days	3674
New York	-0.00	0.01	0.96	20 days	4956
New York	0.00	0.01	0.80	25 days	5991
New York	-0.00	0.00	0.99	30 days	7778
New York	0.00	0.00	0.29	35 days	9419
New York	0.01	0.00	0.08	40 days	10857
New York	0.01	0.00	0.20	45 days	12198
New York	0.01	0.00	0.14	50 days	14209
Florida	0.03	0.01	0.01	5 days	1501
Florida	0.03	0.01	0.00	10 days	2665
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.19	15 days	3674
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.39	20 days	4956
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.05	25 days	5991
Florida	0.01	0.00	0.10	30 days	7778
Florida	0.01	0.00	0.01	35 days	9419
Florida	0.01	0.00	0.01	40 days	10857
Florida	0.01	0.00	0.00	45 days	12198
Florida	0.01	0.00	0.00	50 days	14209
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.56	5 days	1501
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.95	10 days	2665
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.62	15 days	3674
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.88	20 days	4956
Illinois	-0.00	0.00	0.81	25 days	5991
Illinois	0.00	0.00	0.61	30 days	7778
Illinois	0.00	0.00	0.65	35 days	9419
Illinois	-0.01	0.00	0.08	40 days	10857
Illinois	-0.01	0.00	0.03	45 days	12198
Illinois	-0.01	0.00	0.01	50 days	14209

HC2 Robust SEs presented.

### 3.5.2 Influence of Pulse on Anti-Gay Attitudes

**Table 109: Influence of Pulse on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Part 1)**

Outcome	Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	N	Controls
A. D-Score	-0.003	0.008	0.696	5	1487	No
A. D-Score	-0.010	0.006	0.087	10	2639	No
A. D-Score	-0.009	0.005	0.050	15	3638	No
A. D-Score	-0.008	0.004	0.035	20	4907	No
A. D-Score	-0.008	0.004	0.037	25	5925	No
A. D-Score	-0.011	0.003	0.001	30	7689	No
A. D-Score	-0.017	0.003	0.000	35	9313	No
A. D-Score	-0.017	0.003	0.000	40	10735	No
A. D-Score	-0.018	0.003	0.000	45	12057	No
A. D-Score	-0.022	0.002	0.000	50	14051	No
A. D-Score	-0.020	0.002	0.000	Full	41900	No
A. D-Score	-0.002	0.007	0.753	5	1487	Yes
A. D-Score	-0.009	0.005	0.094	10	2639	Yes
A. D-Score	-0.009	0.004	0.055	15	3638	Yes
A. D-Score	-0.007	0.004	0.058	20	4907	Yes
A. D-Score	-0.006	0.003	0.100	25	5925	Yes
A. D-Score	-0.007	0.003	0.027	30	7689	Yes
A. D-Score	-0.012	0.003	0.000	35	9313	Yes
A. D-Score	-0.012	0.003	0.000	40	10735	Yes
A. D-Score	-0.012	0.002	0.000	45	12057	Yes
A. D-Score	-0.014	0.002	0.000	50	14051	Yes
A. D-Score	-0.014	0.002	0.000	Full	41900	Yes
B. Straight Bias	0.001	0.010	0.899	5	1453	No
B. Straight Bias	-0.010	0.008	0.194	10	2584	No
B. Straight Bias	-0.008	0.006	0.200	15	3562	No
B. Straight Bias	-0.008	0.006	0.156	20	4799	No
B. Straight Bias	-0.011	0.005	0.032	25	5794	No
B. Straight Bias	-0.014	0.004	0.001	30	7511	No
B. Straight Bias	-0.020	0.004	0.000	35	9111	No
B. Straight Bias	-0.019	0.004	0.000	40	10519	No
B. Straight Bias	-0.017	0.004	0.000	45	11827	No
B. Straight Bias	-0.022	0.003	0.000	50	13780	No
B. Straight Bias	-0.021	0.002	0.000	Full	42738	No
B. Straight Bias	0.005	0.010	0.591	5	1453	Yes
B. Straight Bias	-0.005	0.007	0.501	10	2584	Yes
B. Straight Bias	-0.005	0.006	0.380	15	3562	Yes
B. Straight Bias	-0.005	0.005	0.350	20	4799	Yes
B. Straight Bias	-0.007	0.005	0.122	25	5794	Yes
B. Straight Bias	-0.008	0.004	0.049	30	7511	Yes
B. Straight Bias	-0.012	0.004	0.002	35	9111	Yes

**Table 110: Influence of Pulse on Anti-Gay Attitudes (Part 2)**

Outcome	Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	N	Controls
B. Straight Bias	-0.011	0.003	0.001	40	10519	Yes
B. Straight Bias	-0.010	0.003	0.002	45	11827	Yes
B. Straight Bias	-0.012	0.003	0.000	50	13780	Yes
B. Straight Bias	-0.012	0.002	0.000	Full	42738	Yes
C. Heterocentrism	-0.011	0.007	0.140	5	1489	No
C. Heterocentrism	-0.015	0.005	0.006	10	2643	No
C. Heterocentrism	-0.014	0.004	0.002	15	3645	No
C. Heterocentrism	-0.013	0.004	0.001	20	4920	No
C. Heterocentrism	-0.012	0.003	0.000	25	5946	No
C. Heterocentrism	-0.016	0.003	0.000	30	7720	No
C. Heterocentrism	-0.020	0.003	0.000	35	9342	No
C. Heterocentrism	-0.020	0.002	0.000	40	10772	No
C. Heterocentrism	-0.018	0.002	0.000	45	12106	No
C. Heterocentrism	-0.022	0.002	0.000	50	14093	No
C. Heterocentrism	-0.021	0.001	0.000	Full	43639	No
C. Heterocentrism	-0.009	0.007	0.159	5	1489	Yes
C. Heterocentrism	-0.012	0.005	0.010	10	2643	Yes
C. Heterocentrism	-0.012	0.004	0.003	15	3645	Yes
C. Heterocentrism	-0.011	0.003	0.001	20	4920	Yes
C. Heterocentrism	-0.010	0.003	0.001	25	5946	Yes
C. Heterocentrism	-0.012	0.003	0.000	30	7720	Yes
C. Heterocentrism	-0.014	0.002	0.000	35	9342	Yes
C. Heterocentrism	-0.014	0.002	0.000	40	10772	Yes
C. Heterocentrism	-0.013	0.002	0.000	45	12106	Yes
C. Heterocentrism	-0.014	0.002	0.000	50	14093	Yes

### 3.5.3 Influence of Control Covariates on Anti-Gay Attitudes

**Table 111: Influence of Control Covariates on Heterocentrism (Part 1)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
Liberal	-0.082	0.007	0.000	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
Age	0.017	0.022	0.443	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
White	-0.010	0.007	0.171	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
Woman	-0.004	0.007	0.587	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
College	-0.018	0.008	0.017	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
Religious	0.042	0.008	0.000	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
Nonmetro	0.004	0.014	0.752	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
California	-0.000	0.011	0.968	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
Pennsylvania	0.020	0.016	0.233	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
New York	0.006	0.013	0.653	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
Florida	-0.007	0.014	0.611	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
Illinois	-0.022	0.021	0.300	5.000	Heterocentrism	1489
Liberal	-0.088	0.005	0.000	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
Age	0.045	0.018	0.010	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
White	-0.012	0.005	0.027	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
Woman	-0.004	0.005	0.411	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
College	-0.006	0.006	0.276	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
Religious	0.040	0.006	0.000	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
Nonmetro	0.006	0.009	0.459	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
California	-0.003	0.008	0.724	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
Pennsylvania	0.018	0.013	0.178	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
New York	0.008	0.010	0.416	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
Florida	-0.011	0.010	0.264	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
Illinois	-0.015	0.015	0.295	10.000	Heterocentrism	2643
Liberal	-0.084	0.004	0.000	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
Age	0.048	0.015	0.001	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
White	-0.009	0.005	0.054	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
Woman	-0.005	0.004	0.223	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
College	-0.008	0.005	0.079	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
Religious	0.044	0.005	0.000	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
Nonmetro	0.007	0.007	0.335	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
California	-0.002	0.006	0.766	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
Pennsylvania	0.013	0.010	0.203	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
New York	0.005	0.009	0.553	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
Florida	0.001	0.008	0.930	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
Illinois	-0.015	0.012	0.218	15.000	Heterocentrism	3645
Liberal	-0.083	0.004	0.000	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920
Age	0.043	0.013	0.001	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920
White	-0.009	0.004	0.022	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920
Woman	-0.008	0.004	0.030	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920



**Table 112: Influence of Control Covariates on Heterocentrism (Part 2)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
College	-0.004	0.004	0.275	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920
Religious	0.044	0.004	0.000	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920
Nonmetro	-0.002	0.006	0.700	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920
California	-0.009	0.005	0.085	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920
Pennsylvania	0.006	0.009	0.520	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920
New York	-0.005	0.008	0.532	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920
Florida	0.004	0.007	0.626	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920
Illinois	-0.009	0.009	0.346	20.000	Heterocentrism	4920
Liberal	-0.081	0.003	0.000	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
Age	0.045	0.011	0.000	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
White	-0.012	0.004	0.000	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
Woman	-0.008	0.003	0.021	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
College	-0.003	0.004	0.340	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
Religious	0.043	0.004	0.000	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
Nonmetro	0.001	0.006	0.860	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
California	-0.010	0.005	0.029	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
Pennsylvania	0.001	0.008	0.947	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
New York	-0.011	0.007	0.138	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
Florida	0.004	0.007	0.592	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
Illinois	-0.003	0.008	0.714	25.000	Heterocentrism	5946
Liberal	-0.081	0.003	0.000	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
Age	0.046	0.010	0.000	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
White	-0.016	0.003	0.000	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
Woman	-0.012	0.003	0.000	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
College	-0.003	0.003	0.272	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
Religious	0.042	0.003	0.000	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
Nonmetro	-0.001	0.005	0.862	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
California	-0.011	0.004	0.008	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
Pennsylvania	-0.004	0.007	0.595	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
New York	-0.014	0.006	0.026	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
Florida	0.001	0.007	0.830	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
Illinois	-0.009	0.008	0.262	30.000	Heterocentrism	7720
Liberal	-0.078	0.003	0.000	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342
Age	0.045	0.009	0.000	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342
White	-0.016	0.003	0.000	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342
Woman	-0.012	0.003	0.000	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342
College	-0.003	0.003	0.211	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342
Religious	0.043	0.003	0.000	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342
Nonmetro	0.001	0.005	0.830	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342
California	-0.012	0.004	0.002	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342

**Table 113: Influence of Control Covariates on Heterocentrism (Part 3)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
Pennsylvania	-0.004	0.006	0.481	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342
New York	-0.016	0.006	0.004	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342
Florida	0.001	0.006	0.824	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342
Illinois	-0.010	0.007	0.143	35.000	Heterocentrism	9342
Liberal	-0.077	0.002	0.000	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
Age	0.045	0.008	0.000	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
White	-0.016	0.003	0.000	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
Woman	-0.013	0.002	0.000	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
College	-0.004	0.003	0.085	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
Religious	0.043	0.003	0.000	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
Nonmetro	0.006	0.004	0.200	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
California	-0.011	0.004	0.003	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
Pennsylvania	-0.005	0.006	0.421	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
New York	-0.016	0.005	0.001	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
Florida	0.001	0.006	0.898	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
Illinois	-0.008	0.006	0.229	40.000	Heterocentrism	10772
Liberal	-0.077	0.002	0.000	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
Age	0.045	0.008	0.000	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
White	-0.016	0.002	0.000	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
Woman	-0.013	0.002	0.000	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
College	-0.004	0.002	0.123	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
Religious	0.044	0.003	0.000	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
Nonmetro	0.005	0.004	0.205	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
California	-0.012	0.003	0.001	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
Pennsylvania	-0.004	0.006	0.519	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
New York	-0.018	0.005	0.000	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
Florida	0.004	0.006	0.514	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
Illinois	-0.010	0.006	0.105	45.000	Heterocentrism	12106
Liberal	-0.078	0.002	0.000	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093
Age	0.047	0.007	0.000	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093
White	-0.017	0.002	0.000	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093
Woman	-0.013	0.002	0.000	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093
College	-0.005	0.002	0.043	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093
Religious	0.043	0.002	0.000	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093
Nonmetro	0.004	0.004	0.257	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093
California	-0.011	0.003	0.001	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093
Pennsylvania	-0.002	0.006	0.769	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093
New York	-0.017	0.004	0.000	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093
Florida	0.002	0.005	0.656	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093
Illinois	-0.008	0.005	0.169	50.000	Heterocentrism	14093

**Table 114: Influence of Control Covariates on Heterocentrism (Part 4)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
Liberal	-0.080	0.001	0.000	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639
Age	0.030	0.005	0.000	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639
White	-0.012	0.001	0.000	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639
Woman	-0.015	0.001	0.000	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639
College	-0.005	0.001	0.000	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639
Religious	0.047	0.001	0.000	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639
Nonmetro	0.012	0.002	0.000	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639
California	-0.012	0.002	0.000	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639
Pennsylvania	-0.001	0.003	0.713	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639
New York	-0.012	0.002	0.000	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639
Florida	-0.002	0.003	0.591	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639
Illinois	-0.002	0.003	0.556	200.000	Heterocentrism	43639

**Table 115: Influence of Control Covariates on Straight Bias (Part 1)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
Liberal	-0.106	0.011	0.000	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
Age	0.040	0.033	0.229	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
White	-0.011	0.011	0.303	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
Woman	-0.027	0.011	0.018	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
College	-0.014	0.011	0.197	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
Religious	0.072	0.012	0.000	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
Nonmetro	0.025	0.022	0.269	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
California	0.001	0.016	0.941	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
Pennsylvania	-0.003	0.025	0.895	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
New York	-0.010	0.017	0.556	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
Florida	-0.020	0.021	0.340	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
Illinois	-0.027	0.023	0.257	5.000	Straight Bias	1453
Liberal	-0.109	0.008	0.000	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
Age	0.074	0.026	0.005	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
White	-0.009	0.008	0.254	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
Woman	-0.030	0.008	0.000	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
College	-0.006	0.008	0.481	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
Religious	0.073	0.009	0.000	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
Nonmetro	0.018	0.014	0.201	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
California	0.002	0.011	0.834	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
Pennsylvania	-0.005	0.019	0.793	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
New York	-0.012	0.013	0.355	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
Florida	-0.031	0.015	0.040	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
Illinois	-0.005	0.019	0.807	10.000	Straight Bias	2584
Liberal	-0.103	0.006	0.000	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
Age	0.060	0.023	0.007	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
White	-0.011	0.007	0.097	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
Woman	-0.034	0.007	0.000	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
College	-0.004	0.007	0.560	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
Religious	0.075	0.007	0.000	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
Nonmetro	0.017	0.011	0.132	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
California	0.000	0.009	0.982	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
Pennsylvania	-0.006	0.015	0.692	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
New York	-0.014	0.012	0.223	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
Florida	-0.010	0.013	0.428	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
Illinois	-0.010	0.017	0.563	15.000	Straight Bias	3562
Liberal	-0.108	0.006	0.000	20.000	Straight Bias	4799
Age	0.052	0.020	0.008	20.000	Straight Bias	4799
White	-0.011	0.006	0.057	20.000	Straight Bias	4799
Woman	-0.035	0.006	0.000	20.000	Straight Bias	4799

**Table 116: Influence of Control Covariates on Straight Bias (Part 2)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
College	0.001	0.006	0.804	20.000	Straight Bias	4799
Religious	0.074	0.006	0.000	20.000	Straight Bias	4799
Nonmetro	0.009	0.010	0.352	20.000	Straight Bias	4799
California	-0.001	0.008	0.948	20.000	Straight Bias	4799
Pennsylvania	-0.012	0.013	0.367	20.000	Straight Bias	4799
New York	-0.022	0.011	0.042	20.000	Straight Bias	4799
Florida	0.004	0.011	0.702	20.000	Straight Bias	4799
Illinois	-0.014	0.015	0.326	20.000	Straight Bias	4799
Liberal	-0.111	0.005	0.000	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
Age	0.048	0.018	0.007	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
White	-0.014	0.005	0.007	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
Woman	-0.031	0.005	0.000	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
College	0.002	0.005	0.668	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
Religious	0.076	0.006	0.000	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
Nonmetro	0.008	0.009	0.370	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
California	-0.003	0.007	0.640	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
Pennsylvania	-0.002	0.013	0.877	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
New York	-0.024	0.010	0.019	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
Florida	0.002	0.010	0.830	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
Illinois	-0.003	0.013	0.835	25.000	Straight Bias	5794
Liberal	-0.111	0.004	0.000	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
Age	0.052	0.015	0.001	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
White	-0.016	0.005	0.001	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
Woman	-0.037	0.005	0.000	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
College	0.003	0.005	0.479	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
Religious	0.072	0.005	0.000	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
Nonmetro	0.004	0.008	0.606	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
California	-0.004	0.006	0.532	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
Pennsylvania	-0.005	0.011	0.658	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
New York	-0.026	0.009	0.004	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
Florida	0.002	0.009	0.804	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
Illinois	-0.003	0.012	0.816	30.000	Straight Bias	7511
Liberal	-0.109	0.004	0.000	35.000	Straight Bias	9111
Age	0.051	0.014	0.000	35.000	Straight Bias	9111
White	-0.016	0.004	0.000	35.000	Straight Bias	9111
Woman	-0.037	0.004	0.000	35.000	Straight Bias	9111
College	0.002	0.004	0.646	35.000	Straight Bias	9111
Religious	0.073	0.004	0.000	35.000	Straight Bias	9111
Nonmetro	0.008	0.007	0.239	35.000	Straight Bias	9111
California	-0.003	0.006	0.613	35.000	Straight Bias	9111

**Table 117: Influence of Control Covariates on Straight Bias (Part 3)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
Pennsylvania	-0.005	0.010	0.643	35.000	Straight Bias	9111
New York	-0.029	0.008	0.001	35.000	Straight Bias	9111
Florida	0.002	0.009	0.807	35.000	Straight Bias	9111
Illinois	-0.004	0.011	0.704	35.000	Straight Bias	9111
Liberal	-0.109	0.004	0.000	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
Age	0.057	0.013	0.000	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
White	-0.017	0.004	0.000	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
Woman	-0.037	0.004	0.000	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
College	0.002	0.004	0.685	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
Religious	0.074	0.004	0.000	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
Nonmetro	0.014	0.006	0.031	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
California	-0.003	0.005	0.631	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
Pennsylvania	-0.003	0.009	0.704	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
New York	-0.028	0.008	0.000	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
Florida	0.002	0.008	0.818	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
Illinois	-0.002	0.010	0.880	40.000	Straight Bias	10519
Liberal	-0.111	0.004	0.000	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
Age	0.057	0.012	0.000	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
White	-0.017	0.004	0.000	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
Woman	-0.038	0.004	0.000	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
College	0.004	0.004	0.335	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
Religious	0.074	0.004	0.000	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
Nonmetro	0.012	0.006	0.041	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
California	-0.007	0.005	0.191	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
Pennsylvania	-0.005	0.009	0.594	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
New York	-0.032	0.007	0.000	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
Florida	0.005	0.008	0.550	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
Illinois	-0.009	0.009	0.318	45.000	Straight Bias	11827
Liberal	-0.115	0.003	0.000	50.000	Straight Bias	13780
Age	0.064	0.011	0.000	50.000	Straight Bias	13780
White	-0.018	0.003	0.000	50.000	Straight Bias	13780
Woman	-0.039	0.003	0.000	50.000	Straight Bias	13780
College	0.001	0.003	0.844	50.000	Straight Bias	13780
Religious	0.070	0.004	0.000	50.000	Straight Bias	13780
Nonmetro	0.010	0.006	0.082	50.000	Straight Bias	13780
California	-0.007	0.005	0.129	50.000	Straight Bias	13780
Pennsylvania	-0.002	0.008	0.837	50.000	Straight Bias	13780
New York	-0.031	0.007	0.000	50.000	Straight Bias	13780
Florida	0.003	0.008	0.748	50.000	Straight Bias	13780
Illinois	-0.004	0.009	0.625	50.000	Straight Bias	13780

**Table 118: Influence of Control Covariates on Straight Bias (Part 4)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
Liberal	-0.113	0.002	0.000	200.000	Straight Bias	42738
Age	0.056	0.007	0.000	200.000	Straight Bias	42738
White	-0.013	0.002	0.000	200.000	Straight Bias	42738
Woman	-0.045	0.002	0.000	200.000	Straight Bias	42738
College	-0.001	0.002	0.559	200.000	Straight Bias	42738
Religious	0.076	0.002	0.000	200.000	Straight Bias	42738
Nonmetro	0.010	0.003	0.001	200.000	Straight Bias	42738
California	-0.013	0.003	0.000	200.000	Straight Bias	42738
Pennsylvania	-0.002	0.004	0.590	200.000	Straight Bias	42738
New York	-0.023	0.004	0.000	200.000	Straight Bias	42738
Florida	-0.005	0.005	0.332	200.000	Straight Bias	42738
Illinois	-0.005	0.004	0.272	200.000	Straight Bias	42738

**Table 119: Influence of Control Covariates on D-Score (Part 1)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
Liberal	-0.071	0.008	0.000	5.000	D-Score	1487
Age	0.020	0.026	0.441	5.000	D-Score	1487
White	-0.019	0.008	0.013	5.000	D-Score	1487
Woman	-0.021	0.008	0.009	5.000	D-Score	1487
College	-0.017	0.008	0.033	5.000	D-Score	1487
Religious	0.033	0.008	0.000	5.000	D-Score	1487
Nonmetro	0.015	0.013	0.275	5.000	D-Score	1487
California	-0.025	0.012	0.040	5.000	D-Score	1487
Pennsylvania	0.017	0.019	0.388	5.000	D-Score	1487
New York	-0.006	0.014	0.682	5.000	D-Score	1487
Florida	-0.005	0.014	0.728	5.000	D-Score	1487
Illinois	0.014	0.020	0.498	5.000	D-Score	1487
Liberal	-0.071	0.006	0.000	10.000	D-Score	2639
Age	0.057	0.020	0.005	10.000	D-Score	2639
White	-0.020	0.006	0.001	10.000	D-Score	2639
Woman	-0.021	0.006	0.000	10.000	D-Score	2639
College	-0.013	0.006	0.026	10.000	D-Score	2639
Religious	0.035	0.006	0.000	10.000	D-Score	2639
Nonmetro	0.012	0.010	0.235	10.000	D-Score	2639
California	-0.016	0.009	0.073	10.000	D-Score	2639
Pennsylvania	0.013	0.015	0.372	10.000	D-Score	2639
New York	-0.012	0.011	0.284	10.000	D-Score	2639
Florida	0.018	0.011	0.103	10.000	D-Score	2639
Illinois	0.013	0.015	0.377	10.000	D-Score	2639
Liberal	-0.071	0.005	0.000	15.000	D-Score	3638
Age	0.065	0.017	0.000	15.000	D-Score	3638
White	-0.020	0.005	0.000	15.000	D-Score	3638
Woman	-0.019	0.005	0.000	15.000	D-Score	3638
College	-0.015	0.005	0.003	15.000	D-Score	3638
Religious	0.036	0.005	0.000	15.000	D-Score	3638
Nonmetro	0.009	0.008	0.287	15.000	D-Score	3638
California	-0.015	0.007	0.041	15.000	D-Score	3638
Pennsylvania	0.015	0.012	0.199	15.000	D-Score	3638
New York	-0.010	0.010	0.323	15.000	D-Score	3638
Florida	0.016	0.009	0.089	15.000	D-Score	3638
Illinois	0.001	0.014	0.946	15.000	D-Score	3638
Liberal	-0.071	0.004	0.000	20.000	D-Score	4907
Age	0.062	0.014	0.000	20.000	D-Score	4907
White	-0.015	0.004	0.000	20.000	D-Score	4907
Woman	-0.018	0.004	0.000	20.000	D-Score	4907



**Table 120: Influence of Control Covariates on D-Score (Part 2)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
College	-0.014	0.004	0.001	20.000	D-Score	4907
Religious	0.038	0.004	0.000	20.000	D-Score	4907
Nonmetro	0.004	0.007	0.586	20.000	D-Score	4907
California	-0.006	0.006	0.351	20.000	D-Score	4907
Pennsylvania	0.017	0.011	0.109	20.000	D-Score	4907
New York	-0.011	0.009	0.186	20.000	D-Score	4907
Florida	0.014	0.008	0.077	20.000	D-Score	4907
Illinois	-0.005	0.011	0.640	20.000	D-Score	4907
Liberal	-0.072	0.004	0.000	25.000	D-Score	5925
Age	0.066	0.013	0.000	25.000	D-Score	5925
White	-0.017	0.004	0.000	25.000	D-Score	5925
Woman	-0.019	0.004	0.000	25.000	D-Score	5925
College	-0.011	0.004	0.004	25.000	D-Score	5925
Religious	0.038	0.004	0.000	25.000	D-Score	5925
Nonmetro	0.010	0.007	0.120	25.000	D-Score	5925
California	-0.004	0.005	0.516	25.000	D-Score	5925
Pennsylvania	0.016	0.010	0.111	25.000	D-Score	5925
New York	-0.009	0.008	0.277	25.000	D-Score	5925
Florida	0.012	0.007	0.119	25.000	D-Score	5925
Illinois	0.002	0.009	0.845	25.000	D-Score	5925
Liberal	-0.073	0.003	0.000	30.000	D-Score	7689
Age	0.068	0.011	0.000	30.000	D-Score	7689
White	-0.016	0.003	0.000	30.000	D-Score	7689
Woman	-0.021	0.003	0.000	30.000	D-Score	7689
College	-0.011	0.003	0.001	30.000	D-Score	7689
Religious	0.037	0.003	0.000	30.000	D-Score	7689
Nonmetro	0.012	0.006	0.035	30.000	D-Score	7689
California	-0.006	0.005	0.240	30.000	D-Score	7689
Pennsylvania	0.006	0.009	0.528	30.000	D-Score	7689
New York	-0.017	0.007	0.016	30.000	D-Score	7689
Florida	0.011	0.007	0.117	30.000	D-Score	7689
Illinois	-0.002	0.008	0.788	30.000	D-Score	7689
Liberal	-0.073	0.003	0.000	35.000	D-Score	9313
Age	0.069	0.010	0.000	35.000	D-Score	9313
White	-0.017	0.003	0.000	35.000	D-Score	9313
Woman	-0.019	0.003	0.000	35.000	D-Score	9313
College	-0.010	0.003	0.001	35.000	D-Score	9313
Religious	0.036	0.003	0.000	35.000	D-Score	9313
Nonmetro	0.011	0.005	0.026	35.000	D-Score	9313
California	-0.007	0.004	0.106	35.000	D-Score	9313

**Table 121: Influence of Control Covariates on D-Score (Part 3)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
Pennsylvania	0.011	0.008	0.159	35.000	D-Score	9313
New York	-0.016	0.007	0.018	35.000	D-Score	9313
Florida	0.008	0.007	0.205	35.000	D-Score	9313
Illinois	-0.003	0.007	0.712	35.000	D-Score	9313
Liberal	-0.072	0.003	0.000	40.000	D-Score	10735
Age	0.066	0.010	0.000	40.000	D-Score	10735
White	-0.017	0.003	0.000	40.000	D-Score	10735
Woman	-0.019	0.003	0.000	40.000	D-Score	10735
College	-0.009	0.003	0.003	40.000	D-Score	10735
Religious	0.037	0.003	0.000	40.000	D-Score	10735
Nonmetro	0.013	0.005	0.006	40.000	D-Score	10735
California	-0.007	0.004	0.063	40.000	D-Score	10735
Pennsylvania	0.012	0.007	0.079	40.000	D-Score	10735
New York	-0.016	0.006	0.011	40.000	D-Score	10735
Florida	0.005	0.006	0.396	40.000	D-Score	10735
Illinois	-0.001	0.007	0.911	40.000	D-Score	10735
Liberal	-0.071	0.003	0.000	45.000	D-Score	12057
Age	0.065	0.009	0.000	45.000	D-Score	12057
White	-0.017	0.003	0.000	45.000	D-Score	12057
Woman	-0.020	0.003	0.000	45.000	D-Score	12057
College	-0.009	0.003	0.001	45.000	D-Score	12057
Religious	0.037	0.003	0.000	45.000	D-Score	12057
Nonmetro	0.015	0.004	0.001	45.000	D-Score	12057
California	-0.008	0.004	0.037	45.000	D-Score	12057
Pennsylvania	0.008	0.007	0.229	45.000	D-Score	12057
New York	-0.017	0.006	0.004	45.000	D-Score	12057
Florida	0.002	0.006	0.743	45.000	D-Score	12057
Illinois	-0.002	0.006	0.723	45.000	D-Score	12057
Liberal	-0.073	0.002	0.000	50.000	D-Score	14051
Age	0.064	0.009	0.000	50.000	D-Score	14051
White	-0.018	0.002	0.000	50.000	D-Score	14051
Woman	-0.020	0.002	0.000	50.000	D-Score	14051
College	-0.009	0.003	0.000	50.000	D-Score	14051
Religious	0.037	0.003	0.000	50.000	D-Score	14051
Nonmetro	0.014	0.004	0.001	50.000	D-Score	14051
California	-0.006	0.003	0.064	50.000	D-Score	14051
Pennsylvania	0.005	0.006	0.439	50.000	D-Score	14051
New York	-0.016	0.005	0.004	50.000	D-Score	14051
Florida	0.003	0.006	0.573	50.000	D-Score	14051
Illinois	-0.001	0.006	0.828	50.000	D-Score	14051

**Table 122: Influence of Control Covariates on D-Score (Part 4)**

Control	Control Coef.	SE	p	Bandwidth	Outcome	N
Liberal	-0.072	0.001	0.000	200.000	D-Score	41900
Age	0.063	0.005	0.000	200.000	D-Score	41900
White	-0.014	0.001	0.000	200.000	D-Score	41900
Woman	-0.020	0.001	0.000	200.000	D-Score	41900
College	-0.010	0.001	0.000	200.000	D-Score	41900
Religious	0.035	0.001	0.000	200.000	D-Score	41900
Nonmetro	0.007	0.002	0.002	200.000	D-Score	41900
California	-0.008	0.002	0.000	200.000	D-Score	41900
Pennsylvania	0.007	0.003	0.030	200.000	D-Score	41900
New York	-0.008	0.003	0.008	200.000	D-Score	41900
Florida	-0.001	0.004	0.710	200.000	D-Score	41900
Illinois	0.007	0.003	0.035	200.000	D-Score	41900

### 3.5.4 Temporal Durability (D-Score, No Controls)

**Table 123: Coefficients Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, No Controls, Part 1)**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	Outcome	Controls?	Days Cut From Pulse Event	N
-0.00	0.01	0.93	A. D-Score	No	1.00	2025
-0.01	0.01	0.46	A. D-Score	No	2.00	2190
-0.01	0.01	0.08	A. D-Score	No	3.00	2193
-0.01	0.01	0.03	A. D-Score	No	4.00	2270
-0.02	0.01	0.01	A. D-Score	No	5.00	2435
-0.02	0.01	0.00	A. D-Score	No	6.00	2562
-0.01	0.01	0.01	A. D-Score	No	7.00	2604
-0.02	0.01	0.00	A. D-Score	No	8.00	2785
-0.02	0.01	0.00	A. D-Score	No	9.00	2857
-0.01	0.01	0.04	A. D-Score	No	10.00	2816
-0.01	0.01	0.02	A. D-Score	No	11.00	2763
-0.01	0.01	0.06	A. D-Score	No	12.00	2866
-0.02	0.01	0.00	A. D-Score	No	13.00	3193
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	14.00	3502
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	15.00	3657
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	16.00	3742
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	17.00	3786
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	18.00	3828
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	19.00	3840
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	20.00	3813
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	21.00	3851
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	22.00	3929
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	23.00	3984
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	24.00	3977
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	25.00	3943
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	26.00	3780
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	27.00	3633
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	28.00	3527
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	29.00	3440
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	30.00	3294
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	31.00	3322
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	32.00	3384
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	33.00	3378
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	34.00	3329
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	35.00	3366
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	36.00	3383
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	37.00	3356
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	38.00	3352
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	39.00	3395
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	40.00	3374

**Table 124: Coefficients Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, No Controls, Part 2)**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	Outcome	Controls?	Days Cut From Pulse Event	N
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	41.00	3362
-0.03	0.01	0.00	A. D-Score	No	42.00	3338
-0.03	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	No	43.00	3374
-0.03	0.01	0.00	A. D-Score	No	44.00	3363
-0.03	0.01	0.00	A. D-Score	No	45.00	3351
-0.03	0.01	0.00	A. D-Score	No	46.00	3124
-0.02	0.01	0.00	A. D-Score	No	47.00	2859
-0.02	0.01	0.00	A. D-Score	No	48.00	2700
-0.01	0.01	0.01	A. D-Score	No	49.00	2630
-0.01	0.01	0.01	A. D-Score	No	50.00	2543
-0.02	0.01	0.01	A. D-Score	No	51.00	2444
-0.01	0.01	0.02	A. D-Score	No	52.00	2339
-0.01	0.01	0.04	A. D-Score	No	53.00	2231
-0.02	0.01	0.02	A. D-Score	No	54.00	2063
-0.02	0.01	0.04	A. D-Score	No	55.00	1995
-0.02	0.01	0.05	A. D-Score	No	56.00	1965
-0.02	0.01	0.05	A. D-Score	No	57.00	1929
-0.02	0.01	0.07	A. D-Score	No	58.00	1912
-0.01	0.01	0.21	A. D-Score	No	59.00	1914
-0.00	0.01	0.61	A. D-Score	No	60.00	1938
-0.01	0.01	0.42	A. D-Score	No	61.00	2031
-0.01	0.01	0.30	A. D-Score	No	62.00	2093
-0.00	0.01	0.54	A. D-Score	No	63.00	2187
-0.01	0.01	0.37	A. D-Score	No	64.00	2346
-0.01	0.01	0.09	A. D-Score	No	65.00	2510
-0.01	0.01	0.07	A. D-Score	No	66.00	2667
-0.01	0.01	0.09	A. D-Score	No	67.00	2824
-0.01	0.01	0.14	A. D-Score	No	68.00	3002
-0.01	0.01	0.22	A. D-Score	No	69.00	3132
-0.00	0.00	0.41	A. D-Score	No	70.00	3346
-0.00	0.00	0.55	A. D-Score	No	71.00	3593
-0.00	0.00	0.41	A. D-Score	No	72.00	3970

### 3.5.5 Temporal Durability (D-Score, With Controls)

**Table 125: Coefficients Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 1)**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	Outcome	Controls?	Days Cut From Pulse Event	N
0.00	0.01	0.71	A. D-Score	Yes	1.00	2025
-0.00	0.01	0.69	A. D-Score	Yes	2.00	2190
-0.01	0.01	0.08	A. D-Score	Yes	3.00	2193
-0.01	0.01	0.05	A. D-Score	Yes	4.00	2270
-0.01	0.01	0.03	A. D-Score	Yes	5.00	2435
-0.02	0.01	0.01	A. D-Score	Yes	6.00	2562
-0.01	0.01	0.02	A. D-Score	Yes	7.00	2604
-0.01	0.01	0.01	A. D-Score	Yes	8.00	2785
-0.01	0.01	0.01	A. D-Score	Yes	9.00	2857
-0.01	0.01	0.08	A. D-Score	Yes	10.00	2816
-0.01	0.01	0.02	A. D-Score	Yes	11.00	2763
-0.01	0.01	0.10	A. D-Score	Yes	12.00	2866
-0.01	0.00	0.01	A. D-Score	Yes	13.00	3193
-0.01	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	14.00	3502
-0.01	0.00	0.01	A. D-Score	Yes	15.00	3657
-0.01	0.00	0.01	A. D-Score	Yes	16.00	3742
-0.01	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	17.00	3786
-0.01	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	18.00	3828
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	19.00	3840
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	20.00	3813
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	21.00	3851
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	22.00	3929
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	23.00	3984
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	24.00	3977
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	25.00	3943
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	26.00	3780
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	27.00	3633
-0.01	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	28.00	3527
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	29.00	3440
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	30.00	3294
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	31.00	3322
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	32.00	3384
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	33.00	3378
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	34.00	3329
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	35.00	3366
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	36.00	3383
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	37.00	3356
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	38.00	3352
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	39.00	3395
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	40.00	3374

**Table 126: Coefficients Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 2)**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	Outcome	Controls?	Days Cut From Pulse Event	N
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	41.00	3362
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	42.00	3338
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	43.00	3374
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	44.00	3363
-0.02	0.00	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	45.00	3351
-0.02	0.01	0.00	A. D-Score	Yes	46.00	3124
-0.01	0.01	0.05	A. D-Score	Yes	47.00	2859
-0.01	0.01	0.13	A. D-Score	Yes	48.00	2700
-0.01	0.01	0.22	A. D-Score	Yes	49.00	2630
-0.01	0.01	0.22	A. D-Score	Yes	50.00	2543
-0.01	0.01	0.16	A. D-Score	Yes	51.00	2444
-0.01	0.01	0.24	A. D-Score	Yes	52.00	2339
-0.01	0.01	0.22	A. D-Score	Yes	53.00	2231
-0.01	0.01	0.10	A. D-Score	Yes	54.00	2063
-0.01	0.01	0.15	A. D-Score	Yes	55.00	1995
-0.01	0.01	0.12	A. D-Score	Yes	56.00	1965
-0.02	0.01	0.09	A. D-Score	Yes	57.00	1929
-0.01	0.01	0.14	A. D-Score	Yes	58.00	1912
-0.01	0.01	0.43	A. D-Score	Yes	59.00	1914
-0.00	0.01	0.89	A. D-Score	Yes	60.00	1938
-0.00	0.01	0.77	A. D-Score	Yes	61.00	2031
-0.00	0.01	0.66	A. D-Score	Yes	62.00	2093
-0.00	0.01	0.99	A. D-Score	Yes	63.00	2187
-0.00	0.01	0.71	A. D-Score	Yes	64.00	2346
-0.01	0.01	0.29	A. D-Score	Yes	65.00	2510
-0.01	0.01	0.30	A. D-Score	Yes	66.00	2667
-0.01	0.01	0.33	A. D-Score	Yes	67.00	2824
-0.01	0.00	0.30	A. D-Score	Yes	68.00	3002
-0.00	0.00	0.36	A. D-Score	Yes	69.00	3132
-0.00	0.00	0.33	A. D-Score	Yes	70.00	3346
-0.00	0.00	0.41	A. D-Score	Yes	71.00	3593
-0.01	0.00	0.15	A. D-Score	Yes	72.00	3970

### 3.5.6 Temporal Durability (Heterocentrism, No Controls)

**Table 127: Coefficients Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, No Controls, Part 1)**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	Outcome	Controls?	Days Cut From Pulse Event	N
-0.01	0.01	0.09	B. Heterocentrism	No	1.00	2020
-0.02	0.01	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	2.00	2186
-0.02	0.01	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	3.00	2191
-0.02	0.01	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	No	4.00	2271
-0.02	0.01	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	No	5.00	2435
-0.02	0.01	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	6.00	2567
-0.01	0.01	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	No	7.00	2608
-0.01	0.01	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	No	8.00	2787
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	9.00	2859
-0.01	0.00	0.02	B. Heterocentrism	No	10.00	2817
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	No	11.00	2765
-0.01	0.00	0.03	B. Heterocentrism	No	12.00	2869
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	13.00	3193
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	14.00	3508
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	15.00	3665
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	16.00	3749
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	17.00	3797
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	18.00	3838
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	19.00	3849
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	20.00	3822
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	21.00	3859
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	22.00	3936
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	23.00	3990
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	24.00	3983
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	25.00	3945
-0.03	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	26.00	3783
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	27.00	3636
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	28.00	3528
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	29.00	3438
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	30.00	3291
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	31.00	3322
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	32.00	3377
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	33.00	3368
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	34.00	3318
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	35.00	3355
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	36.00	3371
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	37.00	3342
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	38.00	3340
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	39.00	3381
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	40.00	3360



**Table 128: Coefficients Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, No Controls, Part 2)**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	Outcome	Controls?	Days Cut From Pulse Event	N
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	41.00	3347
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	42.00	3324
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	43.00	3359
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	44.00	3349
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	45.00	3343
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	46.00	3208
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	47.00	3017
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	48.00	2907
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	49.00	2880
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	50.00	2895
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	51.00	2910
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	52.00	2916
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	53.00	2896
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	54.00	2797
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	55.00	2765
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	56.00	2796
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	57.00	2877
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	58.00	2912
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	59.00	2915
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	60.00	2931
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	61.00	2931
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	62.00	2920
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	63.00	2969
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	No	64.00	3087
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	No	65.00	3151
-0.01	0.00	0.02	B. Heterocentrism	No	66.00	3196
-0.01	0.00	0.06	B. Heterocentrism	No	67.00	3250
-0.01	0.00	0.04	B. Heterocentrism	No	68.00	3339
-0.01	0.00	0.15	B. Heterocentrism	No	69.00	3403
-0.00	0.00	0.41	B. Heterocentrism	No	70.00	3582
-0.00	0.00	0.49	B. Heterocentrism	No	71.00	3771
-0.00	0.00	0.88	B. Heterocentrism	No	72.00	4032

### 3.5.7 Temporal Durability (Heterocentrism, With Controls)

**Table 129: Coefficients Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 1)**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	Outcome	Controls?	Days Cut From Pulse Event	N
-0.01	0.01	0.21	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	1.00	2020
-0.01	0.01	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	2.00	2186
-0.02	0.01	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	3.00	2191
-0.01	0.01	0.02	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	4.00	2271
-0.01	0.01	0.04	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	5.00	2435
-0.01	0.01	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	6.00	2567
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	7.00	2608
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	8.00	2787
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	9.00	2859
-0.01	0.00	0.04	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	10.00	2817
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	11.00	2765
-0.01	0.00	0.05	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	12.00	2869
-0.01	0.00	0.02	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	13.00	3193
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	14.00	3508
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	15.00	3665
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	16.00	3749
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	17.00	3797
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	18.00	3838
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	19.00	3849
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	20.00	3822
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	21.00	3859
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	22.00	3936
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	23.00	3990
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	24.00	3983
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	25.00	3945
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	26.00	3783
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	27.00	3636
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	28.00	3528
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	29.00	3438
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	30.00	3291
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	31.00	3322
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	32.00	3377
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	33.00	3368
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	34.00	3318
-0.02	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	35.00	3355
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	36.00	3371
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	37.00	3342
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	38.00	3340
-0.01	0.00	0.02	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	39.00	3381
-0.01	0.00	0.02	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	40.00	3360

**Table 130: Coefficients Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 2)**

Post-Pulse Coef.	SE	p-value	Outcome	Controls?	Days Cut From Pulse Event	N
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	41.00	3347
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	42.00	3324
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	43.00	3359
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	44.00	3349
-0.01	0.00	0.00	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	45.00	3343
-0.01	0.00	0.01	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	46.00	3208
-0.01	0.00	0.11	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	47.00	3017
-0.01	0.00	0.12	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	48.00	2907
-0.01	0.00	0.16	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	49.00	2880
-0.01	0.00	0.22	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	50.00	2895
-0.01	0.00	0.15	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	51.00	2910
-0.01	0.00	0.08	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	52.00	2916
-0.01	0.00	0.11	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	53.00	2896
-0.01	0.00	0.03	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	54.00	2797
-0.01	0.00	0.02	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	55.00	2765
-0.01	0.00	0.02	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	56.00	2796
-0.01	0.00	0.03	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	57.00	2877
-0.01	0.00	0.06	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	58.00	2912
-0.01	0.00	0.03	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	59.00	2915
-0.01	0.00	0.10	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	60.00	2931
-0.01	0.00	0.17	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	61.00	2931
-0.01	0.00	0.05	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	62.00	2920
-0.01	0.00	0.12	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	63.00	2969
-0.01	0.00	0.07	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	64.00	3087
-0.01	0.00	0.16	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	65.00	3151
-0.00	0.00	0.28	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	66.00	3196
-0.00	0.00	0.35	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	67.00	3250
-0.01	0.00	0.14	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	68.00	3339
-0.00	0.00	0.26	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	69.00	3403
-0.00	0.00	0.35	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	70.00	3582
-0.00	0.00	0.33	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	71.00	3771
-0.00	0.00	0.39	B. Heterocentrism	Yes	72.00	4032

### 3.5.8 Temporal Durability (D-Score Outcome, Control Coefficients)

**Table 131: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 1)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2025	1
Age	0.01	0.02	0.51	2025	1
White	-0.02	0.01	0.02	2025	1
Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2025	1
College	-0.01	0.01	0.05	2025	1
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2025	1
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.42	2025	1
California	-0.01	0.01	0.23	2025	1
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.02	0.10	2025	1
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.57	2025	1
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.63	2025	1
Illinois	0.01	0.02	0.74	2025	1
Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2190	2
Age	0.01	0.02	0.68	2190	2
White	-0.01	0.01	0.03	2190	2
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2190	2
College	-0.01	0.01	0.03	2190	2
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2190	2
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.22	2190	2
California	-0.01	0.01	0.15	2190	2
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.02	0.07	2190	2
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.25	2190	2
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.91	2190	2
Illinois	0.01	0.02	0.56	2190	2
Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2193	3
Age	0.04	0.02	0.09	2193	3
White	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2193	3
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2193	3
College	-0.01	0.01	0.03	2193	3
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2193	3
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.26	2193	3
California	-0.01	0.01	0.21	2193	3
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.02	0.06	2193	3
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.09	2193	3
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.88	2193	3
Illinois	-0.00	0.02	0.83	2193	3
Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2270	4
Age	0.05	0.02	0.02	2270	4
White	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2270	4
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2270	4

**Table 132: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 2)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.01	0.01	0.02	2270	4
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2270	4
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.15	2270	4
California	-0.01	0.01	0.17	2270	4
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.02	0.05	2270	4
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.09	2270	4
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.62	2270	4
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.62	2270	4
Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2435	5
Age	0.06	0.02	0.00	2435	5
White	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2435	5
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2435	5
College	-0.01	0.01	0.08	2435	5
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2435	5
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.26	2435	5
California	-0.01	0.01	0.31	2435	5
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.18	2435	5
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.33	2435	5
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.31	2435	5
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.60	2435	5
Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2562	6
Age	0.06	0.02	0.00	2562	6
White	-0.01	0.01	0.02	2562	6
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2562	6
College	-0.01	0.01	0.02	2562	6
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2562	6
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.45	2562	6
California	-0.00	0.01	0.60	2562	6
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.19	2562	6
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.34	2562	6
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.31	2562	6
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.64	2562	6
Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2604	7
Age	0.07	0.02	0.00	2604	7
White	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2604	7
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2604	7
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2604	7
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2604	7
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.69	2604	7
California	-0.01	0.01	0.38	2604	7

**Table 133: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 3)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.24	2604	7
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.34	2604	7
Florida	0.02	0.01	0.19	2604	7
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.63	2604	7
Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2785	8
Age	0.06	0.02	0.00	2785	8
White	-0.01	0.01	0.01	2785	8
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2785	8
College	-0.01	0.01	0.08	2785	8
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2785	8
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.94	2785	8
California	-0.01	0.01	0.43	2785	8
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.23	2785	8
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.28	2785	8
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.33	2785	8
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.49	2785	8
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2857	9
Age	0.06	0.02	0.00	2857	9
White	-0.01	0.01	0.02	2857	9
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2857	9
College	-0.01	0.01	0.03	2857	9
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2857	9
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.79	2857	9
California	-0.00	0.01	0.99	2857	9
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.11	2857	9
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.58	2857	9
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.84	2857	9
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.90	2857	9
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2816	10
Age	0.05	0.02	0.01	2816	10
White	-0.01	0.01	0.03	2816	10
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2816	10
College	-0.01	0.01	0.05	2816	10
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2816	10
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.84	2816	10
California	0.00	0.01	0.81	2816	10
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.08	2816	10
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.60	2816	10
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.92	2816	10
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.58	2816	10

**Table 134: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 4)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2763	11
Age	0.05	0.02	0.01	2763	11
White	-0.01	0.01	0.03	2763	11
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2763	11
College	-0.01	0.01	0.02	2763	11
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2763	11
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.85	2763	11
California	0.00	0.01	0.52	2763	11
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.01	0.01	2763	11
New York	-0.00	0.01	0.77	2763	11
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.94	2763	11
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.99	2763	11
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2866	12
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	2866	12
White	-0.01	0.01	0.01	2866	12
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2866	12
College	-0.01	0.01	0.04	2866	12
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2866	12
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.99	2866	12
California	0.00	0.01	0.84	2866	12
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.02	0.09	2866	12
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.53	2866	12
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.89	2866	12
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.70	2866	12
Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	3193	13
Age	0.03	0.02	0.05	3193	13
White	-0.01	0.01	0.01	3193	13
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3193	13
College	-0.01	0.01	0.16	3193	13
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3193	13
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.67	3193	13
California	0.01	0.01	0.47	3193	13
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.14	3193	13
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.33	3193	13
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.89	3193	13
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.80	3193	13
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3502	14
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	3502	14
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3502	14
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3502	14

**Table 135: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 5)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.01	0.00	0.09	3502	14
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3502	14
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.46	3502	14
California	0.00	0.01	0.48	3502	14
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.13	3502	14
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	3502	14
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.98	3502	14
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.63	3502	14
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3657	15
Age	0.05	0.02	0.01	3657	15
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3657	15
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3657	15
College	-0.01	0.00	0.04	3657	15
Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3657	15
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.35	3657	15
California	0.01	0.01	0.40	3657	15
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.22	3657	15
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.12	3657	15
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.92	3657	15
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.94	3657	15
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3742	16
Age	0.05	0.02	0.00	3742	16
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3742	16
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3742	16
College	-0.01	0.00	0.08	3742	16
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3742	16
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.26	3742	16
California	0.00	0.01	0.49	3742	16
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.07	3742	16
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.16	3742	16
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.95	3742	16
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.96	3742	16
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3786	17
Age	0.05	0.02	0.00	3786	17
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3786	17
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3786	17
College	-0.01	0.00	0.03	3786	17
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3786	17
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.25	3786	17
California	0.00	0.01	0.75	3786	17



**Table 136: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 6)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.09	3786	17
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.12	3786	17
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.88	3786	17
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.87	3786	17
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3828	18
Age	0.05	0.02	0.00	3828	18
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3828	18
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3828	18
College	-0.01	0.00	0.06	3828	18
Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3828	18
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.18	3828	18
California	0.00	0.01	0.70	3828	18
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.09	3828	18
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	3828	18
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.79	3828	18
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.55	3828	18
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3840	19
Age	0.05	0.02	0.00	3840	19
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3840	19
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3840	19
College	-0.01	0.00	0.14	3840	19
Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3840	19
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.13	3840	19
California	-0.00	0.01	0.76	3840	19
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.20	3840	19
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	3840	19
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.72	3840	19
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.69	3840	19
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3813	20
Age	0.04	0.02	0.01	3813	20
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3813	20
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3813	20
College	-0.01	0.00	0.15	3813	20
Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3813	20
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.10	3813	20
California	-0.00	0.01	0.58	3813	20
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.15	3813	20
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.04	3813	20
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.71	3813	20
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.85	3813	20

**Table 137: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 7)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3851	21
Age	0.04	0.02	0.01	3851	21
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3851	21
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3851	21
College	-0.01	0.00	0.10	3851	21
Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3851	21
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.17	3851	21
California	-0.01	0.01	0.45	3851	21
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.14	3851	21
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	3851	21
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.54	3851	21
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.99	3851	21
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3929	22
Age	0.04	0.02	0.01	3929	22
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3929	22
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3929	22
College	-0.01	0.00	0.13	3929	22
Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3929	22
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.12	3929	22
California	-0.01	0.01	0.39	3929	22
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.19	3929	22
New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	3929	22
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.50	3929	22
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.81	3929	22
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3984	23
Age	0.04	0.02	0.01	3984	23
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3984	23
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3984	23
College	-0.01	0.00	0.26	3984	23
Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3984	23
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.07	3984	23
California	-0.00	0.01	0.52	3984	23
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.20	3984	23
New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	3984	23
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.44	3984	23
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.63	3984	23
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3977	24
Age	0.04	0.02	0.00	3977	24
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3977	24
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3977	24

**Table 138: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 8)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.01	0.00	0.27	3977	24
Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3977	24
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	3977	24
California	-0.01	0.01	0.39	3977	24
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.14	3977	24
New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	3977	24
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.32	3977	24
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.60	3977	24
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3943	25
Age	0.04	0.02	0.00	3943	25
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3943	25
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3943	25
College	-0.01	0.00	0.26	3943	25
Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3943	25
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	3943	25
California	-0.01	0.01	0.32	3943	25
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.07	3943	25
New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	3943	25
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.34	3943	25
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.38	3943	25
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3780	26
Age	0.05	0.02	0.00	3780	26
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3780	26
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3780	26
College	-0.01	0.00	0.12	3780	26
Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3780	26
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	3780	26
California	-0.01	0.01	0.19	3780	26
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.05	3780	26
New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	3780	26
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.33	3780	26
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.27	3780	26
Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3633	27
Age	0.05	0.02	0.00	3633	27
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3633	27
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3633	27
College	-0.01	0.01	0.12	3633	27
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3633	27
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3633	27
California	-0.01	0.01	0.10	3633	27

**Table 139: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 9)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.04	3633	27
New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	3633	27
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.39	3633	27
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.29	3633	27
Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3527	28
Age	0.04	0.02	0.01	3527	28
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3527	28
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3527	28
College	-0.01	0.01	0.23	3527	28
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3527	28
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3527	28
California	-0.01	0.01	0.09	3527	28
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.01	0.03	3527	28
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	3527	28
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.37	3527	28
Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.23	3527	28
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3440	29
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	3440	29
White	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3440	29
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3440	29
College	-0.01	0.01	0.26	3440	29
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3440	29
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	3440	29
California	-0.01	0.01	0.10	3440	29
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.01	0.04	3440	29
New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	3440	29
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.36	3440	29
Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.27	3440	29
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3294	30
Age	0.03	0.02	0.05	3294	30
White	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3294	30
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3294	30
College	-0.00	0.01	0.38	3294	30
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3294	30
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	3294	30
California	-0.01	0.01	0.11	3294	30
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.01	0.01	3294	30
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.06	3294	30
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.25	3294	30
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.57	3294	30

**Table 140: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 10)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3322	31
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	3322	31
White	-0.01	0.01	0.00	3322	31
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3322	31
College	-0.01	0.01	0.15	3322	31
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3322	31
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	3322	31
California	-0.01	0.01	0.15	3322	31
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.01	0.03	3322	31
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.08	3322	31
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.22	3322	31
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.96	3322	31
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3384	32
Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	3384	32
White	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3384	32
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3384	32
College	-0.01	0.01	0.14	3384	32
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3384	32
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	3384	32
California	-0.01	0.01	0.30	3384	32
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.10	3384	32
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.05	3384	32
Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.18	3384	32
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.99	3384	32
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3378	33
Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	3378	33
White	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3378	33
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3378	33
College	-0.01	0.01	0.07	3378	33
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3378	33
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	3378	33
California	-0.01	0.01	0.30	3378	33
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.09	3378	33
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.08	3378	33
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.54	3378	33
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.91	3378	33
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3329	34
Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	3329	34
White	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3329	34
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3329	34

**Table 141: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 11)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.01	0.01	0.07	3329	34
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3329	34
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	3329	34
California	-0.01	0.01	0.41	3329	34
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.05	3329	34
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.08	3329	34
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.53	3329	34
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.62	3329	34
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3366	35
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	3366	35
White	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3366	35
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3366	35
College	-0.01	0.01	0.07	3366	35
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3366	35
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3366	35
California	-0.00	0.01	0.61	3366	35
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.09	3366	35
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.08	3366	35
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.62	3366	35
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.50	3366	35
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3383	36
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	3383	36
White	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3383	36
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3383	36
College	-0.01	0.01	0.10	3383	36
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3383	36
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	3383	36
California	-0.01	0.01	0.47	3383	36
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.18	3383	36
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.07	3383	36
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.79	3383	36
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.44	3383	36
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3356	37
Age	0.04	0.02	0.01	3356	37
White	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3356	37
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3356	37
College	-0.01	0.01	0.08	3356	37
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3356	37
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3356	37
California	-0.01	0.01	0.37	3356	37

**Table 142: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 12)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.09	3356	37
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.15	3356	37
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.72	3356	37
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.47	3356	37
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3352	38
Age	0.05	0.02	0.01	3352	38
White	-0.01	0.01	0.01	3352	38
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3352	38
College	-0.01	0.01	0.04	3352	38
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3352	38
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.05	3352	38
California	-0.01	0.01	0.28	3352	38
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.15	3352	38
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.11	3352	38
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.80	3352	38
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.48	3352	38
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3395	39
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	3395	39
White	-0.01	0.01	0.01	3395	39
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3395	39
College	-0.01	0.01	0.04	3395	39
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3395	39
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.08	3395	39
California	-0.00	0.01	0.68	3395	39
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.01	0.07	3395	39
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.13	3395	39
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.95	3395	39
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.28	3395	39
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3374	40
Age	0.04	0.02	0.01	3374	40
White	-0.01	0.01	0.01	3374	40
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3374	40
College	-0.01	0.01	0.04	3374	40
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3374	40
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.15	3374	40
California	-0.00	0.01	0.56	3374	40
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.12	3374	40
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.17	3374	40
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.87	3374	40
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.29	3374	40

**Table 143: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 13)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3362	41
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	3362	41
White	-0.01	0.01	0.01	3362	41
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3362	41
College	-0.01	0.01	0.04	3362	41
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3362	41
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.13	3362	41
California	-0.00	0.01	0.49	3362	41
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.11	3362	41
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.19	3362	41
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.93	3362	41
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.30	3362	41
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3338	42
Age	0.05	0.02	0.01	3338	42
White	-0.01	0.01	0.01	3338	42
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3338	42
College	-0.01	0.01	0.02	3338	42
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3338	42
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.21	3338	42
California	-0.00	0.01	0.56	3338	42
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.17	3338	42
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.23	3338	42
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.96	3338	42
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.26	3338	42
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3374	43
Age	0.04	0.02	0.01	3374	43
White	-0.01	0.01	0.02	3374	43
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3374	43
College	-0.01	0.01	0.03	3374	43
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3374	43
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.36	3374	43
California	-0.01	0.01	0.39	3374	43
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.37	3374	43
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.15	3374	43
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.87	3374	43
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.18	3374	43
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3363	44
Age	0.04	0.02	0.01	3363	44
White	-0.01	0.01	0.03	3363	44
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3363	44



**Table 144: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 14)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.01	0.01	0.02	3363	44
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3363	44
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.74	3363	44
California	-0.01	0.01	0.31	3363	44
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.56	3363	44
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.22	3363	44
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.79	3363	44
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.22	3363	44
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3351	45
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	3351	45
White	-0.01	0.01	0.01	3351	45
Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3351	45
College	-0.01	0.01	0.02	3351	45
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3351	45
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.85	3351	45
California	-0.01	0.01	0.33	3351	45
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.59	3351	45
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.09	3351	45
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.72	3351	45
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.22	3351	45
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3124	46
Age	0.03	0.02	0.12	3124	46
White	-0.01	0.01	0.01	3124	46
Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	3124	46
College	-0.01	0.01	0.03	3124	46
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3124	46
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.92	3124	46
California	-0.01	0.01	0.29	3124	46
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.02	0.51	3124	46
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.08	3124	46
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.66	3124	46
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.32	3124	46
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2859	47
Age	0.03	0.02	0.09	2859	47
White	-0.01	0.01	0.06	2859	47
Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2859	47
College	-0.01	0.01	0.03	2859	47
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2859	47
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.92	2859	47
California	-0.01	0.01	0.22	2859	47

**Table 145: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 15)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.32	2859	47
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.20	2859	47
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.72	2859	47
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.41	2859	47
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2700	48
Age	0.03	0.02	0.07	2700	48
White	-0.01	0.01	0.05	2700	48
Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2700	48
College	-0.01	0.01	0.02	2700	48
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2700	48
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.77	2700	48
California	-0.01	0.01	0.23	2700	48
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.32	2700	48
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.22	2700	48
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.55	2700	48
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.33	2700	48
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2630	49
Age	0.04	0.02	0.06	2630	49
White	-0.01	0.01	0.08	2630	49
Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2630	49
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2630	49
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2630	49
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.75	2630	49
California	-0.01	0.01	0.26	2630	49
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.33	2630	49
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.19	2630	49
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.61	2630	49
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.29	2630	49
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2543	50
Age	0.04	0.02	0.05	2543	50
White	-0.01	0.01	0.08	2543	50
Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2543	50
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2543	50
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2543	50
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.75	2543	50
California	-0.01	0.01	0.19	2543	50
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.28	2543	50
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.19	2543	50
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.55	2543	50
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.22	2543	50

**Table 146: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 16)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2444	51
Age	0.04	0.02	0.07	2444	51
White	-0.01	0.01	0.10	2444	51
Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2444	51
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2444	51
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2444	51
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.68	2444	51
California	-0.01	0.01	0.32	2444	51
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.20	2444	51
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.22	2444	51
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.55	2444	51
Illinois	0.02	0.01	0.17	2444	51
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2339	52
Age	0.03	0.02	0.09	2339	52
White	-0.01	0.01	0.11	2339	52
Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2339	52
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2339	52
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2339	52
Non-Metro	-0.01	0.01	0.61	2339	52
California	-0.01	0.01	0.50	2339	52
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.33	2339	52
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.18	2339	52
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.74	2339	52
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.20	2339	52
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2231	53
Age	0.03	0.02	0.17	2231	53
White	-0.01	0.01	0.06	2231	53
Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2231	53
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2231	53
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2231	53
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.71	2231	53
California	-0.01	0.01	0.42	2231	53
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.19	2231	53
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.11	2231	53
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.70	2231	53
Illinois	0.02	0.01	0.18	2231	53
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2063	54
Age	0.03	0.02	0.13	2063	54
White	-0.01	0.01	0.06	2063	54
Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2063	54

**Table 147: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 17)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2063	54
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2063	54
Non-Metro	-0.01	0.01	0.64	2063	54
California	-0.01	0.01	0.27	2063	54
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.36	2063	54
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.11	2063	54
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.60	2063	54
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.83	2063	54
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	1995	55
Age	0.03	0.02	0.21	1995	55
White	-0.01	0.01	0.06	1995	55
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	1995	55
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	1995	55
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	1995	55
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.75	1995	55
California	-0.01	0.01	0.37	1995	55
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.29	1995	55
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.20	1995	55
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.43	1995	55
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.66	1995	55
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	1965	56
Age	0.03	0.02	0.20	1965	56
White	-0.01	0.01	0.06	1965	56
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	1965	56
College	-0.01	0.01	0.03	1965	56
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	1965	56
Non-Metro	-0.01	0.01	0.65	1965	56
California	-0.01	0.01	0.40	1965	56
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.28	1965	56
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.21	1965	56
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.42	1965	56
Illinois	0.01	0.02	0.56	1965	56
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	1929	57
Age	0.02	0.02	0.34	1929	57
White	-0.01	0.01	0.07	1929	57
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	1929	57
College	-0.01	0.01	0.06	1929	57
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	1929	57
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.74	1929	57
California	-0.01	0.01	0.39	1929	57

**Table 148: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 18)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.29	1929	57
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.18	1929	57
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.39	1929	57
Illinois	0.01	0.02	0.60	1929	57
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	1912	58
Age	0.02	0.02	0.35	1912	58
White	-0.01	0.01	0.03	1912	58
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	1912	58
College	-0.02	0.01	0.02	1912	58
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	1912	58
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.87	1912	58
California	-0.01	0.01	0.60	1912	58
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.02	0.07	1912	58
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.20	1912	58
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.41	1912	58
Illinois	0.00	0.02	0.81	1912	58
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	1914	59
Age	0.03	0.02	0.25	1914	59
White	-0.02	0.01	0.02	1914	59
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	1914	59
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	1914	59
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	1914	59
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.92	1914	59
California	-0.01	0.01	0.48	1914	59
Pennsylvania	0.04	0.02	0.02	1914	59
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.08	1914	59
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.48	1914	59
Illinois	0.00	0.02	0.99	1914	59
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	1938	60
Age	0.02	0.02	0.26	1938	60
White	-0.02	0.01	0.01	1938	60
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	1938	60
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	1938	60
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	1938	60
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.83	1938	60
California	-0.01	0.01	0.32	1938	60
Pennsylvania	0.04	0.02	0.02	1938	60
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.28	1938	60
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.71	1938	60
Illinois	-0.02	0.02	0.42	1938	60

**Table 149: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 19)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2031	61
Age	0.03	0.02	0.14	2031	61
White	-0.01	0.01	0.03	2031	61
Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2031	61
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2031	61
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2031	61
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.70	2031	61
California	-0.01	0.01	0.35	2031	61
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.02	0.01	2031	61
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.27	2031	61
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.84	2031	61
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.69	2031	61
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2093	62
Age	0.03	0.02	0.11	2093	62
White	-0.01	0.01	0.03	2093	62
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2093	62
College	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2093	62
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2093	62
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.73	2093	62
California	-0.01	0.01	0.30	2093	62
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.02	0.01	2093	62
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.41	2093	62
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.81	2093	62
Illinois	-0.00	0.02	0.81	2093	62
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2187	63
Age	0.03	0.02	0.18	2187	63
White	-0.01	0.01	0.04	2187	63
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2187	63
College	-0.01	0.01	0.02	2187	63
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2187	63
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.79	2187	63
California	-0.01	0.01	0.27	2187	63
Pennsylvania	0.05	0.02	0.00	2187	63
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.41	2187	63
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.65	2187	63
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.50	2187	63
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2346	64
Age	0.03	0.02	0.11	2346	64
White	-0.01	0.01	0.09	2346	64
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2346	64

**Table 150: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 20)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2346	64
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2346	64
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.89	2346	64
California	-0.01	0.01	0.26	2346	64
Pennsylvania	0.04	0.02	0.04	2346	64
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.63	2346	64
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.50	2346	64
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.61	2346	64
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2510	65
Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	2510	65
White	-0.01	0.01	0.13	2510	65
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2510	65
College	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2510	65
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2510	65
Non-Metro	-0.00	0.01	0.99	2510	65
California	-0.01	0.01	0.43	2510	65
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.02	0.07	2510	65
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.66	2510	65
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.40	2510	65
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.60	2510	65
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2667	66
Age	0.05	0.02	0.01	2667	66
White	-0.01	0.01	0.04	2667	66
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2667	66
College	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2667	66
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2667	66
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.71	2667	66
California	-0.01	0.01	0.39	2667	66
Pennsylvania	0.04	0.02	0.03	2667	66
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.54	2667	66
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.34	2667	66
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.71	2667	66
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2824	67
Age	0.06	0.02	0.00	2824	67
White	-0.01	0.01	0.07	2824	67
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2824	67
College	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2824	67
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2824	67
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.88	2824	67
California	-0.01	0.01	0.40	2824	67

**Table 151: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (D-Score, With Controls, Part 21)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.04	0.02	0.03	2824	67
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.46	2824	67
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.38	2824	67
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.36	2824	67
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3002	68
Age	0.06	0.02	0.00	3002	68
White	-0.01	0.01	0.08	3002	68
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3002	68
College	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3002	68
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3002	68
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.47	3002	68
California	-0.01	0.01	0.37	3002	68
Pennsylvania	0.04	0.02	0.02	3002	68
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.44	3002	68
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.34	3002	68
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.43	3002	68
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3132	69
Age	0.06	0.02	0.00	3132	69
White	-0.01	0.01	0.07	3132	69
Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3132	69
College	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3132	69
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3132	69
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.43	3132	69
California	-0.01	0.01	0.24	3132	69
Pennsylvania	0.04	0.02	0.02	3132	69
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.45	3132	69
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.26	3132	69
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.33	3132	69
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3346	70
Age	0.05	0.02	0.00	3346	70
White	-0.01	0.00	0.07	3346	70
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3346	70
College	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3346	70
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3346	70
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.34	3346	70
California	-0.01	0.01	0.19	3346	70
Pennsylvania	0.03	0.02	0.04	3346	70
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.58	3346	70
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.21	3346	70
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.29	3346	70



### 3.5.9 Temporal Durability (Heterocentrism Outcome, Control Coefficients)

**Table 152: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 1)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2020	1
Age	0.01	0.02	0.46	2020	1
White	-0.00	0.01	0.45	2020	1
Woman	-0.01	0.01	0.11	2020	1
College	-0.01	0.01	0.06	2020	1
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2020	1
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.12	2020	1
California	-0.00	0.01	0.70	2020	1
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.06	2020	1
New York	0.00	0.01	0.70	2020	1
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.91	2020	1
Illinois	0.00	0.02	0.92	2020	1
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2186	2
Age	0.01	0.02	0.55	2186	2
White	-0.00	0.01	0.43	2186	2
Woman	-0.01	0.01	0.17	2186	2
College	-0.01	0.01	0.16	2186	2
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2186	2
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.04	2186	2
California	-0.00	0.01	0.72	2186	2
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.18	2186	2
New York	-0.00	0.01	0.88	2186	2
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.64	2186	2
Illinois	0.01	0.02	0.73	2186	2
Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	2191	3
Age	0.03	0.02	0.08	2191	3
White	-0.01	0.01	0.11	2191	3
Woman	-0.01	0.01	0.11	2191	3
College	-0.01	0.01	0.38	2191	3
Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	2191	3
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.08	2191	3
California	-0.01	0.01	0.54	2191	3
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.12	2191	3
New York	-0.00	0.01	0.75	2191	3
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.25	2191	3
Illinois	0.00	0.02	0.89	2191	3
Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	2271	4
Age	0.05	0.02	0.02	2271	4
White	-0.01	0.01	0.09	2271	4
Woman	-0.01	0.01	0.12	2271	4

**Table 153: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 2)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.01	0.01	0.38	2271	4
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2271	4
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.06	2271	4
California	-0.01	0.01	0.51	2271	4
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.30	2271	4
New York	0.01	0.01	0.64	2271	4
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.28	2271	4
Illinois	0.00	0.02	0.92	2271	4
Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	2435	5
Age	0.05	0.02	0.01	2435	5
White	-0.01	0.01	0.09	2435	5
Woman	-0.01	0.01	0.04	2435	5
College	-0.00	0.01	0.64	2435	5
Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	2435	5
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.09	2435	5
California	-0.00	0.01	0.91	2435	5
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.09	2435	5
New York	0.01	0.01	0.61	2435	5
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.63	2435	5
Illinois	-0.00	0.02	0.77	2435	5
Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	2567	6
Age	0.04	0.02	0.01	2567	6
White	-0.01	0.01	0.22	2567	6
Woman	-0.01	0.01	0.05	2567	6
College	-0.00	0.01	0.41	2567	6
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2567	6
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.45	2567	6
California	-0.00	0.01	0.92	2567	6
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.15	2567	6
New York	0.01	0.01	0.60	2567	6
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.84	2567	6
Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.73	2567	6
Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2608	7
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	2608	7
White	-0.01	0.01	0.34	2608	7
Woman	-0.01	0.01	0.03	2608	7
College	-0.01	0.01	0.16	2608	7
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2608	7
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.36	2608	7
California	0.00	0.01	0.93	2608	7

**Table 154: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 3)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.22	2608	7
New York	0.01	0.01	0.50	2608	7
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.36	2608	7
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.77	2608	7
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2787	8
Age	0.04	0.02	0.05	2787	8
White	-0.01	0.01	0.33	2787	8
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.01	2787	8
College	-0.00	0.01	0.53	2787	8
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2787	8
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.62	2787	8
California	-0.00	0.01	0.76	2787	8
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.23	2787	8
New York	0.00	0.01	0.72	2787	8
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.51	2787	8
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.75	2787	8
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2859	9
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	2859	9
White	-0.00	0.01	0.52	2859	9
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.01	2859	9
College	-0.00	0.01	0.56	2859	9
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2859	9
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.92	2859	9
California	-0.00	0.01	0.77	2859	9
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.13	2859	9
New York	-0.00	0.01	0.71	2859	9
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.54	2859	9
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.98	2859	9
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2817	10
Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	2817	10
White	-0.00	0.01	0.73	2817	10
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.01	2817	10
College	-0.01	0.01	0.23	2817	10
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2817	10
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.83	2817	10
California	-0.00	0.01	0.79	2817	10
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.21	2817	10
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.47	2817	10
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.27	2817	10
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.99	2817	10

**Table 155: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 4)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2765	11
Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	2765	11
White	-0.00	0.01	0.61	2765	11
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.01	2765	11
College	-0.01	0.01	0.12	2765	11
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2765	11
Non-Metro	0.00	0.01	0.61	2765	11
California	-0.00	0.01	0.57	2765	11
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.23	2765	11
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.53	2765	11
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.45	2765	11
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.41	2765	11
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2869	12
Age	0.04	0.02	0.01	2869	12
White	-0.00	0.01	0.39	2869	12
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.01	2869	12
College	-0.00	0.01	0.34	2869	12
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2869	12
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.19	2869	12
California	-0.01	0.01	0.45	2869	12
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.30	2869	12
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	2869	12
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.52	2869	12
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.27	2869	12
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3193	13
Age	0.04	0.02	0.00	3193	13
White	-0.01	0.00	0.07	3193	13
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3193	13
College	-0.00	0.00	0.40	3193	13
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3193	13
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.11	3193	13
California	-0.01	0.01	0.26	3193	13
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.98	3193	13
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.26	3193	13
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.44	3193	13
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.64	3193	13
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3508	14
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3508	14
White	-0.01	0.00	0.01	3508	14
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3508	14

**Table 156: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 5)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.00	0.00	0.38	3508	14
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3508	14
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.21	3508	14
California	-0.01	0.01	0.15	3508	14
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.94	3508	14
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.13	3508	14
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.52	3508	14
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.76	3508	14
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3665	15
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3665	15
White	-0.01	0.00	0.01	3665	15
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3665	15
College	-0.00	0.00	0.37	3665	15
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3665	15
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.14	3665	15
California	-0.01	0.01	0.09	3665	15
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.88	3665	15
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.14	3665	15
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.56	3665	15
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.62	3665	15
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3749	16
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3749	16
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3749	16
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3749	16
College	-0.01	0.00	0.20	3749	16
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3749	16
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.12	3749	16
California	-0.01	0.01	0.06	3749	16
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.67	3749	16
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.10	3749	16
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.54	3749	16
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.37	3749	16
Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3797	17
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3797	17
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3797	17
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3797	17
College	-0.01	0.00	0.20	3797	17
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3797	17
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.10	3797	17
California	-0.01	0.01	0.19	3797	17

**Table 157: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 6)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.63	3797	17
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.12	3797	17
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.72	3797	17
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.80	3797	17
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3838	18
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3838	18
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3838	18
Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3838	18
College	-0.00	0.00	0.26	3838	18
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3838	18
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	3838	18
California	-0.01	0.01	0.25	3838	18
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.54	3838	18
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.07	3838	18
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.73	3838	18
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.72	3838	18
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3849	19
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3849	19
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3849	19
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3849	19
College	-0.00	0.00	0.33	3849	19
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3849	19
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.07	3849	19
California	-0.01	0.01	0.32	3849	19
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.79	3849	19
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.06	3849	19
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.69	3849	19
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.94	3849	19
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3822	20
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3822	20
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3822	20
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3822	20
College	-0.00	0.00	0.53	3822	20
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3822	20
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	3822	20
California	-0.01	0.01	0.27	3822	20
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.70	3822	20
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.05	3822	20
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.89	3822	20
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.98	3822	20

**Table 158: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 7)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3859	21
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3859	21
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3859	21
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3859	21
College	-0.00	0.00	0.54	3859	21
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3859	21
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	3859	21
California	-0.01	0.01	0.21	3859	21
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.69	3859	21
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.07	3859	21
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.93	3859	21
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.97	3859	21
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3936	22
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3936	22
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3936	22
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3936	22
College	-0.00	0.00	0.70	3936	22
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3936	22
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.06	3936	22
California	-0.01	0.01	0.19	3936	22
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.55	3936	22
New York	-0.02	0.01	0.06	3936	22
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.96	3936	22
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.98	3936	22
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3990	23
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3990	23
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3990	23
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3990	23
College	0.00	0.00	0.97	3990	23
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3990	23
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	3990	23
California	-0.01	0.01	0.28	3990	23
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.88	3990	23
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.10	3990	23
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.92	3990	23
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.76	3990	23
Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3983	24
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3983	24
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3983	24
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3983	24

**Table 159: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 8)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	0.00	0.00	0.85	3983	24
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3983	24
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	3983	24
California	-0.01	0.01	0.19	3983	24
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.96	3983	24
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.10	3983	24
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.95	3983	24
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.71	3983	24
Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3945	25
Age	0.05	0.01	0.00	3945	25
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3945	25
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3945	25
College	-0.00	0.00	0.71	3945	25
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3945	25
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	3945	25
California	-0.01	0.01	0.32	3945	25
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.81	3945	25
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.20	3945	25
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.98	3945	25
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.65	3945	25
Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3783	26
Age	0.04	0.01	0.00	3783	26
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3783	26
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3783	26
College	-0.00	0.00	0.58	3783	26
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3783	26
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.03	3783	26
California	-0.01	0.01	0.28	3783	26
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	1.00	3783	26
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.21	3783	26
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.96	3783	26
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.58	3783	26
Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3636	27
Age	0.04	0.01	0.00	3636	27
White	-0.01	0.00	0.01	3636	27
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3636	27
College	-0.00	0.00	0.62	3636	27
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3636	27
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	3636	27
California	-0.01	0.01	0.27	3636	27



**Table 160: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 9)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.67	3636	27
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.20	3636	27
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.90	3636	27
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.96	3636	27
Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3528	28
Age	0.04	0.01	0.01	3528	28
White	-0.01	0.00	0.03	3528	28
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3528	28
College	-0.00	0.00	0.68	3528	28
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3528	28
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.07	3528	28
California	-0.01	0.01	0.43	3528	28
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.68	3528	28
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.32	3528	28
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.91	3528	28
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.92	3528	28
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3438	29
Age	0.04	0.01	0.01	3438	29
White	-0.01	0.00	0.04	3438	29
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.03	3438	29
College	-0.00	0.00	0.77	3438	29
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3438	29
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	3438	29
California	-0.01	0.01	0.29	3438	29
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.69	3438	29
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.25	3438	29
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.65	3438	29
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.93	3438	29
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3291	30
Age	0.03	0.01	0.02	3291	30
White	-0.01	0.00	0.08	3291	30
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.05	3291	30
College	-0.00	0.00	0.76	3291	30
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3291	30
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	3291	30
California	-0.01	0.01	0.26	3291	30
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.69	3291	30
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.30	3291	30
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.45	3291	30
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.89	3291	30

**Table 161: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 10)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3322	31
Age	0.04	0.01	0.00	3322	31
White	-0.01	0.00	0.08	3322	31
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.09	3322	31
College	-0.00	0.00	0.70	3322	31
Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	3322	31
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.14	3322	31
California	-0.01	0.01	0.21	3322	31
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.86	3322	31
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.35	3322	31
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.80	3322	31
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.62	3322	31
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3377	32
Age	0.04	0.01	0.01	3377	32
White	-0.01	0.00	0.02	3377	32
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.17	3377	32
College	-0.00	0.00	0.95	3377	32
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3377	32
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.09	3377	32
California	-0.01	0.01	0.19	3377	32
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.84	3377	32
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.48	3377	32
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.90	3377	32
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.89	3377	32
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3368	33
Age	0.04	0.01	0.01	3368	33
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3368	33
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.42	3368	33
College	-0.00	0.00	0.69	3368	33
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3368	33
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.14	3368	33
California	-0.01	0.01	0.09	3368	33
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.68	3368	33
New York	-0.00	0.01	0.62	3368	33
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.80	3368	33
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.97	3368	33
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3318	34
Age	0.04	0.01	0.01	3318	34
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3318	34
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.22	3318	34

**Table 162: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 11)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.00	0.00	0.71	3318	34
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3318	34
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.09	3318	34
California	-0.01	0.01	0.06	3318	34
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.88	3318	34
New York	-0.00	0.01	0.60	3318	34
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.75	3318	34
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.73	3318	34
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3355	35
Age	0.04	0.01	0.00	3355	35
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3355	35
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.27	3355	35
College	-0.00	0.00	0.64	3355	35
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3355	35
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.09	3355	35
California	-0.01	0.01	0.09	3355	35
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.97	3355	35
New York	-0.00	0.01	0.71	3355	35
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.82	3355	35
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.77	3355	35
Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3371	36
Age	0.04	0.01	0.00	3371	36
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3371	36
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.59	3371	36
College	-0.00	0.00	0.38	3371	36
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3371	36
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.06	3371	36
California	-0.01	0.01	0.11	3371	36
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.85	3371	36
New York	-0.00	0.01	0.61	3371	36
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.87	3371	36
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.59	3371	36
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3342	37
Age	0.04	0.01	0.00	3342	37
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3342	37
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.68	3342	37
College	-0.01	0.00	0.27	3342	37
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3342	37
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	3342	37
California	-0.01	0.01	0.14	3342	37

**Table 163: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 12)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.82	3342	37
New York	-0.00	0.01	0.78	3342	37
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.87	3342	37
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.56	3342	37
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3340	38
Age	0.04	0.01	0.00	3340	38
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3340	38
Woman	0.00	0.00	0.94	3340	38
College	-0.01	0.00	0.18	3340	38
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3340	38
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.08	3340	38
California	-0.01	0.01	0.09	3340	38
Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.85	3340	38
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.52	3340	38
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.83	3340	38
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.47	3340	38
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3381	39
Age	0.04	0.01	0.01	3381	39
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3381	39
Woman	0.00	0.00	0.93	3381	39
College	-0.01	0.00	0.16	3381	39
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3381	39
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.18	3381	39
California	-0.01	0.01	0.36	3381	39
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	1.00	3381	39
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.41	3381	39
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.87	3381	39
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.88	3381	39
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3360	40
Age	0.04	0.01	0.01	3360	40
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3360	40
Woman	0.00	0.00	0.93	3360	40
College	-0.01	0.00	0.27	3360	40
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3360	40
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.22	3360	40
California	-0.01	0.01	0.33	3360	40
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.85	3360	40
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.32	3360	40
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.81	3360	40
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.81	3360	40

**Table 164: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 13)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3347	41
Age	0.04	0.01	0.01	3347	41
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3347	41
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.88	3347	41
College	-0.01	0.00	0.29	3347	41
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3347	41
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.11	3347	41
California	-0.01	0.01	0.34	3347	41
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.84	3347	41
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.29	3347	41
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.75	3347	41
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.86	3347	41
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3324	42
Age	0.04	0.01	0.00	3324	42
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3324	42
Woman	0.00	0.00	0.86	3324	42
College	-0.01	0.00	0.23	3324	42
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3324	42
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.06	3324	42
California	-0.00	0.01	0.51	3324	42
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.75	3324	42
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.37	3324	42
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.83	3324	42
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.93	3324	42
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3359	43
Age	0.04	0.01	0.00	3359	43
White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3359	43
Woman	0.00	0.00	0.88	3359	43
College	-0.01	0.00	0.18	3359	43
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3359	43
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.10	3359	43
California	-0.01	0.01	0.37	3359	43
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.60	3359	43
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.27	3359	43
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.74	3359	43
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.89	3359	43
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3349	44
Age	0.03	0.01	0.02	3349	44
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3349	44
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.82	3349	44

**Table 165: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 14)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.01	0.00	0.23	3349	44
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3349	44
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	3349	44
California	-0.00	0.01	0.46	3349	44
Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.72	3349	44
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.36	3349	44
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.76	3349	44
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.70	3349	44
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3343	45
Age	0.03	0.01	0.02	3343	45
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3343	45
Woman	0.00	0.00	0.89	3343	45
College	-0.01	0.00	0.22	3343	45
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3343	45
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.06	3343	45
California	-0.00	0.01	0.71	3343	45
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.61	3343	45
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.28	3343	45
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.82	3343	45
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.89	3343	45
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3208	46
Age	0.02	0.01	0.11	3208	46
White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3208	46
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.99	3208	46
College	-0.01	0.01	0.28	3208	46
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3208	46
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3208	46
California	-0.00	0.01	0.89	3208	46
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.47	3208	46
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.33	3208	46
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.49	3208	46
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.80	3208	46
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3017	47
Age	0.03	0.01	0.03	3017	47
White	-0.01	0.00	0.02	3017	47
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.86	3017	47
College	-0.01	0.01	0.07	3017	47
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3017	47
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3017	47
California	-0.00	0.01	0.84	3017	47

**Table 166: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 15)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.32	3017	47
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.21	3017	47
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.62	3017	47
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.87	3017	47
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2907	48
Age	0.03	0.01	0.09	2907	48
White	-0.01	0.00	0.06	2907	48
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.62	2907	48
College	-0.01	0.01	0.05	2907	48
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2907	48
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	2907	48
California	-0.00	0.01	0.95	2907	48
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.06	2907	48
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	2907	48
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.76	2907	48
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.75	2907	48
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2880	49
Age	0.03	0.01	0.09	2880	49
White	-0.01	0.01	0.13	2880	49
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.71	2880	49
College	-0.01	0.01	0.03	2880	49
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2880	49
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2880	49
California	-0.00	0.01	0.99	2880	49
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.08	2880	49
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.31	2880	49
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.73	2880	49
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.52	2880	49
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2895	50
Age	0.02	0.02	0.23	2895	50
White	-0.01	0.00	0.14	2895	50
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.76	2895	50
College	-0.01	0.01	0.05	2895	50
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2895	50
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2895	50
California	-0.00	0.01	0.75	2895	50
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.07	2895	50
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.25	2895	50
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.61	2895	50
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.72	2895	50

**Table 167: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 16)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2910	51
Age	0.02	0.01	0.19	2910	51
White	-0.01	0.00	0.10	2910	51
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.36	2910	51
College	-0.01	0.01	0.11	2910	51
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2910	51
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2910	51
California	-0.00	0.01	0.59	2910	51
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.05	2910	51
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.25	2910	51
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.65	2910	51
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.56	2910	51
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2916	52
Age	0.02	0.01	0.17	2916	52
White	-0.01	0.00	0.20	2916	52
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.27	2916	52
College	-0.01	0.01	0.14	2916	52
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2916	52
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	2916	52
California	-0.00	0.01	0.74	2916	52
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.08	2916	52
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	2916	52
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.68	2916	52
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.61	2916	52
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2896	53
Age	0.02	0.01	0.25	2896	53
White	-0.01	0.00	0.29	2896	53
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.17	2896	53
College	-0.01	0.01	0.13	2896	53
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2896	53
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2896	53
California	-0.00	0.01	0.74	2896	53
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.02	2896	53
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.28	2896	53
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.59	2896	53
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.62	2896	53
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2797	54
Age	0.02	0.01	0.19	2797	54
White	-0.01	0.00	0.19	2797	54
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.08	2797	54



**Table 168: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 17)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.01	0.01	0.16	2797	54
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2797	54
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2797	54
California	-0.01	0.01	0.37	2797	54
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.02	2797	54
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.41	2797	54
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.48	2797	54
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.81	2797	54
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2765	55
Age	0.02	0.02	0.27	2765	55
White	-0.01	0.01	0.20	2765	55
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.06	2765	55
College	-0.01	0.01	0.13	2765	55
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2765	55
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2765	55
California	-0.01	0.01	0.29	2765	55
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.01	2765	55
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.42	2765	55
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.53	2765	55
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.75	2765	55
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2796	56
Age	0.02	0.02	0.19	2796	56
White	-0.01	0.00	0.18	2796	56
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.08	2796	56
College	-0.01	0.01	0.15	2796	56
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2796	56
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2796	56
California	-0.01	0.01	0.26	2796	56
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.02	2796	56
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.47	2796	56
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.56	2796	56
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.83	2796	56
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2877	57
Age	0.01	0.01	0.38	2877	57
White	-0.01	0.00	0.23	2877	57
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.06	2877	57
College	-0.01	0.01	0.27	2877	57
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2877	57
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2877	57
California	-0.01	0.01	0.17	2877	57

**Table 169: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 18)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.03	2877	57
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.54	2877	57
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.61	2877	57
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.70	2877	57
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2912	58
Age	0.02	0.01	0.22	2912	58
White	-0.01	0.00	0.19	2912	58
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.08	2912	58
College	-0.01	0.01	0.17	2912	58
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2912	58
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	2912	58
California	-0.01	0.01	0.26	2912	58
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.08	2912	58
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.51	2912	58
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.76	2912	58
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.65	2912	58
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2915	59
Age	0.03	0.01	0.05	2915	59
White	-0.01	0.00	0.15	2915	59
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.12	2915	59
College	-0.01	0.01	0.10	2915	59
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2915	59
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2915	59
California	-0.00	0.01	0.53	2915	59
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.06	2915	59
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	2915	59
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.76	2915	59
Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.35	2915	59
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2931	60
Age	0.03	0.01	0.03	2931	60
White	-0.01	0.00	0.12	2931	60
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.10	2931	60
College	-0.01	0.01	0.18	2931	60
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2931	60
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2931	60
California	-0.00	0.01	0.59	2931	60
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.07	2931	60
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.41	2931	60
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.97	2931	60
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.95	2931	60

**Table 170: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 19)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2931	61
Age	0.03	0.01	0.03	2931	61
White	-0.00	0.00	0.31	2931	61
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.06	2931	61
College	-0.01	0.01	0.09	2931	61
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2931	61
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2931	61
California	-0.00	0.01	0.71	2931	61
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.07	2931	61
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.28	2931	61
Florida	0.00	0.01	0.80	2931	61
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.94	2931	61
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2920	62
Age	0.03	0.01	0.04	2920	62
White	-0.01	0.00	0.26	2920	62
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.06	2920	62
College	-0.01	0.01	0.12	2920	62
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2920	62
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2920	62
California	-0.00	0.01	0.50	2920	62
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.06	2920	62
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.34	2920	62
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.98	2920	62
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.96	2920	62
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2969	63
Age	0.03	0.01	0.03	2969	63
White	-0.01	0.00	0.23	2969	63
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.16	2969	63
College	-0.01	0.01	0.29	2969	63
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2969	63
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	2969	63
California	-0.01	0.01	0.38	2969	63
Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.09	2969	63
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	2969	63
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.74	2969	63
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.77	2969	63
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3087	64
Age	0.03	0.01	0.03	3087	64
White	-0.01	0.00	0.19	3087	64
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.23	3087	64

**Table 171: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 20)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
College	-0.00	0.01	0.49	3087	64
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3087	64
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3087	64
California	-0.01	0.01	0.46	3087	64
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.16	3087	64
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.27	3087	64
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.58	3087	64
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.64	3087	64
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3151	65
Age	0.04	0.01	0.01	3151	65
White	-0.01	0.00	0.20	3151	65
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.31	3151	65
College	-0.00	0.01	0.45	3151	65
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3151	65
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	3151	65
California	-0.00	0.01	0.76	3151	65
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.24	3151	65
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.27	3151	65
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.58	3151	65
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.75	3151	65
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3196	66
Age	0.03	0.01	0.02	3196	66
White	-0.01	0.00	0.19	3196	66
Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.30	3196	66
College	-0.00	0.00	0.38	3196	66
Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3196	66
Non-Metro	0.01	0.01	0.08	3196	66
California	-0.00	0.01	0.96	3196	66
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.25	3196	66
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.34	3196	66
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.66	3196	66
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.52	3196	66
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3250	67
Age	0.03	0.01	0.02	3250	67
White	-0.01	0.00	0.15	3250	67
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.21	3250	67
College	-0.01	0.00	0.26	3250	67
Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3250	67
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	3250	67
California	-0.00	0.01	0.67	3250	67

**Table 172: Control Coefficients For Models Characterizing Temporal Durability of Post-Pulse Effect (Heterocentrism, With Controls, Part 21)**

Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-value	N	# Days Cut
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.18	3250	67
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.30	3250	67
Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.71	3250	67
Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.44	3250	67
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3339	68
Age	0.03	0.01	0.02	3339	68
White	-0.01	0.00	0.20	3339	68
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.18	3339	68
College	-0.01	0.00	0.18	3339	68
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3339	68
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3339	68
California	-0.00	0.01	0.66	3339	68
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.43	3339	68
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.43	3339	68
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.42	3339	68
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.78	3339	68
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3403	69
Age	0.03	0.01	0.04	3403	69
White	-0.01	0.00	0.16	3403	69
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.09	3403	69
College	-0.01	0.00	0.10	3403	69
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3403	69
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	3403	69
California	-0.00	0.01	0.46	3403	69
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.36	3403	69
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.29	3403	69
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.41	3403	69
Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.75	3403	69
Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3582	70
Age	0.03	0.01	0.07	3582	70
White	-0.01	0.00	0.23	3582	70
Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.03	3582	70
College	-0.01	0.00	0.15	3582	70
Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3582	70
Non-Metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	3582	70
California	-0.01	0.01	0.36	3582	70
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.47	3582	70
New York	-0.01	0.01	0.21	3582	70
Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.21	3582	70
Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.84	3582	70

### 3.6 Balance Tests After Removing Days After Pulse Event

Table 173: Covariate Balance Tests After Cutting Days Immediately After Pulse Massacre

Days Cut	# Imbalanced Covariates	Imbalanced Covariates
1	0/12	
2	1/12	
3	2/12	Florida
4	3/12	White, Florida
5	2/12	Liberal, White, Florida
6	1/12	Liberal, White
7	2/12	White
8	2/12	White, Woman
9	5/12	White, California
10	3/12	White, California, New York, Florida, Illinois
11	3/12	California, New York, Illinois
12	3/12	California, New York, Illinois
13	4/12	Liberal, California, New York, Illinois
14	4/12	Liberal, College, New York, Illinois
15	7/12	Liberal, Age, College, Religious, New York, Florida, Illinois
16	6/12	Liberal, Age, College, New York, Florida, Illinois
17	5/12	Liberal, Age, College, New York, Illinois
18	4/12	Liberal, Age, College, Illinois
19	5/12	Liberal, Age, Woman, College, Illinois
20	6/12	Liberal, Age, Woman, College, Florida, Illinois
21	5/12	Liberal, Age, Woman, College, Florida
22	5/12	Liberal, Age, Woman, College, Florida
23	6/12	Liberal, Age, Woman, College, Religious, Florida
24	4/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Florida
25	6/12	Liberal, Age, Woman, College, Religious, Florida
26	6/12	Liberal, Age, Woman, College, Religious, Florida
27	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Non-metro, Florida
28	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Non-metro, Florida
29	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Non-metro, Florida
30	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Non-metro, Florida
31	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Non-metro, Florida
32	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida
33	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida
34	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida
35	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida
36	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida
37	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida
38	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida
39	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida, Illinois
40	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida, Illinois
41	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida, Illinois
42	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida, Illinois
43	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida, Illinois
44	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida, Illinois
45	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Florida, Illinois
46	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Florida, Illinois
47	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Florida, Illinois
48	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Florida, Illinois
49	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Florida, Illinois
50	5/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Florida, Illinois
51	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Pennsylvania, Florida, Illinois
52	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Pennsylvania, Florida, Illinois
53	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Pennsylvania, Florida, Illinois
54	8/12	Liberal, Age, Woman, College, Pennsylvania, New York, Florida, Illinois
55	7/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Pennsylvania, New York, Florida, Illinois
56	7/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Pennsylvania, New York, Florida, Illinois
57	7/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Pennsylvania, New York, Florida, Illinois
58	7/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Pennsylvania, New York, Florida, Illinois
59	8/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Religious, Pennsylvania, New York, Florida, Illinois
60	7/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Pennsylvania, New York, Florida, Illinois
61	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Pennsylvania, Florida, Illinois
62	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Pennsylvania, New York, Illinois
63	4/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Illinois
64	6/12	Liberal, Woman, College, Non-metro, New York, Illinois
65	5/12	Liberal, Age, Woman, College, Illinois
66	6/12	Liberal, Age, Woman, College, New York, Florida
67	5/12	Liberal, Age, Woman, College, Florida
68	4/12	Age, Woman, College, Florida
69	4/12	Age, College, Pennsylvania, Florida
70	5/12	Age, White, College, New York, Florida
71	5/12	Age, White, New York, Florida, Illinois
72	7/12	Age, White, College, Religious, New York, Florida, Illinois

### 3.7 Temporal Placebo Tests

**Table 174: Comparing True *post-Pulse* Coefficient to Placebo Coefficients To Rule Out Pre-Treatment Temporal Trends That Motivate Pro-Gay Attitudes**

Coef.	SE	p-value	N	Outcome	Post-Pulse Test
-0.01	0.00	0.05	3638	D-Score	True (15 Days)
-0.01	0.00	0.03	4907	D-Score	True (20 Days)
0.01	0.01	0.38	1654	D-Score	Placebo 1 (15 Days)
0.00	0.01	0.66	2343	D-Score	Placebo 2 (20 Days)
-0.00	0.00	0.11	31878	D-Score	Placebo 3 (Full)
-0.01	0.00	0.00	3645	Heterocentrism	True (15 Days)
-0.01	0.00	0.00	4920	Heterocentrism	True (20 Days)
0.01	0.01	0.42	1650	Heterocentrism	Placebo 1 (15 Days)
-0.00	0.01	0.98	2345	Heterocentrism	Placebo 2 (20 Days)
-0.00	0.00	0.07	32580	Heterocentrism	Placebo 3 (Full)

### 3.8 Prior and Post Year Temporal Placebo

Table 175: Temporal Placebo Tests Using IAT Data From Non-2016 Years

PI S-IAT Dataset Year	Bandwidth	Outcome	Post-6/12 Coef.	SE	p-value	N
2010	15-day	D-Score	-0.02	0.01	0.03	2603.00
2011	15-day	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.43	3043.00
2012	15-day	D-Score	0.03	0.01	0.00	3501.00
2013	15-day	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	0.66	3012.00
2014	15-day	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.05	2726.00
2015	15-day	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.25	3661.00
2017	15-day	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.18	4935.00
2018	15-day	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.14	5861.00
2010	15-day	Heterocentrism	-0.04	0.01	0.00	2608.00
2011	15-day	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.01	0.74	3063.00
2012	15-day	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	0.67	3522.00
2013	15-day	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.57	3020.00
2014	15-day	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	0.27	2738.00
2015	15-day	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	0.69	3699.00
2017	15-day	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.18	4918.00
2018	15-day	Heterocentrism	0.02	0.01	0.01	5848.00
2010	20-day	D-Score	-0.02	0.01	0.01	3830.00
2011	20-day	D-Score	-0.00	0.01	0.89	4001.00
2012	20-day	D-Score	0.03	0.01	0.00	4365.00
2013	20-day	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.29	3925.00
2014	20-day	D-Score	0.02	0.01	0.02	3603.00
2015	20-day	D-Score	0.00	0.01	0.71	4877.00
2017	20-day	D-Score	-0.01	0.01	0.45	6282.00
2018	20-day	D-Score	0.01	0.01	0.27	7170.00
2010	20-day	Heterocentrism	-0.04	0.01	0.00	3836.00
2011	20-day	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	0.96	4027.00
2012	20-day	Heterocentrism	0.00	0.01	0.77	4385.00
2013	20-day	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.24	3938.00
2014	20-day	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	0.09	3620.00
2015	20-day	Heterocentrism	-0.01	0.01	0.47	4926.00
2017	20-day	Heterocentrism	-0.00	0.01	0.77	6261.00
2018	20-day	Heterocentrism	0.01	0.01	0.01	7148.00



## 4 Study 3: Matthew Shepard

### 4.1 Media Data Details

We collect data on the number of gay-related newspaper articles in the New York Times and Washington Post. Data on the number of gay-related NYT newspaper articles per month are from the NYT article API. We use the `rtimes` package to query data from the NYT article API. Gay-related NYT newspaper articles include the terms “homosexual” or “gay” in their text (Figure 7, Panel A). Shepard-related articles are gay-related NYT newspaper articles with the terms “wyoming,” “shepard,” “student,” “laramie,” “beat,” “beaten,” “bias,” and “hate (Figure 7, Panel C).”

Data on the number of gay-related Washington Post articles per month are acquired from the ProQuest Washington Post historical newspaper database (Figure 7, Panel B). Gay-related articles are those that include the terms “homosexual,” “gay,” or “homosexuality” in their text.

### 4.2 Homosexuality = Morally Wrong Outcome

#### 4.2.1 Study Details

The two studies we use to assess if the belief homosexuality is immoral decreased after Shepard’s murder are the CNN/USA Today Jun 22-23 1998 poll and CNN/TIME Oct 14-15, 1998 poll. Both are nationally representative adult telephone surveys ( $N = 1016$ ,  $N = 1036$ ) and are population weighted to census demographic benchmarks. The CNN/USA Today poll was implemented by The Gallup Organization, and the CNN/TIME poll was implemented by Yankelovic Partners, Inc.

These two polls have largely similar sampling strategies (Voss et al., 1995). They are random digit dialing telephone polls. Their lists come from the same sample provider despite being implemented by different organizations (Survey Sampling Inc, SSI). Phone numbers are randomly selected based on a county’s contribution to the total number of telephone households (e.g. if a county contains 20% of the national population, a telephone number will be randomly selected from that county with a probability of 20%). The key difference between the two organizations is weighting. Gallup weighs their data to Census statistics along sex, race, Census region, age and education. Yankelovic weighs their data to Census statistics along Census region, sex, race, education, and marital status. Therefore, Gallup weighs on age unlike Yankelovic, but Yankelovic weighs on marital status unlike Gallup. We do not believe differences in weighting generate a significant problem for inference. First, across 20 baseline covariates, only 2/20 are statistically imbalanced between the two samples, suggesting the Yankelovic and Gallup sampling strategies produce relatively similar samples despite weighting differences (Figure 8, Panel A). Second, after adjusting for imbalance between the two surveys, the *post-Shepard* coefficient is stable, suggesting sampling/compositional differences may not serve as a strong source of bias (Figure 8, Panel B).

The outcome item of interest from the CNN/USA Today Jun 1998 poll is “do you personally believe homosexual behavior is morally wrong or is not morally wrong” with response choices of 1) Yes, morally wrong and 2) No, not morally wrong. The outcome is binary, equal to 1 if the respondent indicates “Yes, morally wrong.” The outcome item of interest from the CNN/TIME Oct 1998 poll is “do you personally think that homosexual relationships between consenting adults is morally wrong, or not a moral issue?” with response choices of 1) Yes, morally wrong and 2) Not a moral issue. The outcome is binary, equal to 1 if the respondent indicates “Yes, morally wrong.” The weights, outcome, and baseline covariates are then stacked amongst each other across the two polls, with respondents from the CNN Oct. 1998 poll being defined as *post-Shepard* respondents (measured as a binary indicator equal to 1 if the respondent is from the October 1998 poll, 0

otherwise) and respondents from the CNN Jun. 1998 poll being defined as pre-Shepard respondents.

Although a benefit of these outcome items across the two surveys is they ask about the immorality of homosexuality very closely to the moment Matthew Shepard was murdered, they are worded slightly different from one another in that the post-Shepard survey references “homosexual relationships between consenting adults” while the pre-Shepard survey references “homosexual behavior.” Therefore, it is plausible the decrease in support for the belief homosexuality is morally wrong may be a function of the specification that the homosexual behavior referenced in the post-Shepard survey relates specifically to behavior among *consenting adults*. Consequently, we re-estimate our findings with a different pre-Shepard survey from 1994 with a similar item wording. Consistent with the main findings, we find that respondents interviewed after Shepard’s murder were less likely to believe homosexual relationships between consenting adults is morally wrong (see Figure 9). A shortcoming of the re-estimation is that our findings may be the result of secular time trends or intervening factors outside Shepard’s murder. These alternative explanations are unlikely. First, the temporal placebo test comparing attitudes regarding “homosexual behavior” between April 1997-June 1998 on Figure 8, Panel B is statistically null. These findings suggest attitudes regarding the immorality of homosexuality were not trending in a liberal direction between 1994 to 1998 prior to Shepard’s murder. Second, belief in the notion that “homosexual relationships between consenting adults” are “morally wrong” is *remarkably stable* between 1978-2004, with the exception of respondents interviewed in the few days after Matthew Shepard was murdered (Figure 9). These empirical findings suggest that item wording does not drive our main results and that Shepard’s murder shifted anti-gay attitudes and not other temporal intervening factors.

#### 4.2.2 Temporal Placebo Details

To conduct a temporal placebo test ruling out secular trends that may drive our finding that respondents interviewed after Shepard’s murder were less likely to believe homosexuality is morally wrong, we use a third survey, the Gallup Apr 11-13 1997 poll. The Gallup Apr 1997 poll is a nationally representative telephone survey ( $N = 1003$ ) and is population weighted to census demographic benchmarks. The Gallup Apr 1997 poll includes an item asking respondents if they “personally believe homosexual behavior is morally wrong or is not morally wrong” with responses 1) Yes, morally wrong and 2) No, not morally wrong. We then compare the average level of support for belief homosexual behavior is morally wrong between the Gallup Apr. 1997 poll and CNN Jun. 1998 poll.

### 4.3 Regression Tables

#### 4.3.1 Balance Test (Moral Wrong)

Table 176: Post-Shepard Balance Test (Moral Wrong, CNN Jun '98/Oct '98)

Outcome	Post-Shepard Coef.	SE	p	N
White	-0.08	0.02	0.00	2052
Woman	-0.01	0.02	0.79	2052
College	0.02	0.02	0.26	2052
Age (18-24)	0.01	0.02	0.48	2052
Age (25-29)	0.01	0.02	0.63	2052
Age (30-34)	-0.02	0.01	0.14	2052
Age (35-39)	-0.02	0.01	0.30	2052
Age (40-49)	0.00	0.02	0.98	2052
Age (50-64)	0.01	0.02	0.73	2052
Age (65+)	-0.02	0.02	0.27	2052
Income (20-50k)	-0.02	0.02	0.52	2052
Income (50-75k)	0.00	0.02	0.84	2052
Income (75k+)	-0.05	0.01	0.00	2052
Democrat	0.02	0.02	0.44	2052
Registered	-0.02	0.02	0.45	2052
Texas	0.00	0.01	0.98	2052
California	-0.01	0.02	0.37	2052
New York	0.01	0.01	0.60	2052
Florida	0.01	0.01	0.49	2052
Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.51	2052

#### 4.3.2 Temporal Placebo Test (Moral Wrong)

Table 177: Temporal Placebo Tests

Moral Wrong (1)	
Post-Placebo	-0.00 (0.02)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.00
N	2019
Surveys	Gallup Apr '97/CNN Jun '98

Note: \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \* $p < 0.05$ , † $p < 0.1$ . HC2 robust standard errors in parentheses.

### 4.3.3 Influence of Shepard’s Murder on Attitudes Concerning Homosexuality

**Table 178: Respondents Interviewed Post-Shepard Are Less Likely To Believe Homosexuality is Morally Wrong**

	Moral Wrong	
	(1)	(2)
Post-Shepard	-0.11*** (0.02)	-0.12*** (0.02)
White		-0.10* (0.04)
Woman		-0.08* (0.03)
College		-0.17*** (0.04)
Age (18-24)		-0.24*** (0.07)
Age (25-29)		-0.20** (0.07)
Age (30-34)		-0.13† (0.07)
Age (35-39)		-0.04 (0.06)
Age (40-49)		-0.18** (0.06)
Age (50-64)		-0.04 (0.06)
Income (20-50k)		0.01 (0.04)
Income (50-75k)		-0.01 (0.06)
Democrat		0.06† (0.03)
Registered		-0.02 (0.05)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.00	0.07
N	2052	2052
State FE	N	Y
Surveys	CNN Jun/Oct '98	CNN Jun/Oct '98

Note: \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \* $p < 0.05$ , † $p < 0.1$ . HC2 robust standard errors in parentheses.

### 4.3.4 Falsification Tests

**Table 179: Falsification Tests**

Outcome	Post-Shepard Coef.	SE	p-value	N	Survey(s)
Ban Abortion	0.00	0.02	0.88	1757	CNN Jan '98/CNN Oct '98
Affirmative Action 1	-0.04	0.03	0.14	1970	CBS Dec '97/CBS Jul '00
Affirmative Action 2	0.02	0.02	0.31	2741	ANES '96-'98
Death Penalty	0.02	0.02	0.49	2557	Kaiser Jul '98/Gallup Feb '99
Black People Unintelligent	0.01	0.00	0.05	4202	GSS '98-'00
Black People Lazy	0.00	0.01	0.56	4202	GSS '98-'00
Spending 2 Aid Black People	0.00	0.02	0.96	2790	GSS '98-'00
Black/White Inequality = Discrim.	0.01	0.02	0.42	3748	GSS '98-'00
Black/White Inequality = In-Born Ability	0.03	0.01	0.02	3748	GSS '98-'00
Black/White Inequality = No Education	0.02	0.02	0.35	3748	GSS '98-'00
Black/White Inequality = No Motivation	0.03	0.02	0.11	3748	GSS '98-'00
Oppose Living w/Black People	-0.01	0.01	0.31	4202	GSS '98-'00
Black Feeling Therm.	0.04	0.01	0.00	2692	ANES '96-'98
Abortion Any Time	-0.01	0.02	0.56	3546	GSS '98-'00
Support Female Politicians	0.01	0.02	0.67	3477	GSS '98-'00
Working Women Good	-0.06	0.02	0.00	3686	GSS '98-'00
Working Women Bad 1	0.04	0.02	0.01	3615	GSS '98-'00
Working Women Bad 2	0.04	0.02	0.07	2248	GSS '98-'00

Note: HC2 robust standard errors presented.

### 4.3.5 Alternative Outcome: Legal Recognition

**Table 180: Influence of Shepard’s Murder on Support for Legal Recognition of Same-Sex Marriages**

	Support 4 Legal Recognition	
	(1)	(2)
Post-Shepard	0.08*** (0.02)	0.09*** (0.02)
Woman		0.13*** (0.03)
White		0.05 (0.04)
Age (18-24)		0.24*** (0.06)
Age (25-24)		0.36*** (0.06)
Age (30-24)		0.14** (0.05)
Age (35-24)		0.15** (0.05)
Age (40-24)		0.14*** (0.04)
College		0.15*** (0.03)
Democrat		0.12*** (0.03)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.00	0.11
Num. obs.	2062	2062

\*\*\* $p < 0.001$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$

### 4.3.6 Alternative Outcome: Hire Military

**Table 181: Influence of Shepard's Murder on Support for Hiring Gay People To Serve In The Military**

	Hire Gay People 4 Military		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Post-Placebo	-0.01 (0.03)		
Post-Shepard		0.05 (0.03)	0.05 (0.03)
Woman			0.13*** (0.03)
White			0.05 (0.04)
Age (18-24)			0.16** (0.06)
Age (25-24)			0.21*** (0.06)
Age (30-24)			0.06 (0.06)
Age (35-24)			-0.02 (0.06)
Age (40-24)			0.06 (0.05)
College			0.15*** (0.03)
Democrat			0.15*** (0.03)
Dataset	Gallup Nov. '96 + Newsweek Jul. '98	Newsweek Jul. '98 + Gallup Feb. '99	Newsweek Jul. '98 + Gallup Feb. '99
R <sup>2</sup>	0.00	0.00	0.08
Num. obs.	1605	1656	1656

\*\*\* $p < 0.001$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$

**Table 182: Event Study Characterizing Trends in Belief Gay People Should Be Hired For Military**

	Hire Gay People 4 Military	
	(1)	(2)
1977	-0.14*** (0.03)	-0.13*** (0.03)
1982	-0.13*** (0.03)	-0.12*** (0.03)
1985	-0.11*** (0.03)	-0.11*** (0.03)
1987	-0.11*** (0.03)	-0.10*** (0.03)
1989	-0.06* (0.03)	-0.06* (0.03)
1992	-0.09** (0.03)	-0.09** (0.03)
1994	-0.07* (0.03)	-0.06* (0.03)
1996	-0.01 (0.03)	-0.01 (0.03)
1999 (Post-Shepard)	0.05† (0.03)	0.05† (0.03)
2003 (Post-Shepard)	0.14*** (0.03)	0.15*** (0.03)
White		-0.00 (0.03)
Woman		0.15*** (0.02)
College		0.08*** (0.02)
Age (18-29)		0.06 (0.11)
Age (18-29)		0.03 (0.11)
Age (18-29)		-0.09 (0.11)
Age (18-29)		-0.14 (0.11)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.00	0.05
Num. obs.	11714	11675

\*\*\* $p < 0.001$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$ ; † $p < 0.1$



### 4.3.7 Event Study (Moral Wrong)

**Table 183: Event Study Characterizing Trends in Belief Homosexuality is Morally Wrong**

	Moral Wrong	
	(1)	(2)
1978	0.00 (0.03)	0.01 (0.02)
1992	0.01 (0.02)	-0.02 (0.02)
1994	-- (--)	-- (--)
1998 (Shepard Murder)	-0.05* (0.03)	-0.06* (0.03)
2001	0.02 (0.03)	0.01 (0.03)
2004	-0.02 (0.03)	-0.03 (0.03)
Age (25-34)		0.06* (0.03)
Age (35-49)		0.15*** (0.02)
Age (51+)		0.21*** (0.02)
Woman		-0.06*** (0.01)
White		-0.03 (0.02)
College		-0.14*** (0.02)
Democrat		-0.08*** (0.01)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.00	0.03
N	6130	6129

Note: \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \* $p < 0.05$ , † $p < 0.1$ . Sample is a stacked dataset of surveys with similar items on the covariates displayed on this table. Surveys included in this sample are the TIME 1978, CNN 1992, CNN 1994, CNN 1998, CNN 2001, and CNN 2004 polls. The reference category for the “year” analysis is based on the level of *moral wrong* in the CNN 1994 poll. HC2 robust standard errors in parentheses.

## 4.4 Temporal Persistence Data Details

**TIME 1978 poll** ( $N = 1044$ ): Nationally representative telephone poll sponsored by TIME magazine. Fielded March 14-30, 1978. Item we use asks respondents if “do you personally think that homosexual relationships between consenting adults is morally wrong or not a moral issue. How about? 1) Morally wrong, 2) Not a moral issue” Outcome is coded 1 if respondent indicates “morally wrong.”

**CNN 1992 poll** ( $N = 1250$ ): Nationally representative telephone poll sponsored by TIME magazine and CNN. Fielded May 13-14, 1992. Item we use asks respondents if “do you personally think that homosexual relationships between consenting adults is morally wrong or not a moral issue. How about? 1) Morally wrong, 2) Not a moral issue” Outcome is coded 1 if respondent indicates “morally wrong.”

**CNN 1994 poll** ( $N = 800$ ): Nationally representative telephone poll sponsored by TIME magazine and CNN. Fielded June 15-16, 1994. Item we use asks respondents if “do you personally think that homosexual relationships between consenting adults is morally wrong or not a moral issue. How about? 1) Morally wrong, 2) Not a moral issue.” Outcome is coded 1 if respondent indicates “morally wrong.”

**CNN 1998 poll** ( $N = 1036$ ): Nationally representative telephone poll sponsored by TIME magazine and CNN. Fielded October 14-15, 1998. Item we use asks respondents if “do you personally think that homosexual relationships between consenting adults is morally wrong or not a moral issue. How about? 1) Morally wrong, 2) Not a moral issue.” Outcome is coded 1 if respondent indicates “morally wrong.”

**CNN 2001 poll** ( $N = 1000$ ): Nationally representative telephone poll sponsored by TIME magazine and CNN. Fielded January 10-11, 2001. Item we use asks respondents if “do you personally think that homosexual relationships between consenting adults is morally wrong or not a moral issue. How about? 1) Morally wrong, 2) Not a moral issue.” Outcome is coded 1 if respondent indicates “morally wrong.”

**CNN 2004 poll** ( $N = 1000$ ): Nationally representative telephone poll sponsored by TIME magazine and CNN. Fielded February 5-6, 2004. Item we use asks respondents if “do you personally think that homosexual relationships between consenting adults is morally wrong or not a moral issue. How about? 1) Morally wrong, 2) Not a moral issue.” Outcome is coded 1 if respondent indicates “morally wrong.”

## 4.5 Temporal Persistence CNN Poll (Jun. 1998) Exclusion Details

We do not use the CNN June 1998 poll on Figure 9 in the main text in our assessment of temporal persistence. This is because the *moral wrong* item in the CNN June 1998 poll references “homosexual behavior” as opposed to “homosexual relationships between consenting adults.” Therefore, we focus on surveys with *moral wrong* outcome items using the “consenting adults” wording for the event study. However, two concerns may arise. First, one may be concerned using the “consenting adults” item in our main analysis on Figure 8 in the main text may inflate the *post-Shepard* coefficient since it may make respondents more comfortable with “homosexual relationships.” Yet, we still observe a negative, significant, *post-Shepard* coefficient (-0.06) from the event study comparing a CNN 1994 poll to the CNN October 1998 poll. Second, one may be concerned the alternative analysis comparing polls between 1994-1998 may be biased by secular attitudinal time trends. But the absence of temporal trends in the “homosexual behavior” items (Figure 8 in the main text, Panel B) between 1997-1998 suggests this is unlikely. Additionally, the stability of the “consenting adults” *moral wrong* outcome from 1978-1994 suggests secular attitudinal time trends are not influencing the *post-Shepard* coefficient. However, we caveat our findings by noting the distinct possibility there is an unobserved trend that exists only for the “homosexual behavior” outcome that does not exist for the “consenting adults” outcome. But this may be unlikely because we may expect prosocial secular trends toward people engaged in “homosexual relationships between consenting adults” than toward people engaged in “homosexual behavior” because of the clarity related to “consent.”

## 4.6 Falsification Test Details

Here, we describe in greater detail the falsification tests characterized on Figure 8 in the main text, Panel C. Only 4/18 outcomes are statistically significant and the *post-Shepard* coefficient is not consistently in support of non-LGBTQ+ marginalized groups, suggesting no systematic secular trend favoring marginalized groups. The Black feeling thermometer shifts in a favorable direction for Black people *post-Shepard*. However, the mass public increasingly attributes Black-White inequality to in-born ability *post-Shepard*, not discrimination, an unfavorable position toward Black people. The mass public is also less likely to believe women can establish a warm relationship with their children and more likely to believe their children will suffer if they work (“working women good”, “working women bad 1”) *post-Shepard*, both unfavorable attitudinal shifts toward women. Importantly, like the falsification tests in Study 1, the null effects of post-Shepard on support for banning abortion and increasing access to abortion suggest our *post-Shepard* coefficients are not driven by independent shifts in social conservatism and/or religiosity despite their strong linkages with anti-LGBTQ+ beliefs, but rather, Shepard’s murder.

## 4.7 Falsification Test Outcome Details

**Outcome:** Ban Abortion. **Surveys:** CNN Jan. '98, CNN Newsweek Oct. '98. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** “Do you think abortions should be 1) legal under any circumstance, 2) legal under certain circumstances, or 3) illegal in all circumstances.” Coded 1 if respondent indicates “legal under any circumstance” and 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Affirmative Action 1. **Surveys:** CBS Dec. '97, CBS Jul. '00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** “In order to make up for past discrimination, do you favor or oppose programs which make special efforts to help minorities get ahead?” 1) Favor, 2) Oppose. Coded 1 if respondent indicates favor, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Affirmative Action 2. **Surveys:** ANES 96-'98. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** “Some people say that because of past discrimination, blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned. What about your opinion – are you FOR or AGAINST preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?” 1) For preferential hiring and promotion of blacks, 2) Against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks. Coded 1 if respondent indicates for preferential hiring, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Death Penalty. **Surveys:** Kaiser Jul. '98, Gallup Feb. '99 **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** “Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?” 1) Favor, 2) Oppose. Coded 1 if favor, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** “Are you in favor of the death penalty for a person convicted of murder?” 1) Yes, in favor, 2) No, not in favor. Coded 1 if favor, 0 otherwise.

**Outcome:** Black People are Unintelligent. **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** “Do people in these groups tend to be unintelligent or tend to be intelligent? Where you you rate Blacks in general on this scale?” 1-7 scale from 1 = unintelligent to 7 = intelligent, reverse coded and rescaled between 0-1. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Spending Too Little on Helping Black People **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** “We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount: improving the conditions of Blacks” Coded 1 if too little, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Black-White Inequality is Because of Discrimination. **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** “On the average (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) have worse jobs, income, and housing than white people. Do you think these differences are: mainly due to discrimination” 1) Yes, 2) No. Coded 1 if yes, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Black-White Inequality is Because of In-Born Ability. **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** “On the average (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) have worse jobs, income, and housing than white people. Do you think these differences are: Because most (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) have less in-born ability to learn?” 1) Yes, 2) No. Coded 1 if yes, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Black-White Inequality is Because of No Chance for Education. **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** “On the average (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) have worse jobs, income, and housing than white people. Do you think these differences are: Because most (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) don't have the chance for education that it takes to rise out of poverty?” 1) Yes, 2) No. Coded 1 if yes, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Black-White Inequality is Because of No Motivation. **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** “On the average (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) have worse jobs, income, and housing

than white people. Do you think these differences are: Because most (Negroes/Blacks/African-Americans) just don't have the motivation or will power to pull themselves up out of poverty?" 1) Yes, 2) No. Coded 1 if yes, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Oppose Living with Black People. **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** "Now I'm going to ask you about different types of contact with various groups of people. In each situation would you please tell me whether you would be very much in favor of it happening, somewhat in favor, neither in favor nor opposed to it happening, somewhat opposed, or verymuch opposed to it happening? Living in a neighborhood where half of your neighbors were blacks?" 1-5 scale from 1 = Strongly Favor to 5 = Strongly Oppose. Coded 1 if oppose or strongly oppose, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Black Feeling Thermometer. **Surveys:** ANES '96-'98. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** "How would you rate Blacks?" 0-100 scale, rescaled between 0-1. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Abortion Any Time. **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** "Please tell me whether or not you think it should be possible for a pregnant woman to obtain a legal abortion if the woman wants it for any reason?" 1 if yes. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Support Female Politicians. **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** "Tell me if you agree or disagree with this statement: Most men are better suited emotionally for politics than are most women" 1 if agree, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Working Women Good. **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** "Now I'm going to read several more statements. As I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example, here is the statement: A working mother can establish just as warm and secure a relationship with her children as a mother who does not work." 1 if agree, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Working Women Bad 1. **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** "Now I'm going to read several more statements. As I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example, here is the statement: A preschool child is likely to suffer if his or her mother works." 1 if agree, 0 otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

**Outcome:** Working Women Bad 2. **Surveys:** GSS '98-'00. **Pre-Shepard Outcome:** "Now I'm going to read several more statements. As I read each one, please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with it. For example, here is the statement: It is much better for everyone involved if the man is the achiever outside the home and the woman takes care of the home and family." 1 if agree, otherwise. **Post-Shepard Outcome:** Same as pre-Shepard

## 5 Study 4: Club Q

### 5.1 Representativeness Discussion

Table 184: Representativeness Assessment of 2022 PI S-IAT and T-IAT

Survey	College-Educated	White	Woman	Age (18-29)	Age (30-44)	Age (45-59)	Age (60+)
2020 Census	0.32	0.57	0.51	0.20	0.26	0.24	0.30
2022 PI S-IAT	0.39	0.59	0.71	0.66	0.20	0.11	0.03
2022 PI T-IAT	0.50	0.64	0.70	0.53	0.27	0.16	0.05

Table 184 displays marginals along college-education, race, gender, and age characterizing the composition of the adult U.S. population in the U.S. Census (2020) in addition to the 2022 Project Implicit Sexuality Implicit Association Test (PI S-IAT) and 2022 Project Implicit Transgender Implicit Association Test (PI T-IAT) surveys. The 2022 PI S-IAT and T-IAT surveys are more likely to be college-educated, women, and younger. Like Study 2, we are not particularly concerned about the lack of representativeness given prior research demonstrates non-representative samples respond similarly to external stimuli as representative samples (Coppock, 2019). Moreover, the primary purpose of Study 4 is to test **H4**, which posits that less salient events will not motivate prosocial attitudes toward LGBTQ+ community segments. Given the 2022 S-IAT and T-IAT samples are more college-educated, younger, and women, they may be more likely to perceive events that implicate marginalized social groups like LGBTQ+. Therefore, the 2022 S-IAT and T-IAT samples, despite being unrepresentative, possess an advantage in that they provide a hard test for **H4**.

### 5.2 Anti-Trans Attitudes Outcome Measurement Details

**Anti-Trans D-Score:** Measured by assessing the speed by which respondents associate negative/positive attributes (words) to images of trans/cis celebrities. Higher value suggest respondents associated negative attributes to trans people faster than they associated negative attributes to cis people. See Axt et al. (2021) for more details. This outcome is rescaled between 0-1 during the analysis.

**Cis Bias:** Scale from 1-7 from “I strongly prefer transgender people to cisgender people” to “I strongly prefer cisgender people to transgender people.” This outcome is rescaled between 0-1 during the analysis, with 1 indicating maximum preferences for cisgender people.

**Ciscentrism:** Measured with two scales. One scale asks respondents to rate how warm they feel toward transgender people on a scale between 1-10. The other scale asks respondents to rate how warm they feel toward cisgender people on a scale between 1-10. We subtract the scale on warmth toward transgender people from the scale on warmth toward cisgender people. Therefore, higher values suggest more relative warmth toward cisgender people than transgender people. We rescale this measure between 0-1, with 1 indicating maximum warmth toward cisgender people relative to transgender people.

## 5.3 Regression Tables

### 5.3.1 *Post-Club Q* Coefficients (S-IAT Dataset)

Table 185: Regression Table Characterizing *Post-Club Q* Coefficients (S-IAT Dataset)

Club Q Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Dataset	Outcome	Bandwidth	Controls
0.00	0.00	0.86	5645.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	5.00	No
0.00	0.00	0.85	11068.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	10.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.77	17246.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	15.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.13	24118.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	20.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.09	28949.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	25.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.03	33221.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	30.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.06	37519.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	35.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.05	41263.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	40.00	No
0.00	0.00	0.85	5645.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	5.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.78	11068.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	10.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.91	17246.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	15.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.19	24118.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	20.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.16	28949.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	25.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.06	33221.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	30.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.07	37519.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	35.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.03	41263.00	Sexuality IAT	D-Score (Anti-Gay)	40.00	Yes
0.00	0.01	0.74	5743.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	5.00	No
0.01	0.00	0.10	11229.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	10.00	No
0.00	0.00	0.38	17538.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	15.00	No
0.00	0.00	0.88	24542.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	20.00	No
0.00	0.00	0.97	29456.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	25.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.68	33835.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	30.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.69	38195.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	35.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.68	41983.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	40.00	No
0.00	0.01	0.63	5743.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	5.00	Yes
0.01	0.00	0.11	11229.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	10.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.12	17538.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	15.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.41	24542.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	20.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.40	29456.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	25.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.73	33835.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	30.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.77	38195.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	35.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.91	41983.00	Sexuality IAT	Straight Bias	40.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.77	5782.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	5.00	No
0.00	0.00	0.56	11299.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	10.00	No
0.00	0.00	0.92	17631.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	15.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.69	24691.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	20.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.61	29632.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	25.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.34	34037.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	30.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.62	38414.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	35.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.88	42225.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	40.00	No
0.00	0.00	0.62	5782.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	5.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.75	11299.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	10.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.53	17631.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	15.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.83	24691.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	20.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.80	29632.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	25.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.77	34037.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	30.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.97	38414.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	35.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	1.00	42225.00	Sexuality IAT	Heterocentrism	40.00	Yes

HC2 robust SEs reported



### 5.3.2 *Post-Club Q* Coefficients (T-IAT Dataset)

Table 186: Regression Table Characterizing *Post-Club Q* Coefficients (T-IAT Dataset)

Club Q Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Dataset	Outcome	Bandwidth	Controls
0.00	0.01	0.86	2010.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	5.00	No
0.00	0.00	0.80	4038.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	10.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.60	6185.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	15.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.44	8856.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	20.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.30	11013.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	25.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.10	12730.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	30.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.17	14453.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	35.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.12	16044.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	40.00	No
-0.00	0.01	0.58	2010.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	5.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.62	4038.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	10.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.33	6185.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	15.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.22	8856.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	20.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.18	11013.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	25.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.06	12730.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	30.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.09	14453.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	35.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.04	16044.00	Transgender IAT	D-Score (Anti-Trans)	40.00	Yes
0.01	0.01	0.21	2114.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	5.00	No
0.01	0.01	0.24	4261.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	10.00	No
-0.00	0.01	0.86	6516.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	15.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.66	9327.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	20.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.48	11586.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	25.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.19	13390.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	30.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.36	15189.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	35.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.47	16851.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	40.00	No
0.00	0.01	0.70	2114.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	5.00	Yes
0.00	0.01	0.87	4261.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	10.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.45	6516.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	15.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.38	9327.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	20.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.54	11586.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	25.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.31	13390.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	30.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.54	15189.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	35.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.65	16851.00	Transgender IAT	Cis Bias	40.00	Yes
0.01	0.01	0.08	2148.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	5.00	No
0.01	0.00	0.06	4333.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	10.00	No
0.00	0.00	0.30	6627.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	15.00	No
0.00	0.00	0.86	9479.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	20.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.56	11764.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	25.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.07	13590.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	30.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.08	15412.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	35.00	No
-0.00	0.00	0.08	17095.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	40.00	No
0.01	0.01	0.28	2148.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	5.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.30	4333.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	10.00	Yes
0.00	0.00	0.48	6627.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	15.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.84	9479.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	20.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.79	11764.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	25.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.18	13590.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	30.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.21	15412.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	35.00	Yes
-0.00	0.00	0.22	17095.00	Transgender IAT	Ciscentrism	40.00	Yes

HC2 robust SEs reported

## 6 Less Salient Violent Events

### 6.1 Assessing Influence of Less Salient Violent Events on Prosocial Attitudes (2010-2022)

**Table 187: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 1)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	-0.00	0.00	0.31	D-Score	No
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	-0.00	0.01	0.61	Straight Bias	No
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	-0.00	0.00	0.43	D-Score	No
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	-0.01	0.00	0.26	Straight Bias	No
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	-0.00	0.00	0.41	D-Score	No
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	-0.00	0.01	0.62	Straight Bias	No
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	-0.01	0.00	0.01	Heterocentrism	Yes
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	0.00	0.00	0.78	D-Score	No
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	0.00	0.00	0.60	Straight Bias	No
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	-0.01	0.00	0.01	Heterocentrism	Yes
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	-0.00	0.00	0.92	D-Score	No
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	-0.00	0.01	0.48	Straight Bias	No
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	0.00	0.00	0.87	Heterocentrism	No
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	-0.00	0.00	0.62	D-Score	No
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	-0.00	0.00	0.40	Straight Bias	No
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	0.00	0.00	0.52	Heterocentrism	No
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	-0.00	0.01	0.48	D-Score	No
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	0.01	0.01	0.10	Straight Bias	No
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	0.01	0.01	0.15	Heterocentrism	No
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	-0.01	0.00	0.03	D-Score	Yes
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	-0.00	0.01	0.59	Straight Bias	No
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	-0.00	0.00	0.39	Heterocentrism	No
Victoria White	2010-09-11	0.01	0.00	0.06	D-Score	No
Victoria White	2010-09-11	0.01	0.01	0.16	Straight Bias	No
Victoria White	2010-09-11	0.00	0.00	0.18	Heterocentrism	No
Victoria White	2010-09-11	0.01	0.00	0.07	D-Score	No
Victoria White	2010-09-11	0.01	0.00	0.22	Straight Bias	No
Victoria White	2010-09-11	0.00	0.00	0.31	Heterocentrism	No
La Reina	2010-10-03	-0.00	0.00	0.78	D-Score	No
La Reina	2010-10-03	0.00	0.00	0.45	Straight Bias	No
La Reina	2010-10-03	-0.00	0.00	0.73	Heterocentrism	No
La Reina	2010-10-03	-0.00	0.00	0.89	D-Score	No
La Reina	2010-10-03	0.00	0.00	0.91	Straight Bias	No
La Reina	2010-10-03	-0.00	0.00	0.15	Heterocentrism	No
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	0.00	0.00	0.10	D-Score	No
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	0.00	0.00	0.72	Straight Bias	No
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	0.00	0.00	0.87	Heterocentrism	No
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	0.00	0.00	0.16	D-Score	No

**Table 188: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 2)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	0.00	0.00	0.55	Straight Bias	No
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	0.00	0.00	0.97	Heterocentrism	No
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	0.00	0.00	0.46	D-Score	No
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	-0.00	0.00	0.42	Straight Bias	No
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	-0.00	0.00	0.18	Heterocentrism	No
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	0.00	0.00	0.45	D-Score	No
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	-0.01	0.00	0.25	Straight Bias	No
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	-0.01	0.00	0.06	Heterocentrism	No
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	-0.00	0.00	0.90	D-Score	No
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	-0.00	0.01	0.69	Straight Bias	No
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	0.00	0.00	0.78	Heterocentrism	No
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	-0.00	0.00	0.54	D-Score	No
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	-0.01	0.00	0.10	Straight Bias	No
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	-0.00	0.00	0.32	Heterocentrism	No
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	-0.01	0.00	0.03	D-Score	Yes
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	0.00	0.00	0.91	Straight Bias	No
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	0.00	0.00	0.34	Heterocentrism	No
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	-0.00	0.00	0.14	D-Score	No
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	-0.00	0.00	0.96	Straight Bias	No
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	0.00	0.00	0.63	Heterocentrism	No
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	-0.01	0.00	0.07	D-Score	No
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	-0.00	0.00	0.66	Straight Bias	No
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	-0.00	0.00	0.72	Heterocentrism	No
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	-0.00	0.00	0.49	D-Score	No
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	-0.00	0.00	0.68	Straight Bias	No
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	0.00	0.00	0.74	Heterocentrism	No
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	0.00	0.00	0.30	D-Score	No
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	0.01	0.00	0.23	Straight Bias	No
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	0.01	0.00	0.09	Heterocentrism	No
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	0.00	0.00	0.47	D-Score	No
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	0.00	0.00	0.44	Straight Bias	No
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	0.01	0.00	0.05	Heterocentrism	Yes
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	0.01	0.00	0.24	D-Score	No
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	-0.01	0.01	0.34	Straight Bias	No
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.12	Heterocentrism	No
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	0.01	0.00	0.11	D-Score	No
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	-0.00	0.01	0.45	Straight Bias	No
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.01	Heterocentrism	Yes
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	0.01	0.00	0.04	D-Score	Yes
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	0.01	0.01	0.14	Straight Bias	No

**Table 189: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 3)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	-0.00	0.00	0.70	Heterocentrism	No
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	0.00	0.00	0.51	D-Score	No
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	-0.00	0.01	0.71	Straight Bias	No
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	-0.01	0.00	0.02	Heterocentrism	Yes
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	-0.02	0.01	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	-0.03	0.01	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	-0.01	0.01	0.13	Heterocentrism	No
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	-0.01	0.00	0.02	D-Score	Yes
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	-0.02	0.01	0.01	Straight Bias	Yes
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	-0.00	0.01	0.36	Heterocentrism	No
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	-0.00	0.01	0.98	D-Score	No
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	-0.00	0.01	0.99	Straight Bias	No
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	0.00	0.01	0.73	Heterocentrism	No
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	0.01	0.00	0.03	D-Score	Yes
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	0.01	0.01	0.12	Straight Bias	No
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	0.01	0.01	0.02	Heterocentrism	Yes
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	-0.02	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	-0.03	0.01	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	-0.03	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	-0.02	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	-0.03	0.01	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	-0.03	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	0.01	0.01	0.17	Straight Bias	No
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	0.01	0.00	0.20	Heterocentrism	No
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	0.01	0.01	0.11	Straight Bias	No
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	0.01	0.00	0.09	Heterocentrism	No
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	0.01	0.00	0.06	D-Score	No
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	0.01	0.00	0.24	Straight Bias	No
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	0.00	0.00	0.12	Heterocentrism	No
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	0.01	0.00	0.07	D-Score	No
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	0.01	0.00	0.10	Straight Bias	No
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	0.01	0.00	0.07	Heterocentrism	No
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	-0.00	0.00	0.39	D-Score	No
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	0.01	0.00	0.15	Straight Bias	No
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	0.01	0.00	0.04	Heterocentrism	Yes
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	-0.00	0.00	0.60	D-Score	No
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	0.01	0.00	0.13	Straight Bias	No
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	0.01	0.00	0.05	Heterocentrism	No

**Table 190: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 4)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	0.00	0.00	0.26	D-Score	No
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	-0.00	0.01	0.64	Straight Bias	No
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	0.01	0.00	0.15	D-Score	No
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	-0.00	0.01	0.77	Straight Bias	No
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	-0.01	0.00	0.02	Heterocentrism	Yes
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	0.00	0.00	0.35	D-Score	No
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	-0.01	0.01	0.43	Straight Bias	No
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	-0.00	0.00	0.90	Heterocentrism	No
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	0.00	0.00	0.29	D-Score	No
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	-0.01	0.01	0.37	Straight Bias	No
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	-0.00	0.00	0.99	Heterocentrism	No
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	0.00	0.00	0.41	D-Score	No
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	-0.01	0.01	0.09	Straight Bias	No
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	-0.01	0.00	0.28	Heterocentrism	No
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	-0.00	0.00	0.93	D-Score	No
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	-0.01	0.01	0.03	Straight Bias	Yes
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	-0.01	0.00	0.14	Heterocentrism	No
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	-0.00	0.01	0.88	D-Score	No
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	0.01	0.01	0.48	Straight Bias	No
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	-0.01	0.01	0.07	Heterocentrism	No
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	-0.00	0.00	0.69	D-Score	No
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	0.01	0.01	0.02	Straight Bias	Yes
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	-0.00	0.00	0.96	Heterocentrism	No
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	-0.01	0.01	0.30	D-Score	No
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	0.01	0.01	0.48	Straight Bias	No
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	0.00	0.01	0.96	Heterocentrism	No
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	0.00	0.00	0.75	D-Score	No
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	0.01	0.01	0.02	Straight Bias	Yes
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	0.01	0.00	0.19	Heterocentrism	No
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	-0.00	0.00	0.77	D-Score	No
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	0.02	0.01	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	-0.00	0.00	0.51	D-Score	No
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	0.02	0.01	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	-0.00	0.00	0.81	D-Score	No
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	-0.00	0.00	0.93	Straight Bias	No
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	0.00	0.00	0.17	Heterocentrism	No
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	0.00	0.00	0.77	D-Score	No

**Table 191: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 5)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	0.00	0.00	0.78	Straight Bias	No
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	0.01	0.00	0.03	Heterocentrism	Yes
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	-0.00	0.00	0.19	D-Score	No
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	-0.00	0.00	0.72	Straight Bias	No
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	-0.00	0.00	0.65	Heterocentrism	No
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	-0.00	0.00	0.26	D-Score	No
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	-0.00	0.00	0.52	Straight Bias	No
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	-0.00	0.00	0.46	Heterocentrism	No
Austin Head	2012-11-10	0.00	0.00	0.55	D-Score	No
Austin Head	2012-11-10	-0.00	0.00	0.94	Straight Bias	No
Austin Head	2012-11-10	-0.00	0.00	0.73	Heterocentrism	No
Austin Head	2012-11-10	0.00	0.00	0.24	D-Score	No
Austin Head	2012-11-10	0.00	0.00	0.39	Straight Bias	No
Austin Head	2012-11-10	0.00	0.00	0.96	Heterocentrism	No
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	-0.00	0.00	0.54	D-Score	No
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	-0.02	0.01	0.01	Straight Bias	Yes
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	-0.01	0.00	0.10	Heterocentrism	No
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	-0.00	0.00	0.27	D-Score	No
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	-0.01	0.01	0.33	Straight Bias	No
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	-0.00	0.00	0.72	Heterocentrism	No
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	-0.00	0.00	0.34	D-Score	No
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	-0.01	0.01	0.06	Straight Bias	No
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	-0.00	0.00	0.78	D-Score	No
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	-0.01	0.01	0.01	Straight Bias	Yes
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	0.00	0.00	0.38	D-Score	No
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	0.01	0.01	0.39	Straight Bias	No
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	-0.01	0.00	0.18	Heterocentrism	No
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	0.00	0.00	0.35	D-Score	No
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	0.00	0.01	0.70	Straight Bias	No
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	-0.00	0.00	0.28	Heterocentrism	No
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	-0.00	0.00	0.43	D-Score	No
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	-0.01	0.00	0.23	Straight Bias	No

**Table 192: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 6)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	-0.00	0.00	0.26	Heterocentrism	No
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	-0.00	0.00	0.49	D-Score	No
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	-0.01	0.00	0.19	Straight Bias	No
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	-0.00	0.00	0.19	Heterocentrism	No
John Masterson	2014-03-01	0.00	0.00	0.74	D-Score	No
John Masterson	2014-03-01	-0.01	0.00	0.22	Straight Bias	No
John Masterson	2014-03-01	-0.00	0.00	0.89	Heterocentrism	No
John Masterson	2014-03-01	-0.00	0.00	0.84	D-Score	No
John Masterson	2014-03-01	-0.00	0.00	0.22	Straight Bias	No
John Masterson	2014-03-01	-0.00	0.00	0.67	Heterocentrism	No
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	0.00	0.00	0.74	D-Score	No
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	-0.01	0.00	0.27	Straight Bias	No
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	-0.00	0.00	0.79	Heterocentrism	No
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	0.00	0.00	0.87	D-Score	No
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	-0.00	0.00	0.39	Straight Bias	No
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	-0.00	0.00	0.75	Heterocentrism	No
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	0.00	0.00	0.60	D-Score	No
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	-0.00	0.01	0.60	Straight Bias	No
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.28	Heterocentrism	No
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	0.00	0.00	0.39	D-Score	No
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	-0.00	0.01	0.46	Straight Bias	No
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	0.00	0.00	0.34	D-Score	No
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	0.00	0.00	0.36	Straight Bias	No
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	0.00	0.00	0.53	Heterocentrism	No
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	0.00	0.00	0.10	D-Score	No
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	0.00	0.00	0.34	Straight Bias	No
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	0.00	0.00	0.20	Heterocentrism	No
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	-0.01	0.00	0.01	D-Score	Yes
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	-0.01	0.00	0.09	Straight Bias	No
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	0.00	0.00	0.95	Heterocentrism	No
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	-0.01	0.00	0.04	Straight Bias	Yes
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	-0.00	0.00	0.40	Heterocentrism	No
John Mateer	2015-10-04	0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
John Mateer	2015-10-04	0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
John Mateer	2015-10-04	0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
John Mateer	2015-10-04	0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
John Mateer	2015-10-04	0.02	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
John Mateer	2015-10-04	0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes

**Table 193: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 7)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	0.01	0.00	0.14	D-Score	No
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	0.00	0.01	0.53	Straight Bias	No
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	-0.00	0.00	0.45	Heterocentrism	No
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	0.01	0.00	0.02	D-Score	Yes
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	0.01	0.01	0.08	Straight Bias	No
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	-0.00	0.00	0.86	Heterocentrism	No
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	0.00	0.00	0.98	D-Score	No
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	-0.01	0.00	0.13	Straight Bias	No
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	-0.00	0.00	0.38	Heterocentrism	No
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	-0.00	0.00	0.57	D-Score	No
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	-0.01	0.00	0.11	Straight Bias	No
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	-0.00	0.00	0.49	Heterocentrism	No
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	0.00	0.00	0.67	D-Score	No
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	-0.01	0.00	0.26	Straight Bias	No
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	-0.00	0.00	0.17	Heterocentrism	No
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	-0.00	0.00	0.95	D-Score	No
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	-0.00	0.00	0.47	Straight Bias	No
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	-0.00	0.00	0.62	Heterocentrism	No
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	0.01	0.01	0.08	D-Score	No
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	0.01	0.01	0.26	Straight Bias	No
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	0.01	0.00	0.10	Heterocentrism	No
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	0.01	0.00	0.11	D-Score	No
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	0.01	0.01	0.32	Straight Bias	No
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	0.01	0.00	0.11	Heterocentrism	No
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	0.00	0.00	0.88	D-Score	No
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	0.00	0.00	0.93	Straight Bias	No
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	0.00	0.00	0.69	Heterocentrism	No
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	-0.00	0.00	0.78	D-Score	No
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	-0.00	0.00	0.71	Straight Bias	No
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	0.00	0.00	0.70	Heterocentrism	No
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	0.00	0.00	0.76	D-Score	No
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	-0.00	0.01	0.90	Straight Bias	No
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	0.00	0.00	0.47	Heterocentrism	No
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	-0.00	0.00	1.00	D-Score	No
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	-0.00	0.00	0.85	Straight Bias	No
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	0.00	0.00	0.65	Heterocentrism	No
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	0.01	0.00	0.03	D-Score	Yes
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	0.01	0.00	0.03	Straight Bias	Yes
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes



**Table 194: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 8)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	-0.00	0.00	0.21	D-Score	No
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	0.00	0.00	0.82	Straight Bias	No
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	0.00	0.00	0.23	Heterocentrism	No
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	-0.00	0.00	0.08	D-Score	No
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	-0.00	0.00	0.49	Straight Bias	No
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	0.00	0.00	0.78	Heterocentrism	No
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	-0.00	0.00	0.46	D-Score	No
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	0.00	0.00	0.25	Straight Bias	No
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	0.00	0.00	0.55	Heterocentrism	No
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	-0.00	0.00	0.41	D-Score	No
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	0.01	0.00	0.10	Straight Bias	No
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	0.00	0.00	0.28	Heterocentrism	No
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	-0.00	0.00	0.44	D-Score	No
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	0.00	0.00	0.55	Straight Bias	No
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	-0.00	0.00	0.46	Heterocentrism	No
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	-0.00	0.00	0.08	D-Score	No
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	0.00	0.00	0.92	Straight Bias	No
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	-0.00	0.00	0.32	Heterocentrism	No
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	0.00	0.00	0.36	D-Score	No
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	0.00	0.00	0.37	Straight Bias	No
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	0.00	0.00	0.23	Heterocentrism	No
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	0.00	0.00	0.50	D-Score	No
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	0.00	0.00	0.72	Straight Bias	No
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	0.00	0.00	0.31	Heterocentrism	No
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	-0.00	0.00	0.40	D-Score	No
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	0.01	0.00	0.21	Straight Bias	No
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	0.00	0.00	0.46	Heterocentrism	No
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	0.00	0.00	0.94	D-Score	No
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	0.00	0.00	0.28	Straight Bias	No
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	0.00	0.00	0.25	Heterocentrism	No
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	-0.00	0.00	0.66	D-Score	No
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	0.00	0.01	0.79	Straight Bias	No
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	0.00	0.00	0.81	Heterocentrism	No
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	-0.00	0.00	0.14	D-Score	No
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	0.00	0.00	0.83	Straight Bias	No
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	0.00	0.00	0.83	Heterocentrism	No
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes

**Table 195: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 9)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	-0.01	0.00	0.01	Straight Bias	Yes
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	-0.01	0.00	0.03	Heterocentrism	Yes
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	-0.01	0.00	0.01	Heterocentrism	Yes
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	-0.00	0.00	0.30	D-Score	No
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	0.00	0.00	0.81	Straight Bias	No
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	-0.00	0.00	0.67	Heterocentrism	No
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	-0.00	0.00	0.02	D-Score	Yes
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	-0.00	0.00	0.61	Straight Bias	No
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	-0.00	0.00	0.60	Heterocentrism	No
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	-0.00	0.00	0.38	D-Score	No
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	0.00	0.00	0.82	Straight Bias	No
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	0.00	0.00	0.97	Heterocentrism	No
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	-0.00	0.00	0.54	D-Score	No
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	0.00	0.00	0.50	Straight Bias	No
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	0.00	0.00	0.47	Heterocentrism	No
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	-0.00	0.00	0.29	D-Score	No
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	-0.01	0.00	0.13	Straight Bias	No
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	-0.00	0.00	0.12	Heterocentrism	No
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	0.00	0.00	0.94	D-Score	No
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	-0.00	0.00	0.36	Straight Bias	No
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	-0.00	0.00	0.13	Heterocentrism	No
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	0.00	0.00	0.71	D-Score	No
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	0.00	0.00	0.79	Straight Bias	No
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	0.00	0.00	0.55	Heterocentrism	No
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	0.00	0.00	0.41	D-Score	No
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	0.00	0.00	0.79	Straight Bias	No
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	0.00	0.00	0.65	Heterocentrism	No
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	-0.02	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	-0.02	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes

**Table 196: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 10)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	-0.02	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.01	Straight Bias	Yes
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.06	Heterocentrism	No
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	-0.02	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.01	Heterocentrism	Yes
Holden White	2020-06-20	0.00	0.00	0.06	D-Score	No
Holden White	2020-06-20	-0.01	0.00	0.09	Straight Bias	No
Holden White	2020-06-20	-0.00	0.00	0.16	Heterocentrism	No
Holden White	2020-06-20	0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Holden White	2020-06-20	-0.01	0.00	0.03	Straight Bias	Yes
Holden White	2020-06-20	-0.00	0.00	0.25	Heterocentrism	No
Christian Council	2020-06-26	0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Christian Council	2020-06-26	0.00	0.00	0.90	Straight Bias	No
Christian Council	2020-06-26	-0.00	0.00	0.51	Heterocentrism	No
Christian Council	2020-06-26	0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Christian Council	2020-06-26	0.00	0.00	0.21	Straight Bias	No
Christian Council	2020-06-26	-0.00	0.00	0.85	Heterocentrism	No
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	0.00	0.00	0.16	D-Score	No
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	0.01	0.00	0.07	Straight Bias	No
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	0.00	0.00	0.15	D-Score	No
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	0.01	0.00	0.01	Straight Bias	Yes
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	Establishments 2020-08-06	0.00	0.00	0.52	D-Score	No
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	Establishments 2020-08-06	-0.00	0.00	0.64	Straight Bias	No
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	Establishments 2020-08-06	-0.00	0.00	0.04	Heterocentrism	Yes
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	Establishments 2020-08-06	0.00	0.00	0.78	D-Score	No
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	Establishments 2020-08-06	0.00	0.00	0.88	Straight Bias	No
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	Establishments 2020-08-06	-0.00	0.00	0.13	Heterocentrism	No
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	-0.00	0.00	0.98	D-Score	No
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	0.00	0.00	0.40	Straight Bias	No
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	-0.00	0.00	0.74	Heterocentrism	No
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	0.00	0.00	0.66	D-Score	No
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	0.00	0.00	0.33	Straight Bias	No
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	-0.00	0.00	0.99	Heterocentrism	No
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	-0.00	0.00	0.96	D-Score	No
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	-0.00	0.00	0.42	Straight Bias	No
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	-0.00	0.00	0.16	Heterocentrism	No
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	-0.00	0.00	0.53	D-Score	No

**Table 197: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 11)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	-0.00	0.00	0.27	Straight Bias	No
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	-0.00	0.00	0.41	Heterocentrism	No
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	0.00	0.00	0.82	D-Score	No
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	-0.00	0.00	0.94	Straight Bias	No
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	-0.00	0.00	0.39	Heterocentrism	No
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	0.00	0.00	0.76	D-Score	No
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	-0.00	0.00	0.71	Straight Bias	No
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	-0.00	0.00	0.71	Heterocentrism	No
Poe Black	2021-05-21	0.00	0.00	0.33	D-Score	No
Poe Black	2021-05-21	0.00	0.00	0.67	Straight Bias	No
Poe Black	2021-05-21	0.00	0.00	0.87	Heterocentrism	No
Poe Black	2021-05-21	0.00	0.00	0.74	D-Score	No
Poe Black	2021-05-21	0.00	0.00	0.41	Straight Bias	No
Poe Black	2021-05-21	0.00	0.00	0.54	Heterocentrism	No
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	0.00	0.00	0.11	D-Score	No
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	0.01	0.00	0.08	Straight Bias	No
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	0.00	0.00	1.00	D-Score	No
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	-0.00	0.01	0.49	Straight Bias	No
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	-0.00	0.00	0.77	Heterocentrism	No
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	0.00	0.00	0.95	D-Score	No
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	0.00	0.01	0.95	Straight Bias	No
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	0.00	0.00	0.92	Heterocentrism	No
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	0.00	0.00	0.50	D-Score	No
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	0.00	0.01	0.84	Straight Bias	No
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	0.01	0.00	0.01	Heterocentrism	Yes
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	0.00	0.00	0.45	D-Score	No
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	0.00	0.01	0.55	Straight Bias	No
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	0.01	0.00	0.02	Heterocentrism	Yes
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	0.00	0.00	0.84	D-Score	No
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	0.01	0.00	0.22	Straight Bias	No
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	-0.00	0.00	0.70	Heterocentrism	No
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	0.00	0.00	0.68	D-Score	No
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	0.01	0.00	0.07	Straight Bias	No
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	-0.00	0.00	0.83	Heterocentrism	No
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	0.00	0.00	0.70	D-Score	No
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	0.01	0.00	0.20	Straight Bias	No

**Table 198: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 12)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	0.00	0.00	0.54	Heterocentrism	No
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	0.00	0.00	0.93	D-Score	No
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	0.00	0.00	0.39	Straight Bias	No
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	-0.00	0.00	0.94	Heterocentrism	No
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	0.00	0.00	0.61	D-Score	No
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	0.00	0.00	0.42	Straight Bias	No
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	-0.00	0.00	0.45	Heterocentrism	No
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	0.00	0.00	0.78	D-Score	No
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	0.00	0.00	0.93	Straight Bias	No
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	-0.00	0.00	0.60	Heterocentrism	No
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	0.00	0.00	0.11	D-Score	No
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	0.01	0.00	0.11	Straight Bias	No
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	0.00	0.00	0.92	Heterocentrism	No
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	0.00	0.00	0.17	D-Score	No
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	0.00	0.00	0.21	Straight Bias	No
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	0.00	0.00	0.98	Heterocentrism	No
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	-0.00	0.00	0.73	Straight Bias	No
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	0.00	0.00	0.92	Heterocentrism	No
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	0.00	0.00	0.80	Straight Bias	No
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	0.00	0.00	0.30	Heterocentrism	No
James Garcia	2022-04-17	-0.00	0.00	0.69	D-Score	No
James Garcia	2022-04-17	-0.01	0.00	0.01	Straight Bias	Yes
James Garcia	2022-04-17	-0.00	0.00	0.21	Heterocentrism	No
James Garcia	2022-04-17	-0.00	0.00	0.72	D-Score	No
James Garcia	2022-04-17	-0.01	0.00	0.02	Straight Bias	Yes
James Garcia	2022-04-17	-0.00	0.00	0.10	Heterocentrism	No
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	-0.00	0.00	0.20	D-Score	No
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	-0.00	0.00	0.23	Straight Bias	No
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	-0.00	0.00	0.04	Heterocentrism	Yes
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	-0.00	0.00	0.13	D-Score	No
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	-0.01	0.00	0.04	Straight Bias	Yes
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	-0.00	0.00	0.03	Heterocentrism	Yes
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	-0.02	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	-0.01	0.00	0.01	D-Score	Yes
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes

**Table 199: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Part 13)**

Incident	Date	Placebo Coef.	SE	p-val	Outcome	Stat. Sign
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	D-Score	Yes
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Straight Bias	Yes
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	Heterocentrism	Yes
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	0.00	0.00	0.39	D-Score	No
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	0.00	0.00	0.82	Straight Bias	No
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	0.00	0.00	0.86	Heterocentrism	No
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	0.00	0.00	0.28	D-Score	No
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	-0.00	0.00	0.51	Straight Bias	No
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	0.00	0.00	0.86	Heterocentrism	No
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	0.00	0.00	0.20	D-Score	No
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	-0.00	0.00	0.60	Straight Bias	No
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	-0.00	0.00	0.09	Heterocentrism	No
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	0.01	0.00	0.01	D-Score	Yes
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	0.01	0.00	0.02	Straight Bias	Yes

**Table 200: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 1)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.19	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.07	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.86	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.61	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.01	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.98	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.18	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.34	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.08	5739	D-Score	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Age	0.01	0.03	0.80	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Woman	-0.10	0.01	0.00	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	College	-0.06	0.04	0.12	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	White	0.02	0.03	0.42	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.09	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.79	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.46	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.60	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.30	5431	Straight Bias	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Age	-0.00	0.02	0.88	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.11	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.91	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.66	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.13	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.33	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.27	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.19	5735	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.13	7827	D-Score	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7827	D-Score	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7827	D-Score	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.03	7827	D-Score	20.00

**Table 201: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 2)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.83	7827	D-Score	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	7827	D-Score	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.55	7827	D-Score	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.04	7827	D-Score	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.31	7827	D-Score	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.12	7827	D-Score	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.25	7827	D-Score	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.25	7827	D-Score	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Age	0.00	0.03	0.88	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Woman	-0.09	0.01	0.00	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.14	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.18	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.07	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.79	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.55	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.61	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.36	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.12	7406	Straight Bias	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.83	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	College	-0.05	0.02	0.01	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.21	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.79	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.16	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.29	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.09	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amanda Gonzalez-Andujar	2010-03-30	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.09	7825	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.16	5879	D-Score	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	5879	D-Score	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	5879	D-Score	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.34	5879	D-Score	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.74	5879	D-Score	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5879	D-Score	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.59	5879	D-Score	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.05	5879	D-Score	15.00



**Table 202: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 3)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.78	5879	D-Score	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.16	5879	D-Score	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.21	5879	D-Score	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.31	5879	D-Score	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Age	0.02	0.03	0.61	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.09	0.01	0.00	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	College	-0.05	0.03	0.13	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.75	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Religious	0.10	0.01	0.00	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.25	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.64	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.62	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.47	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.23	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.27	5548	Straight Bias	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Age	-0.00	0.02	0.99	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	College	-0.05	0.03	0.06	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.93	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.45	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.17	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.56	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.12	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.31	5871	Heterocentrism	15.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.19	8069	D-Score	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	8069	D-Score	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8069	D-Score	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.17	8069	D-Score	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.99	8069	D-Score	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	8069	D-Score	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.04	8069	D-Score	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.01	8069	D-Score	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.33	8069	D-Score	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.12	8069	D-Score	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.22	8069	D-Score	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.47	8069	D-Score	20.00

**Table 203: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 4)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Age	0.01	0.03	0.74	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.09	0.01	0.00	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.18	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	White	0.02	0.03	0.48	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.85	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.23	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.63	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.62	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.55	7637	Straight Bias	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.60	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	College	-0.05	0.02	0.02	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.60	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.63	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.03	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.23	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.31	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Toni Alston	2010-04-03	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.09	8067	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.28	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.45	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.11	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.12	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.55	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.89	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.16	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.09	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Illinois	0.02	0.01	0.02	4845	D-Score	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Age	0.02	0.03	0.46	4559	Straight Bias	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.01	0.00	4559	Straight Bias	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Woman	-0.09	0.01	0.00	4559	Straight Bias	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	College	0.04	0.03	0.08	4559	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 204: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 5)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	White	-0.02	0.03	0.51	4559	Straight Bias	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	4559	Straight Bias	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.01	0.69	4559	Straight Bias	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Florida	-0.00	0.02	0.98	4559	Straight Bias	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.24	4559	Straight Bias	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.55	4559	Straight Bias	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.02	1.00	4559	Straight Bias	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.41	4559	Straight Bias	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.09	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.93	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.51	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.19	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.37	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.57	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.94	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.91	4854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.15	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.63	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.33	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.18	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.90	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.53	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.22	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.04	6642	D-Score	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.59	6263	Straight Bias	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.01	0.00	6263	Straight Bias	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Woman	-0.09	0.01	0.00	6263	Straight Bias	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.14	6263	Straight Bias	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	White	-0.02	0.03	0.40	6263	Straight Bias	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	6263	Straight Bias	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.45	6263	Straight Bias	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.88	6263	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 205: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 6)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.06	6263	Straight Bias	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.50	6263	Straight Bias	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.63	6263	Straight Bias	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.76	6263	Straight Bias	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.08	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.32	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.61	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.96	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.04	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.12	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.71	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Dana Larkin	2010-05-07	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.15	6655	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.16	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.01	0.00	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	College	-0.06	0.03	0.01	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	White	0.04	0.03	0.23	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.64	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.40	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.48	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.30	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.49	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Illinois	0.03	0.01	0.02	2550	D-Score	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Age	0.07	0.06	0.22	2419	Straight Bias	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.01	0.00	2419	Straight Bias	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2419	Straight Bias	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	College	-0.01	0.05	0.79	2419	Straight Bias	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	White	0.07	0.05	0.18	2419	Straight Bias	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	2419	Straight Bias	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.58	2419	Straight Bias	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Florida	-0.02	0.02	0.23	2419	Straight Bias	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.59	2419	Straight Bias	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	New York	-0.04	0.02	0.02	2419	Straight Bias	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.02	0.62	2419	Straight Bias	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.03	0.21	2419	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 206: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 7)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Age	0.01	0.03	0.72	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	College	-0.03	0.04	0.47	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	White	0.02	0.03	0.49	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.41	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.27	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.97	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.12	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.15	2550	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.75	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.83	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.23	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.46	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.56	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.47	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.49	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.91	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Illinois	0.03	0.01	0.01	3641	D-Score	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Age	0.09	0.05	0.06	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.01	0.00	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	College	0.02	0.03	0.56	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	White	0.02	0.04	0.51	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.51	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Florida	-0.03	0.02	0.08	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.88	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.02	0.57	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.88	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.02	0.15	3461	Straight Bias	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Age	0.01	0.03	0.73	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.84	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 207: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 8)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.55	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.17	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.09	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.02	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.19	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.16	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sandy Woulard	2010-06-21	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.63	3643	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.78	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	College	0.05	0.02	0.04	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.52	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.22	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Florida	0.03	0.01	0.00	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.04	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.96	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.58	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.80	5595	D-Score	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Age	-0.04	0.03	0.14	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Woman	-0.10	0.01	0.00	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	College	0.02	0.04	0.58	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	White	0.04	0.03	0.28	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.99	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.23	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.19	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.03	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.77	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Illinois	-0.04	0.01	0.00	5334	Straight Bias	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.20	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.94	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.66	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.54	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 208: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 9)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.10	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.77	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.01	0.00	5620	Heterocentrism	15.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Age	-0.00	0.02	0.97	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	College	0.03	0.02	0.14	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.12	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.31	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.00	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.01	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.77	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.17	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.63	7371	D-Score	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Age	-0.03	0.03	0.21	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Woman	-0.10	0.01	0.00	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	College	0.02	0.03	0.58	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	White	0.05	0.03	0.03	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.01	0.78	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.74	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.11	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.93	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.01	0.00	7038	Straight Bias	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.33	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.76	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.15	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.03	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.32	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.99	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00
Victoria White	2010-09-11	2010.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.01	7403	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 209: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 10)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.10	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.81	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.31	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.86	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.00	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.75	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.02	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.37	6915	D-Score	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Age	-0.03	0.03	0.33	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.10	0.01	0.00	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	College	-0.03	0.03	0.37	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	White	0.05	0.03	0.10	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.29	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.51	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	California	-0.03	0.01	0.00	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.15	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.03	0.01	0.01	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Illinois	-0.04	0.01	0.00	6645	Straight Bias	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.49	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.75	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	White	0.03	0.03	0.27	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.12	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.03	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.33	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.01	6946	Heterocentrism	15.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Age	-0.03	0.01	0.06	9937	D-Score	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	9937	D-Score	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	9937	D-Score	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.21	9937	D-Score	20.00



**Table 210: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 11)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.28	9937	D-Score	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	9937	D-Score	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.45	9937	D-Score	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.00	9937	D-Score	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.03	9937	D-Score	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.78	9937	D-Score	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.14	9937	D-Score	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.69	9937	D-Score	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.40	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.10	0.00	0.00	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.78	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	White	0.04	0.03	0.09	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.09	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.31	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.01	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.60	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Illinois	-0.05	0.01	0.00	9539	Straight Bias	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.43	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.64	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.09	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.09	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.00	0.00	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.03	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.54	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
La Reina	2010-10-03	2010.00	Illinois	-0.04	0.01	0.00	9996	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.16	7714	D-Score	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	7714	D-Score	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7714	D-Score	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.84	7714	D-Score	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.52	7714	D-Score	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	7714	D-Score	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.48	7714	D-Score	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.06	7714	D-Score	15.00

**Table 211: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 12)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.35	7714	D-Score	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.97	7714	D-Score	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.81	7714	D-Score	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.34	7714	D-Score	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Age	-0.02	0.03	0.47	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Woman	-0.09	0.01	0.00	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	College	-0.00	0.03	0.92	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	White	0.01	0.03	0.70	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.08	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.31	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.02	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.66	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.10	7377	Straight Bias	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Age	-0.00	0.02	1.00	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.96	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	White	0.01	0.03	0.79	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.32	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.10	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.30	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.70	7757	Heterocentrism	15.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.07	9715	D-Score	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	9715	D-Score	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	9715	D-Score	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.77	9715	D-Score	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.97	9715	D-Score	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	9715	D-Score	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.54	9715	D-Score	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.01	9715	D-Score	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.38	9715	D-Score	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.77	9715	D-Score	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.45	9715	D-Score	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.18	9715	D-Score	20.00

**Table 212: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 13)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.30	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Woman	-0.10	0.00	0.00	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.41	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.32	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.27	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.04	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.05	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.62	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.23	9284	Straight Bias	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.46	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.62	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.19	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.53	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.00	0.00	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.04	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.31	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Stacey Lee	2010-10-14	2010.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.92	9764	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.29	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.49	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.86	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.01	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.49	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.16	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.33	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.81	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.10	6390	D-Score	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Age	-0.04	0.02	0.16	6098	Straight Bias	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	6098	Straight Bias	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Woman	-0.09	0.01	0.00	6098	Straight Bias	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.21	6098	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 213: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 14)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	White	0.01	0.03	0.57	6098	Straight Bias	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	6098	Straight Bias	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	6098	Straight Bias	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Florida	0.00	0.02	0.84	6098	Straight Bias	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.26	6098	Straight Bias	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.09	6098	Straight Bias	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.10	6098	Straight Bias	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.25	6098	Straight Bias	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.42	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.51	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.92	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.58	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.44	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.90	6403	Heterocentrism	15.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.66	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.97	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.64	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.29	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.26	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.26	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.43	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Illinois	0.02	0.01	0.01	8223	D-Score	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.58	7822	Straight Bias	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	7822	Straight Bias	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Woman	-0.10	0.00	0.00	7822	Straight Bias	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.11	7822	Straight Bias	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.21	7822	Straight Bias	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	7822	Straight Bias	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	7822	Straight Bias	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.80	7822	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 214: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 15)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.27	7822	Straight Bias	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	7822	Straight Bias	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.11	7822	Straight Bias	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.23	7822	Straight Bias	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.56	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.75	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.59	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.44	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.01	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.24	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Joshua Wilkerson	2010-11-17	2010.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.35	8232	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Age	-0.00	0.02	0.86	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.19	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.45	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.01	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.08	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.29	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.04	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.96	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.35	5400	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Age	0.01	0.03	0.67	5162	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	5162	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Woman	-0.10	0.01	0.00	5162	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.28	5162	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	White	0.01	0.03	0.72	5162	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	5162	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.04	5162	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Florida	-0.00	0.02	0.96	5162	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.90	5162	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	5162	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.13	5162	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.44	5162	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 215: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 16)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Age	0.05	0.03	0.07	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.87	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.77	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.50	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.06	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.16	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.31	5413	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.63	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.24	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.66	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.18	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.33	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.56	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.88	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.17	7004	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Age	-0.00	0.02	0.96	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Woman	-0.10	0.01	0.00	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	College	-0.03	0.03	0.34	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.54	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Florida	-0.01	0.02	0.51	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.70	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.22	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.04	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.76	6690	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.25	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.92	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 216: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 17)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.73	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.89	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.02	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.05	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.08	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Mark Powell	2010-12-01	2010.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.39	7015	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.69	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.44	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.26	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.07	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.71	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.21	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.54	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.76	6812	D-Score	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Age	0.02	0.03	0.54	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Woman	-0.09	0.01	0.00	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.76	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	White	0.06	0.03	0.03	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.09	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.35	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.09	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.16	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.37	6530	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Age	0.05	0.02	0.02	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.94	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	White	0.04	0.02	0.02	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.96	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 217: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 18)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.41	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.48	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.98	6835	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.90	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	College	0.03	0.02	0.10	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	White	0.02	0.01	0.24	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.03	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.32	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.98	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.08	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.22	9118	D-Score	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Age	0.03	0.03	0.34	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Woman	-0.09	0.00	0.00	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.57	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	White	0.06	0.02	0.01	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.17	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.57	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.70	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.04	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.44	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.67	8733	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.02	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.90	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	White	0.04	0.01	0.01	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.54	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.50	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.07	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.24	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyra Trent	2011-02-19	2011.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.64	9143	Heterocentrism	20.00



**Table 218: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 19)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.86	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.16	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	White	-0.02	0.01	0.11	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.02	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.22	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.28	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.41	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.77	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.89	7033	D-Score	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Age	0.04	0.03	0.27	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.10	0.01	0.00	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	College	-0.03	0.03	0.25	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	White	-0.03	0.03	0.24	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.24	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.51	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.19	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.87	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.41	6792	Straight Bias	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.38	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.14	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.49	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.44	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.04	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.78	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.22	7079	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.99	8947	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	8947	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8947	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.11	8947	D-Score	20.00

**Table 219: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 20)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	White	-0.02	0.01	0.09	8947	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	8947	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.03	8947	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.08	8947	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.03	8947	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.59	8947	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.97	8947	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.48	8947	D-Score	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Age	0.04	0.03	0.20	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.10	0.00	0.00	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.28	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	White	-0.03	0.02	0.20	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.22	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.06	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.15	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.76	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.87	8633	Straight Bias	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.56	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	College	-0.02	0.01	0.18	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.42	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.36	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.02	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.86	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kevin Pennington	2011-04-01	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.11	9003	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.46	6959	D-Score	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6959	D-Score	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	6959	D-Score	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.63	6959	D-Score	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.37	6959	D-Score	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6959	D-Score	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	6959	D-Score	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.93	6959	D-Score	15.00

**Table 220: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 21)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.31	6959	D-Score	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.69	6959	D-Score	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.25	6959	D-Score	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.11	6959	D-Score	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Age	0.02	0.03	0.58	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Woman	-0.11	0.01	0.00	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	College	-0.02	0.03	0.46	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	White	-0.00	0.03	0.87	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Florida	-0.03	0.02	0.06	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.01	0.01	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.64	6633	Straight Bias	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.47	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	College	-0.03	0.02	0.19	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.69	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.11	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.16	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.01	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.07	6952	Heterocentrism	15.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Age	0.00	0.01	0.84	8809	D-Score	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	8809	D-Score	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8809	D-Score	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.88	8809	D-Score	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.51	8809	D-Score	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	8809	D-Score	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	8809	D-Score	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.26	8809	D-Score	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.35	8809	D-Score	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.92	8809	D-Score	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.17	8809	D-Score	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.11	8809	D-Score	20.00

**Table 221: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 22)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Age	0.01	0.03	0.62	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Woman	-0.10	0.00	0.00	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	College	-0.02	0.03	0.46	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.58	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.11	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.03	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.05	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.01	0.00	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.56	8422	Straight Bias	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.38	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	College	-0.03	0.02	0.13	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.82	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.00	0.00	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.29	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.10	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.00	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Chrissy Lee Polis	2011-04-22	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.15	8821	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.76	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	College	0.01	0.04	0.89	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.63	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.40	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.05	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.22	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.35	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.40	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.36	3252	D-Score	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.05	0.91	3120	Straight Bias	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.01	0.00	3120	Straight Bias	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3120	Straight Bias	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	College	-0.04	0.07	0.55	3120	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 222: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 23)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	White	-0.07	0.05	0.17	3120	Straight Bias	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	3120	Straight Bias	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.01	3120	Straight Bias	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Florida	0.03	0.01	0.06	3120	Straight Bias	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.25	3120	Straight Bias	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	New York	-0.03	0.02	0.06	3120	Straight Bias	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.02	0.67	3120	Straight Bias	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Illinois	0.00	0.02	1.00	3120	Straight Bias	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.18	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.04	0.01	0.00	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	College	-0.01	0.04	0.86	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	White	0.02	0.03	0.53	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.10	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.14	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.29	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.59	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.54	3269	Heterocentrism	15.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Age	-0.00	0.02	0.86	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	College	0.04	0.03	0.18	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.99	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.51	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.27	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.21	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.08	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.67	4322	D-Score	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.04	0.76	4146	Straight Bias	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	4146	Straight Bias	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	4146	Straight Bias	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	College	0.03	0.05	0.59	4146	Straight Bias	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	White	-0.05	0.04	0.29	4146	Straight Bias	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	4146	Straight Bias	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	4146	Straight Bias	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.05	4146	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 223: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 24)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	California	0.02	0.01	0.10	4146	Straight Bias	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	New York	-0.03	0.02	0.03	4146	Straight Bias	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.17	4146	Straight Bias	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Illinois	0.00	0.02	0.77	4146	Straight Bias	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Age	0.02	0.03	0.48	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	College	0.01	0.03	0.67	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	White	0.02	0.03	0.44	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.08	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.17	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.21	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
Rosita Hernandez	2011-06-01	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.35	4347	Heterocentrism	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Age	0.01	0.03	0.61	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	College	0.04	0.04	0.39	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.81	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.45	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.02	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.09	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.07	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.37	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.60	3039	D-Score	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.05	0.84	2924	Straight Bias	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	2924	Straight Bias	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2924	Straight Bias	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	College	-0.06	0.07	0.40	2924	Straight Bias	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	White	-0.06	0.05	0.20	2924	Straight Bias	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	2924	Straight Bias	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.12	2924	Straight Bias	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Florida	0.04	0.01	0.01	2924	Straight Bias	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	California	0.02	0.01	0.11	2924	Straight Bias	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	New York	-0.03	0.02	0.06	2924	Straight Bias	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.02	0.97	2924	Straight Bias	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.02	0.82	2924	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 224: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 25)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.09	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	College	-0.03	0.04	0.41	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	White	0.02	0.04	0.53	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.05	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.02	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.55	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.14	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.98	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.26	3061	Heterocentrism	15.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.81	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	College	0.03	0.03	0.29	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.94	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.66	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.01	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.21	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.18	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.07	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.31	4465	D-Score	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.04	0.83	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.01	0.00	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	College	-0.01	0.04	0.83	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	White	-0.06	0.04	0.11	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Florida	0.03	0.01	0.00	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.19	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.06	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.27	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.98	4288	Straight Bias	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.55	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	College	0.00	0.03	0.91	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 225: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 26)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	White	0.03	0.03	0.28	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.01	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.13	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.08	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.28	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00
CeCe McDonald	2011-06-05	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.17	4491	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Age	-0.03	0.03	0.21	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	College	0.01	0.04	0.89	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.69	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.08	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.20	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.47	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	New York	0.02	0.01	0.19	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.02	0.60	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.45	2343	D-Score	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Age	0.09	0.05	0.07	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	College	0.03	0.05	0.45	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.04	0.80	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.62	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Florida	0.00	0.02	0.93	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.81	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	New York	-0.01	0.02	0.61	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.02	0.24	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.02	0.28	2247	Straight Bias	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.26	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.01	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.22	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	White	0.02	0.03	0.45	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.14	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.58	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00



**Table 226: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 27)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.88	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.45	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.02	0.57	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.12	2353	Heterocentrism	15.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.03	0.66	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	College	0.00	0.03	0.92	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.82	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.29	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.46	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	New York	0.02	0.01	0.17	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.40	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.40	2828	D-Score	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Age	0.08	0.04	0.06	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	College	0.04	0.04	0.36	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	White	-0.02	0.04	0.52	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.33	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Florida	0.00	0.02	0.97	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.44	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	New York	-0.00	0.02	0.94	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.02	0.17	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.02	0.17	2713	Straight Bias	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Age	0.02	0.03	0.40	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.14	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	White	-0.00	0.03	0.91	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.14	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.41	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.71	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.96	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.52	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00
Lashai Mclean	2011-07-20	2011.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.06	2837	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 227: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 28)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Age	-0.03	0.03	0.27	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.01	0.00	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Woman	-0.04	0.01	0.00	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	College	0.06	0.11	0.58	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	White	0.00	0.03	0.96	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.19	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Florida	-0.02	0.02	0.17	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.86	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.73	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.02	0.23	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.60	1785	D-Score	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Age	0.04	0.06	0.51	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	College	0.00	0.14	0.99	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	White	-0.03	0.05	0.45	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.02	0.44	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Florida	0.03	0.02	0.16	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.58	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	New York	-0.00	0.02	0.97	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.03	0.49	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.02	0.79	1738	Straight Bias	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Age	-0.03	0.06	0.69	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	College	-0.06	0.09	0.50	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	White	0.00	0.04	0.89	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Religious	0.06	0.01	0.00	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.59	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Florida	-0.00	0.02	0.88	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.56	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	New York	-0.00	0.02	0.89	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.02	0.63	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Illinois	0.02	0.02	0.14	1797	Heterocentrism	15.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.03	0.65	2845	D-Score	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2845	D-Score	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2845	D-Score	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	College	0.01	0.05	0.78	2845	D-Score	20.00

**Table 228: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 29)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	White	-0.00	0.03	0.87	2845	D-Score	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2845	D-Score	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.27	2845	D-Score	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.14	2845	D-Score	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.90	2845	D-Score	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.90	2845	D-Score	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.76	2845	D-Score	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.52	2845	D-Score	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Age	0.01	0.04	0.78	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	College	-0.01	0.07	0.85	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	White	0.00	0.05	0.92	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.19	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.02	0.73	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.22	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	New York	-0.00	0.02	0.78	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.02	0.48	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Illinois	0.00	0.02	0.96	2751	Straight Bias	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Age	-0.03	0.04	0.45	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	College	-0.04	0.05	0.46	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	White	0.01	0.03	0.81	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Religious	0.06	0.01	0.00	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.14	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.50	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.18	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.92	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.58	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Camila Guzman	2011-08-11	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.43	2863	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.27	4988	D-Score	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Liberal	-0.05	0.01	0.00	4988	D-Score	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	4988	D-Score	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.66	4988	D-Score	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.22	4988	D-Score	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	4988	D-Score	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.01	4988	D-Score	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.12	4988	D-Score	15.00

**Table 229: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 30)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.05	4988	D-Score	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.05	4988	D-Score	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.30	4988	D-Score	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.09	4988	D-Score	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Age	0.01	0.04	0.82	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Woman	-0.10	0.01	0.00	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	College	-0.03	0.03	0.42	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	White	-0.00	0.03	0.97	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Religious	0.11	0.01	0.00	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.99	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	California	-0.03	0.01	0.00	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	New York	-0.05	0.01	0.00	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.40	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.57	4852	Straight Bias	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Age	0.00	0.03	0.89	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	College	-0.05	0.03	0.05	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.76	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.49	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.65	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.43	5016	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.23	5306	D-Score	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Liberal	-0.05	0.01	0.00	5306	D-Score	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	5306	D-Score	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.97	5306	D-Score	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.21	5306	D-Score	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	5306	D-Score	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.01	5306	D-Score	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.24	5306	D-Score	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.11	5306	D-Score	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.06	5306	D-Score	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.36	5306	D-Score	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.10	5306	D-Score	20.00

**Table 230: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 31)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Age	0.00	0.04	0.96	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Woman	-0.10	0.01	0.00	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	College	-0.02	0.03	0.46	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	White	0.00	0.03	0.96	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Religious	0.11	0.01	0.00	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.04	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.65	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.01	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	New York	-0.05	0.01	0.00	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.39	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.60	5162	Straight Bias	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.89	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	College	-0.05	0.03	0.06	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.80	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.38	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.68	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cameron Nelson	2011-09-08	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.27	5333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Age				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Liberal				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Woman				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	College				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	White				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Religious				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Non-metro				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Florida				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	California				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	New York				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Illinois				1	D-Score	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Age				1	Straight Bias	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Liberal				1	Straight Bias	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Woman				1	Straight Bias	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	College				1	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 231: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 32)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	White				1	Straight Bias	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Religious				1	Straight Bias	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Non-metro				1	Straight Bias	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Florida				1	Straight Bias	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	California				1	Straight Bias	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	New York				1	Straight Bias	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania				1	Straight Bias	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Illinois				1	Straight Bias	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Age				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Liberal				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Woman				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	College				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	White				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Religious				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Non-metro				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Florida				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	California				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	New York				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Illinois				1	Heterocentrism	15.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Age				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Liberal				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Woman				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	College				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	White				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Religious				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Non-metro				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Florida				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	California				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	New York				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Illinois				1	D-Score	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Age				1	Straight Bias	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Liberal				1	Straight Bias	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Woman				1	Straight Bias	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	College				1	Straight Bias	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	White				1	Straight Bias	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Religious				1	Straight Bias	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Non-metro				1	Straight Bias	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Florida				1	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 232: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 33)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	California				1	Straight Bias	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	New York				1	Straight Bias	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania				1	Straight Bias	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Illinois				1	Straight Bias	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Age				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Liberal				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Woman				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	College				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	White				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Religious				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Non-metro				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Florida				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	California				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	New York				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Pennsylvania				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Shelley Hilliard	2011-10-11	2011.00	Illinois				1	Heterocentrism	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	College	0.02	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	White	0.04	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	New York	0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	College	0.03	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Florida	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 233: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 34)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Age	0.03	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	College	-0.00	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.72	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.37	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.09	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.57	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.02	0.58	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.10	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	New York	0.02	0.01	0.07	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.03	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.60	3734	D-Score	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.05	0.82	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	College	0.04	0.05	0.43	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	White	-0.03	0.03	0.41	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.23	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.03	0.67	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.06	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.02	0.21	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.01	0.02	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Illinois	0.02	0.02	0.25	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Age	0.02			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Woman	-0.03			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	College	0.00			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00



**Table 234: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 35)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	White	-0.03			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Religious	0.05			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Florida	-0.01			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	California	-0.01			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	New York	-0.02			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Danny Vega	2011-11-15	2011.00	Illinois	0.01			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	College	0.02	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	White	0.04	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	New York	0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.00	0.00	3400	D-Score	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	College	0.03	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Florida	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.00	0.00	3285	Straight Bias	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Age	0.03	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	College	-0.00	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 235: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 36)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Illinois	0.01	0.00	0.00	3410	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.72	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.37	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.09	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.57	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.02	0.58	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.10	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	New York	0.02	0.01	0.07	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.03	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.60	3734	D-Score	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Age	-0.01	0.05	0.82	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	College	0.04	0.05	0.43	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	White	-0.03	0.03	0.41	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.23	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Florida	-0.01	0.03	0.67	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.06	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	New York	-0.02	0.02	0.21	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.01	0.02	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Illinois	0.02	0.02	0.25	3608	Straight Bias	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Age	0.02			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Liberal	-0.07			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Woman	-0.03			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	College	0.00			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	White	-0.03			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Religious	0.05			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Non-metro	0.01			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Florida	-0.01			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	California	-0.01			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	New York	-0.02			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cassidy Nathan Vickers	2011-11-17	2011.00	Illinois	0.01			3747	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 236: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 37)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.12	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	College	-0.03	0.02	0.21	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.16	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.93	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.98	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.88	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.44	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.21	5182	D-Score	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.03	0.21	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	College	-0.10	0.04	0.01	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.72	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.51	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.28	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.19	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.02	0.67	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.32	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Age	0.04			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.03			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	College	-0.06			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	White	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Religious	0.05			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Florida	0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	California	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	New York	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Illinois	0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	6402	D-Score	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.49	6402	D-Score	20.00

**Table 237: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 38)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.48	6402	D-Score	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.89	6402	D-Score	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.78	6402	D-Score	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.49	6402	D-Score	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.22	6402	D-Score	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.23	6402	D-Score	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Age	0.06			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.07			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	College	-0.06			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	White	0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Religious	0.09			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Florida	-0.00			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	California	-0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	New York	-0.02			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Age	0.05			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.03			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	College	-0.04			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	White	0.00			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Religious	0.05			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Florida	0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	California	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	New York	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Crain Conaway	2012-01-21	2012.00	Illinois	0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.12	5182	D-Score	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	College	-0.03	0.02	0.21	5182	D-Score	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.16	5182	D-Score	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.93	5182	D-Score	15.00

**Table 238: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 39)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.98	5182	D-Score	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.88	5182	D-Score	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.44	5182	D-Score	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.21	5182	D-Score	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.03	0.21	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	College	-0.10	0.04	0.01	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.72	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.51	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.28	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.19	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.02	0.67	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.32	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Age	0.04			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Woman	-0.03			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	College	-0.06			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	White	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Religious	0.05			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Florida	0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	California	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	New York	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Illinois	0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	6402	D-Score	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.49	6402	D-Score	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.48	6402	D-Score	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.89	6402	D-Score	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.78	6402	D-Score	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.49	6402	D-Score	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.22	6402	D-Score	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.23	6402	D-Score	20.00

**Table 239: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 40)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Age	0.06			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Woman	-0.07			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	College	-0.06			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	White	0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Religious	0.09			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Florida	-0.00			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	California	-0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	New York	-0.02			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Age	0.05			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Woman	-0.03			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	College	-0.04			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	White	0.00			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Religious	0.05			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Florida	0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	California	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	New York	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
JaParker Jones	2012-02-02	2012.00	Illinois	0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.12	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	College	-0.03	0.02	0.21	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.16	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.93	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.98	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.88	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.44	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.21	5182	D-Score	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.03	0.21	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	College	-0.10	0.04	0.01	5042	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 240: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 41)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.72	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.51	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.28	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.19	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.02	0.67	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.32	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Age	0.04			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.03			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	College	-0.06			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	White	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Religious	0.05			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Florida	0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	California	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	New York	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Illinois	0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.49	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.48	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.89	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.78	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.49	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.22	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.23	6402	D-Score	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Age	0.06			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.07			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	College	-0.06			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	White	0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Religious	0.09			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Florida	-0.00			6231	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 241: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 42)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	California	-0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	New York	-0.02			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Age	0.05			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.03			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	College	-0.04			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	White	0.00			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Religious	0.05			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Florida	0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	California	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	New York	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Cody Rogers	2012-02-01	2012.00	Illinois	0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.12	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	College	-0.03	0.02	0.21	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.16	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.93	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.98	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.88	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.44	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.21	5182	D-Score	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.03	0.21	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	College	-0.10	0.04	0.01	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.72	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.51	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.28	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.19	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.02	0.67	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.32	5042	Straight Bias	15.00



**Table 242: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 43)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Age	0.04			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Woman	-0.03			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	College	-0.06			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	White	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Religious	0.05			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Florida	0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	California	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	New York	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Illinois	0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.49	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.48	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.89	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.78	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.49	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.22	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.23	6402	D-Score	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Age	0.06			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Woman	-0.07			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	College	-0.06			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	White	0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Religious	0.09			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Florida	-0.00			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	California	-0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	New York	-0.02			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Age	0.05			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Woman	-0.03			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	College	-0.04			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 243: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 44)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	White	0.00			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Religious	0.05			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Florida	0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	California	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	New York	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tyrell Jackson	2012-03-24	2012.00	Illinois	0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.12	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	College	-0.03	0.02	0.21	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.16	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.93	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.98	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.88	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.44	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.21	5182	D-Score	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.03	0.21	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	College	-0.10	0.04	0.01	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.72	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.51	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.28	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.19	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.02	0.67	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.32	5042	Straight Bias	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Age	0.04			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.03			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	College	-0.06			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	White	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Religious	0.05			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Florida	0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 244: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 45)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	California	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	New York	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Illinois	0.01			5216	Heterocentrism	15.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.49	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.48	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.89	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.78	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.49	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.22	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.23	6402	D-Score	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Age	0.06			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.07			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	College	-0.06			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	White	0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Religious	0.09			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Florida	-0.00			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	California	-0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	New York	-0.02			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02			6231	Straight Bias	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Age	0.05			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.03			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	College	-0.04			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	White	0.00			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Religious	0.05			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Florida	0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	California	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	New York	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00
Coko Williams	2012-04-03	2012.00	Illinois	0.01			6444	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 245: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 46)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.46	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.47	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.86	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.66	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.50	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.35	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.30	6707	D-Score	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Age	0.05	0.02	0.05	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	College	-0.06	0.04	0.11	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.57	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.89	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.29	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.10	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.84	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.08	6526	Straight Bias	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.03	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.27	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.69	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.55	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.17	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.11	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.57	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.39	6752	Heterocentrism	15.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.09	7696	D-Score	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	7696	D-Score	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7696	D-Score	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.79	7696	D-Score	20.00

**Table 246: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 47)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.77	7696	D-Score	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7696	D-Score	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	7696	D-Score	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.82	7696	D-Score	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.90	7696	D-Score	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.49	7696	D-Score	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.22	7696	D-Score	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.49	7696	D-Score	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.06	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.76	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.89	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.79	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.52	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.08	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.98	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.13	7478	Straight Bias	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Age	0.05	0.02	0.01	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	College	-0.00	0.03	0.91	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.88	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.77	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.05	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.59	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Paige Clay	2012-04-16	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.25	7738	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.09	7696	D-Score	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	7696	D-Score	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7696	D-Score	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.76	7696	D-Score	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.77	7696	D-Score	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7696	D-Score	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	7696	D-Score	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.81	7696	D-Score	15.00

**Table 247: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 48)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.90	7696	D-Score	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.49	7696	D-Score	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.21	7696	D-Score	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.56	7696	D-Score	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.06	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.78	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.90	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.79	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.51	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.08	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	1.00	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.11	7478	Straight Bias	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Age	0.05	0.02	0.01	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	College	-0.00	0.03	0.93	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.88	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.78	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.04	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.57	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.29	7738	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.15	8807	D-Score	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	8807	D-Score	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8807	D-Score	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.91	8807	D-Score	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.96	8807	D-Score	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	8807	D-Score	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	8807	D-Score	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.52	8807	D-Score	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.84	8807	D-Score	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.90	8807	D-Score	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.15	8807	D-Score	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.88	8807	D-Score	20.00

**Table 248: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 49)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.08	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.03	0.56	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.89	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.59	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.36	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.07	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.73	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.02	8553	Straight Bias	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Age	0.05	0.02	0.01	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	College	0.00	0.03	0.92	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.71	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.79	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.05	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.06	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.74	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eric Unger	2012-04-21	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.40	8855	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.46	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.49	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.88	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.75	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.78	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.71	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.04	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.53	8022	D-Score	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.09	7787	Straight Bias	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	7787	Straight Bias	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7787	Straight Bias	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	College	0.02	0.03	0.62	7787	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 249: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 50)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.95	7787	Straight Bias	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	7787	Straight Bias	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	7787	Straight Bias	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.57	7787	Straight Bias	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.53	7787	Straight Bias	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	7787	Straight Bias	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.88	7787	Straight Bias	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.01	7787	Straight Bias	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Age	0.05	0.02	0.02	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.09	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	White	0.02	0.01	0.19	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.82	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.12	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.51	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.63	8055	Heterocentrism	15.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.28	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.84	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.94	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.83	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.86	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.57	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.06	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.77	10023	D-Score	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.05	9730	Straight Bias	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	9730	Straight Bias	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	9730	Straight Bias	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.74	9730	Straight Bias	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.87	9730	Straight Bias	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	9730	Straight Bias	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	9730	Straight Bias	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.27	9730	Straight Bias	20.00



**Table 250: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 51)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.47	9730	Straight Bias	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	9730	Straight Bias	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.88	9730	Straight Bias	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.01	9730	Straight Bias	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Age	0.05	0.02	0.01	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.98	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.58	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.73	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.06	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.60	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Max Pelofske	2012-05-01	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.92	10074	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Age	0.07	0.02	0.00	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	College	0.00	0.03	0.96	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.86	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.78	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.20	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.87	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.21	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.01	0.03	3706	D-Score	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Age	0.03	0.04	0.44	3586	Straight Bias	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	3586	Straight Bias	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	3586	Straight Bias	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	College	-0.04	0.05	0.39	3586	Straight Bias	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	White	-0.06	0.03	0.06	3586	Straight Bias	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	3586	Straight Bias	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.13	3586	Straight Bias	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.71	3586	Straight Bias	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.27	3586	Straight Bias	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.11	3586	Straight Bias	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.02	0.40	3586	Straight Bias	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.02	0.83	3586	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 251: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 52)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Age	0.03	0.03	0.43	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.68	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.02	0.05	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.32	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.24	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.14	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.01	0.01	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.43	3724	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Age	0.04	0.02	0.09	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.44	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.51	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.38	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.24	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.66	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.11	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.01	0.00	4894	D-Score	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Age	0.01	0.03	0.82	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.04	0.66	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	White	-0.06	0.03	0.03	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.06	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.28	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.31	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.17	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.59	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.92	4735	Straight Bias	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Age	0.01	0.03	0.58	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.64	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 252: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 53)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.02	0.02	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.20	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.26	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.33	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.02	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kardin Ulysse	2012-06-05	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.79	4922	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Age	0.01	0.03	0.66	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Woman	-0.01	0.01	0.01	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	College	0.07	0.04	0.09	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.02	0.05	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.36	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.93	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.84	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.31	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.02	0.17	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.01	0.01	3158	D-Score	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Age	0.00	0.04	0.96	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	College	0.03	0.05	0.52	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	White	-0.11	0.04	0.01	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.34	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.74	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	California	0.03	0.01	0.01	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.02	0.59	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.02	0.21	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.02	0.16	3053	Straight Bias	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Age	0.02	0.03	0.60	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Woman	-0.01	0.01	0.07	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	College	-0.07	0.04	0.05	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	White	-0.10	0.03	0.00	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.09	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.07	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 253: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 54)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.71	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.60	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.01	0.04	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.01	0.03	3167	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.41	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	College	0.03	0.03	0.35	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.02	0.02	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.19	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.71	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.05	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.34	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.42	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Illinois	-0.04	0.01	0.00	4520	D-Score	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Age	0.01	0.04	0.81	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	College	-0.05	0.04	0.21	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	White	-0.08	0.04	0.02	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.05	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.48	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.25	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.02	0.25	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.02	0.06	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.02	0.04	4392	Straight Bias	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Age	0.02	0.03	0.52	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.01	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	College	-0.03	0.03	0.33	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	White	-0.08	0.03	0.00	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.03	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.06	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.96	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.01	0.01	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mollie Olgin	2012-06-23	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.04	4544	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 254: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 55)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Age	-0.02	0.03	0.55	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	College	0.04	0.04	0.27	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	White	-0.07	0.02	0.00	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.75	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.86	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.20	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.37	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.02	0.71	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2905	D-Score	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Age	0.02	0.06	0.65	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	College	-0.03	0.06	0.54	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.05	0.84	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.29	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Florida	-0.03	0.01	0.06	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.59	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.02	0.48	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.05	0.02	0.04	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.02	0.24	2843	Straight Bias	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Age	-0.00	0.03	0.99	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	College	-0.03	0.04	0.52	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	White	-0.05	0.04	0.22	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.05	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.26	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.44	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.60	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.04	0.02	0.02	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.40	2927	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Age	0.00	0.03	0.92	4006	D-Score	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4006	D-Score	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	4006	D-Score	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	College	0.03	0.03	0.41	4006	D-Score	20.00

**Table 255: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 56)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.02	0.11	4006	D-Score	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	4006	D-Score	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.51	4006	D-Score	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.94	4006	D-Score	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.16	4006	D-Score	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.43	4006	D-Score	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.52	4006	D-Score	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.01	0.01	4006	D-Score	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Age	-0.01	0.05	0.87	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	College	-0.06	0.06	0.31	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	White	-0.06	0.04	0.13	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.20	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.63	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.64	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.02	0.92	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.02	0.10	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.53	3919	Straight Bias	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.45	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	College	-0.06	0.05	0.21	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	White	-0.05	0.03	0.10	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.78	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.24	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.36	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.01	0.03	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tracy Johnson	2012-07-05	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.73	4034	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Age	-0.02	0.04	0.61	2562	D-Score	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2562	D-Score	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2562	D-Score	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	College	0.02	0.03	0.55	2562	D-Score	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.02	0.06	2562	D-Score	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2562	D-Score	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.09	2562	D-Score	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.51	2562	D-Score	15.00

**Table 256: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 57)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.89	2562	D-Score	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.94	2562	D-Score	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.65	2562	D-Score	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.90	2562	D-Score	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Age	-0.04	0.04	0.34	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	College	-0.08	0.06	0.18	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	White	-0.05	0.04	0.14	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.62	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.02	0.53	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.91	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.02	0.60	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.17	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.02	0.24	2500	Straight Bias	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.36	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.59	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.02	0.07	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.38	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.33	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.69	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.92	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.35	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.67	2576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Age	-0.04	0.03	0.14	3785	D-Score	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3785	D-Score	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3785	D-Score	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	College	0.02	0.03	0.46	3785	D-Score	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.22	3785	D-Score	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3785	D-Score	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.82	3785	D-Score	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.53	3785	D-Score	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.72	3785	D-Score	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.87	3785	D-Score	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.79	3785	D-Score	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.82	3785	D-Score	20.00

**Table 257: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 58)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Age	-0.04	0.04	0.24	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	College	-0.06	0.05	0.17	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	White	-0.05	0.03	0.11	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.87	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.50	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.95	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.02	0.88	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.62	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.32	3693	Straight Bias	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.09	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.58	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.02	0.08	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.26	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.30	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.58	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.34	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.37	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tiffany Gooden	2012-08-14	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.91	3808	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Age	-0.01	0.03	0.65	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	College	0.05	0.03	0.09	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.02	0.03	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.59	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.48	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.75	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.96	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.96	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.84	2886	D-Score	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Age	-0.01	0.04	0.76	2816	Straight Bias	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	2816	Straight Bias	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2816	Straight Bias	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	College	-0.03	0.05	0.49	2816	Straight Bias	15.00



**Table 258: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 59)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	White	-0.03	0.04	0.40	2816	Straight Bias	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	2816	Straight Bias	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.94	2816	Straight Bias	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.02	0.89	2816	Straight Bias	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.78	2816	Straight Bias	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.02	0.30	2816	Straight Bias	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.22	2816	Straight Bias	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.36	2816	Straight Bias	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.23	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.76	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.03	0.12	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Religious	0.06	0.01	0.00	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.67	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.39	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.62	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.46	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.40	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.79	2904	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Age	-0.02	0.03	0.55	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	College	0.05	0.02	0.05	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.02	0.03	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.78	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.81	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.68	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.75	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.58	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.97	4568	D-Score	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Age	-0.05	0.03	0.10	4451	Straight Bias	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	4451	Straight Bias	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	4451	Straight Bias	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.04	0.54	4451	Straight Bias	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.03	0.11	4451	Straight Bias	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	4451	Straight Bias	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.48	4451	Straight Bias	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.68	4451	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 259: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 60)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.71	4451	Straight Bias	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.58	4451	Straight Bias	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.76	4451	Straight Bias	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.74	4451	Straight Bias	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.36	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.77	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	White	-0.04	0.02	0.06	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.23	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.30	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.88	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.88	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.59	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kendall Hampton	2012-08-18	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.81	4595	Heterocentrism	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.62	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.12	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.67	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.01	0.66	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.81	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.59	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.85	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.08	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.80	4577	D-Score	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Age	-0.07	0.04	0.06	4449	Straight Bias	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	4449	Straight Bias	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	4449	Straight Bias	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.73	4449	Straight Bias	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	White	0.00	0.03	0.94	4449	Straight Bias	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	4449	Straight Bias	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.54	4449	Straight Bias	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.65	4449	Straight Bias	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.45	4449	Straight Bias	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.60	4449	Straight Bias	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.66	4449	Straight Bias	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.55	4449	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 260: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 61)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.59	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.37	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.72	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.52	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.32	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.94	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.27	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.52	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.65	4602	Heterocentrism	15.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Age	-0.00	0.02	0.98	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.27	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.72	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.01	0.69	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.93	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.60	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.47	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.26	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.80	6410	D-Score	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Age	-0.04	0.03	0.22	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	College	-0.03	0.03	0.22	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.60	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.86	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.53	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.46	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.54	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.14	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.71	6245	Straight Bias	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Age	-0.04	0.02	0.10	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.16	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 261: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 62)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.50	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.71	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.57	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.59	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.81	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.35	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00
Deja Jones	2012-08-26	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.98	6455	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.86	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	College	0.03	0.02	0.27	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.39	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.51	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.76	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.52	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.47	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.68	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.83	6415	D-Score	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Age	-0.03	0.03	0.27	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.65	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	White	0.01	0.03	0.70	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.66	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.77	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.69	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.09	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.06	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.39	6249	Straight Bias	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Age	-0.04	0.02	0.10	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.42	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.48	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.77	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.63	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 262: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 63)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.71	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.15	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.64	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.75	6458	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.60	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.40	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.72	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.57	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.81	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.11	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.31	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.53	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.93	8083	D-Score	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Age	-0.02	0.03	0.59	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.13	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.51	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.37	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.64	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.37	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.26	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.12	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.31	7868	Straight Bias	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.42	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	College	-0.03	0.02	0.09	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.37	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.60	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.52	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.12	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.05	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.25	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kyra Cordova	2012-09-03	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.71	8134	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 263: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 64)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.87	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.86	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.16	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.81	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.00	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.68	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.30	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.01	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.87	8144	D-Score	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Age	-0.02	0.03	0.53	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.56	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	White	-0.02	0.03	0.37	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.15	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.04	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.88	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.04	7920	Straight Bias	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.23	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.62	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.85	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.85	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.05	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.14	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.00	8206	Heterocentrism	15.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.75	10744	D-Score	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	10744	D-Score	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	10744	D-Score	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.79	10744	D-Score	20.00

**Table 264: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 65)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.09	10744	D-Score	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	10744	D-Score	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.80	10744	D-Score	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.00	10744	D-Score	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.96	10744	D-Score	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.61	10744	D-Score	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.01	10744	D-Score	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.26	10744	D-Score	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.57	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.39	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.51	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.12	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.01	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.88	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.21	10423	Straight Bias	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.63	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.22	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.95	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.65	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	California	-0.02	0.00	0.00	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.02	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.23	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Janette Tovar	2012-10-15	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.16	10816	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.99	7289	D-Score	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7289	D-Score	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7289	D-Score	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.03	7289	D-Score	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.55	7289	D-Score	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7289	D-Score	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.20	7289	D-Score	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.69	7289	D-Score	15.00

**Table 265: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 66)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.13	7289	D-Score	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.95	7289	D-Score	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.81	7289	D-Score	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.04	7289	D-Score	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Age	0.02	0.04	0.54	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.75	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	White	0.05	0.03	0.04	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.27	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.44	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.02	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.06	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.30	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.32	7102	Straight Bias	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.27	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.28	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	White	0.05	0.02	0.00	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.01	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.12	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.04	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.71	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.46	7320	Heterocentrism	15.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.75	10094	D-Score	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	10094	D-Score	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	10094	D-Score	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	College	0.03	0.01	0.03	10094	D-Score	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.25	10094	D-Score	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	10094	D-Score	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.25	10094	D-Score	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.97	10094	D-Score	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.13	10094	D-Score	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.60	10094	D-Score	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.47	10094	D-Score	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.07	10094	D-Score	20.00



**Table 266: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 67)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Age	-0.00	0.03	1.00	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.78	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	White	0.04	0.02	0.02	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.38	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.49	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.04	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.60	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.81	9826	Straight Bias	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.34	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.63	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	White	0.04	0.01	0.01	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.09	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.03	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.75	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Austin Head	2012-11-10	2012.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.32	10131	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Age	0.02	0.02	0.35	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.24	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.48	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.01	0.89	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Florida	0.03	0.01	0.00	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.16	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.74	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.80	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.10	7079	D-Score	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Age	-0.00	0.04	0.96	6929	Straight Bias	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	6929	Straight Bias	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	6929	Straight Bias	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.27	6929	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 267: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 68)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.77	6929	Straight Bias	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	6929	Straight Bias	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.01	0.53	6929	Straight Bias	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.11	6929	Straight Bias	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.22	6929	Straight Bias	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	6929	Straight Bias	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	1.00	6929	Straight Bias	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.20	6929	Straight Bias	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Age	0.04	0.03	0.15	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.80	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.88	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.12	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.07	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.11	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.45	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.51	7135	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.62	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.34	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	White	-0.03	0.01	0.07	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.38	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.00	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.11	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.47	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.56	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.04	9603	D-Score	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Age	0.00	0.03	0.91	9386	Straight Bias	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	9386	Straight Bias	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	9386	Straight Bias	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.18	9386	Straight Bias	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.85	9386	Straight Bias	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	9386	Straight Bias	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.01	0.99	9386	Straight Bias	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.47	9386	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 268: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 69)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.07	9386	Straight Bias	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	9386	Straight Bias	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.79	9386	Straight Bias	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.11	9386	Straight Bias	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.12	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.79	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.66	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.19	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.06	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.07	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.15	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sondra Scarber	2013-03-01	2013.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.47	9678	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.80	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.83	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.36	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.22	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.09	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.55	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.02	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.41	3859	D-Score	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Age	0.01	0.05	0.89	3727	Straight Bias	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	3727	Straight Bias	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3727	Straight Bias	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	College	-0.07	0.04	0.10	3727	Straight Bias	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.81	3727	Straight Bias	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	3727	Straight Bias	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.16	3727	Straight Bias	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Florida	-0.02	0.02	0.36	3727	Straight Bias	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.86	3727	Straight Bias	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.10	3727	Straight Bias	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.58	3727	Straight Bias	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.02	0.22	3727	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 269: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 70)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Age	0.01	0.04	0.82	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.59	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.29	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.47	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.97	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.48	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.99	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.74	3854	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.82	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.61	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.19	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.06	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.67	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.72	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.03	0.01	0.00	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.55	5180	D-Score	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Age	0.05	0.04	0.16	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	College	-0.06	0.03	0.10	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.80	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.04	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.47	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.92	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.27	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.76	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.02	0.17	5014	Straight Bias	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Age	0.01	0.03	0.69	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.33	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 270: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 71)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.42	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.49	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.22	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.79	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.76	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mark Carson	2013-05-17	2013.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.50	5182	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Age	-0.02	0.03	0.45	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.24	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.37	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.07	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.08	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.18	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.52	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.02	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.15	3646	D-Score	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Age	0.01	0.05	0.80	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	College	-0.06	0.04	0.10	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.63	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Religious	0.09	0.01	0.00	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.16	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Florida	-0.01	0.02	0.61	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.91	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.23	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.86	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.02	0.20	3528	Straight Bias	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Age	0.02	0.04	0.59	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.22	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	White	0.02	0.03	0.36	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.86	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 271: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 72)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.76	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.27	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.57	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.75	3644	Heterocentrism	15.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.58	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.90	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.18	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.07	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.12	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.66	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.02	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.62	4773	D-Score	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Age	-0.01	0.04	0.81	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	College	-0.06	0.04	0.11	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	White	0.01	0.03	0.76	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.05	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.35	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.68	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.14	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.92	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.55	4619	Straight Bias	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Age	-0.01	0.03	0.84	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.63	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.14	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.49	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.49	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.46	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.61	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00
Gabriel Fernandez	2013-05-22	2013.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.80	4772	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 272: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 73)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Age	-0.05	0.02	0.02	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.01	0.00	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	College	-0.02	0.04	0.56	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.48	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.12	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.09	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.23	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.87	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.10	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.50	3059	D-Score	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Age	-0.01	0.05	0.85	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.01	0.00	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	College	-0.04	0.06	0.43	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	White	0.03	0.04	0.46	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.02	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Florida	0.00	0.02	0.90	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.89	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.02	0.48	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.02	0.83	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.02	0.74	2977	Straight Bias	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Age	0.01	0.04	0.85	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	College	-0.02	0.05	0.66	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	White	0.04	0.03	0.21	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Religious	0.06	0.01	0.00	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.04	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.94	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.90	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.36	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.85	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.81	3068	Heterocentrism	15.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Age	-0.05	0.02	0.04	4418	D-Score	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4418	D-Score	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	4418	D-Score	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.66	4418	D-Score	20.00

**Table 273: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 74)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	White	-0.02	0.02	0.40	4418	D-Score	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	4418	D-Score	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	4418	D-Score	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.38	4418	D-Score	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.08	4418	D-Score	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.94	4418	D-Score	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.55	4418	D-Score	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.32	4418	D-Score	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Age	-0.02	0.04	0.69	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	College	-0.05	0.04	0.23	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	White	0.00	0.03	0.94	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.01	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.96	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.50	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.38	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.58	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.02	0.84	4293	Straight Bias	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Age	-0.00	0.03	0.90	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	College	-0.04	0.03	0.15	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.49	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.93	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.72	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.42	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.31	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Matthew Fenner	2013-06-02	2013.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.99	4427	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.41	7030	D-Score	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7030	D-Score	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7030	D-Score	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.98	7030	D-Score	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.76	7030	D-Score	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7030	D-Score	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.05	7030	D-Score	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.00	7030	D-Score	15.00



**Table 274: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 75)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.30	7030	D-Score	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.21	7030	D-Score	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.13	7030	D-Score	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.96	7030	D-Score	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Age	-0.07	0.03	0.01	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.81	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.74	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.29	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.05	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.89	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.22	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.55	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.99	6820	Straight Bias	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.12	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.72	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.56	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.01	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.31	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.35	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.24	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.23	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.39	7048	Heterocentrism	15.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Age	-0.00	0.01	0.86	9305	D-Score	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	9305	D-Score	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	9305	D-Score	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.81	9305	D-Score	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.41	9305	D-Score	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	9305	D-Score	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	9305	D-Score	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.00	9305	D-Score	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.29	9305	D-Score	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.41	9305	D-Score	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.11	9305	D-Score	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.94	9305	D-Score	20.00

**Table 275: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 76)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Age	-0.04	0.02	0.07	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.56	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.91	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.06	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.64	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.58	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.99	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.52	9038	Straight Bias	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Age	-0.02	0.01	0.22	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.46	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.87	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.15	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.08	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.08	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.48	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
Sasha Fleischman	2013-11-04	2013.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.21	9328	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.11	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.08	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.66	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.96	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.90	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.88	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.10	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.17	5930	D-Score	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Age	-0.06	0.03	0.01	5787	Straight Bias	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	5787	Straight Bias	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	5787	Straight Bias	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	College	-0.02	0.03	0.48	5787	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 276: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 77)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	White	0.04	0.02	0.04	5787	Straight Bias	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	5787	Straight Bias	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.35	5787	Straight Bias	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.08	5787	Straight Bias	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.06	5787	Straight Bias	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.75	5787	Straight Bias	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.16	5787	Straight Bias	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.40	5787	Straight Bias	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.26	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.56	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.76	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.02	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.32	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.01	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.33	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.73	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.44	5969	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.43	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.07	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.60	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.95	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.90	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.82	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.30	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.06	8053	D-Score	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Age	-0.04	0.02	0.07	7863	Straight Bias	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	7863	Straight Bias	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7863	Straight Bias	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	College	-0.00	0.03	0.93	7863	Straight Bias	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	White	0.04	0.02	0.03	7863	Straight Bias	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	7863	Straight Bias	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.53	7863	Straight Bias	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.06	7863	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 277: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 78)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.27	7863	Straight Bias	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.96	7863	Straight Bias	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.15	7863	Straight Bias	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.55	7863	Straight Bias	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.54	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.99	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.61	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.31	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.05	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.33	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.39	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Masterson	2014-03-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.51	8097	Heterocentrism	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.24	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.06	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.93	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.01	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.64	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.79	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.91	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.43	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.07	5896	D-Score	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Age	-0.06	0.03	0.03	5755	Straight Bias	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	5755	Straight Bias	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Woman	-0.08	0.01	0.00	5755	Straight Bias	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	College	-0.00	0.04	0.95	5755	Straight Bias	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.15	5755	Straight Bias	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	5755	Straight Bias	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.38	5755	Straight Bias	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.14	5755	Straight Bias	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.18	5755	Straight Bias	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.75	5755	Straight Bias	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.16	5755	Straight Bias	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.16	5755	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 278: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 79)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.32	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.96	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.63	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.57	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.01	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.78	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.43	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.27	5934	Heterocentrism	15.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.12	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.06	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.90	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.60	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.68	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.68	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.70	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.15	7598	D-Score	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Age	-0.05	0.02	0.05	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.79	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	White	0.04	0.02	0.04	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.13	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.06	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.07	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.56	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.18	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.08	7422	Straight Bias	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.45	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.74	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 279: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 80)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	White	0.02	0.01	0.19	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.34	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.02	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.45	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.37	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00
Britney Cosby	2014-03-06	2014.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.23	7648	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Age	0.01	0.02	0.81	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.01	0.00	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.45	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	White	-0.03	0.02	0.24	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.08	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.04	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.28	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.31	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.22	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.07	2871	D-Score	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Age	-0.05	0.05	0.28	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	College	-0.03	0.05	0.59	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	White	-0.03	0.04	0.48	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.65	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.63	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	California	0.02	0.01	0.18	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	New York	-0.04	0.01	0.00	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.02	0.75	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.05	0.02	0.02	2800	Straight Bias	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Age	-0.00	0.03	0.90	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.80	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	White	-0.01	0.03	0.71	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.69	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.07	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 280: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 81)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.25	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.78	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.12	2898	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Age	0.03	0.02	0.16	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.87	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.72	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.16	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.12	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.34	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.83	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.59	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.07	4169	D-Score	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Age	0.00	0.04	0.94	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	College	-0.02	0.04	0.59	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	White	-0.02	0.03	0.41	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.44	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.78	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	California	0.02	0.01	0.07	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	New York	-0.04	0.01	0.00	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.02	0.97	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.02	0.05	4072	Straight Bias	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.99	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.75	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	White	-0.01	0.02	0.76	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.50	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.27	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.27	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.06	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.67	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ahmed Said	2014-06-01	2014.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.03	4207	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 281: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 82)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Age	-0.02	0.01	0.11	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Liberal	-0.05	0.00	0.00	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	College	0.03	0.02	0.11	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.96	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.36	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.41	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.26	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.05	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.87	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.03	10112	D-Score	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Age	-0.02	0.01	0.12	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.47	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.85	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.08	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.81	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.24	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.54	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.92	9978	Straight Bias	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Age	0.01	0.01	0.29	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.24	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.35	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.03	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.45	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.15	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.40	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.26	10279	Heterocentrism	15.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Age	-0.02	0.01	0.05	13196	D-Score	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	13196	D-Score	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	13196	D-Score	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.30	13196	D-Score	20.00



**Table 282: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 83)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.64	13196	D-Score	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	13196	D-Score	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.46	13196	D-Score	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.54	13196	D-Score	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.22	13196	D-Score	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.21	13196	D-Score	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.28	13196	D-Score	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.02	13196	D-Score	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Age	-0.02	0.01	0.14	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.67	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.75	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	1.00	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.08	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.35	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.72	12989	Straight Bias	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Age	0.01	0.01	0.37	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.45	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.20	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.73	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.02	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	New York	-0.02	0.00	0.00	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.30	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Taja DeJesus	2015-02-01	2015.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.00	0.19	13389	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.10	6047	D-Score	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	6047	D-Score	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6047	D-Score	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	College	0.03	0.02	0.09	6047	D-Score	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.54	6047	D-Score	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6047	D-Score	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.19	6047	D-Score	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.73	6047	D-Score	15.00

**Table 283: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 84)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.21	6047	D-Score	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.67	6047	D-Score	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.83	6047	D-Score	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.95	6047	D-Score	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Age	-0.02	0.03	0.39	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Woman	-0.05	0.01	0.00	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	College	0.06	0.02	0.02	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.82	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.24	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Florida	-0.01	0.02	0.51	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.45	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.48	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.91	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.52	5906	Straight Bias	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Age	0.00	0.02	0.85	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	College	0.03	0.02	0.10	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.65	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.07	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.28	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.59	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.29	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.83	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.48	6080	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.18	7748	D-Score	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	7748	D-Score	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7748	D-Score	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.03	7748	D-Score	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.54	7748	D-Score	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7748	D-Score	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.18	7748	D-Score	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.88	7748	D-Score	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.28	7748	D-Score	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.52	7748	D-Score	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.25	7748	D-Score	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.88	7748	D-Score	20.00

**Table 284: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 85)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Age	-0.03	0.02	0.28	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	College	0.05	0.02	0.01	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.72	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.17	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.70	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.49	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.15	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.43	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.40	7568	Straight Bias	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Age	-0.01	0.01	0.66	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	College	0.03	0.01	0.05	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.55	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.73	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.97	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.04	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.52	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jonathan Snipes	2015-05-05	2015.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.59	7788	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.30	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.01	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	White	0.02	0.01	0.11	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.19	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.00	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	New York	0.01	0.00	0.02	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.08	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.03	12742	D-Score	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Age	-0.01	0.02	0.59	12913	Straight Bias	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	12913	Straight Bias	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	12913	Straight Bias	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.09	12913	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 285: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 86)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	White	0.00	0.02	0.91	12913	Straight Bias	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	12913	Straight Bias	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.02	12913	Straight Bias	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.57	12913	Straight Bias	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.40	12913	Straight Bias	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.91	12913	Straight Bias	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.48	12913	Straight Bias	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.52	12913	Straight Bias	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Age	0.00	0.01	0.87	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.95	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.96	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.04	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.90	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.13	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.62	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.02	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.22	13257	Heterocentrism	15.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Age	-0.02	0.01	0.12	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.02	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	White	0.02	0.01	0.01	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Florida	0.01	0.00	0.06	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.00	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	New York	0.01	0.00	0.03	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.00	0.11	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Illinois	0.01	0.00	0.07	18828	D-Score	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Age	-0.00	0.02	0.92	18997	Straight Bias	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	18997	Straight Bias	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	18997	Straight Bias	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	College	0.03	0.01	0.01	18997	Straight Bias	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.96	18997	Straight Bias	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	18997	Straight Bias	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.01	18997	Straight Bias	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.40	18997	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 286: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 87)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.32	18997	Straight Bias	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.16	18997	Straight Bias	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.95	18997	Straight Bias	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.27	18997	Straight Bias	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Age	0.00	0.01	0.64	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.40	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.66	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Florida	-0.00	0.00	0.31	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.43	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.05	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.00	0.04	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
John Mateer	2015-10-04	2015.00	Illinois	0.01	0.00	0.18	19494	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Age	-0.02	0.03	0.60	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	College	0.05	0.02	0.02	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	White	0.02	0.02	0.48	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.07	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.52	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.31	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.86	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.34	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.54	3667	D-Score	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Age	-0.01	0.04	0.86	3577	Straight Bias	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	3577	Straight Bias	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Woman	-0.05	0.01	0.00	3577	Straight Bias	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	College	0.05	0.03	0.18	3577	Straight Bias	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	White	0.01	0.03	0.76	3577	Straight Bias	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	3577	Straight Bias	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3577	Straight Bias	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.87	3577	Straight Bias	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.46	3577	Straight Bias	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	3577	Straight Bias	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.02	0.53	3577	Straight Bias	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.42	3577	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 287: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 88)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Age	-0.02	0.02	0.25	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	College	0.05	0.02	0.01	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.15	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.10	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.98	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.09	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.22	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.77	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.17	3695	Heterocentrism	15.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Age	-0.04	0.02	0.13	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Liberal	-0.06	0.00	0.00	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	College	0.06	0.02	0.00	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.61	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.06	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.58	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.16	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.86	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.23	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.23	5117	D-Score	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Age	-0.03	0.03	0.34	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Woman	-0.05	0.01	0.00	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	College	0.06	0.03	0.05	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	White	0.02	0.03	0.55	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.60	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.33	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.08	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.75	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.46	5009	Straight Bias	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Age	-0.03	0.01	0.05	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	College	0.05	0.02	0.01	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 288: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 89)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	White	0.03	0.02	0.14	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.96	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.25	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.23	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.89	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00
Mercedes Williamson	2015-05-30	2015.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.02	5162	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	College	-0.01	0.00	0.01	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.05	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.01	0.77	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.27	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.07	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.47	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.47	7859	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.03	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	College	0.00	0.00	0.98	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.07	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.01	0.89	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.21	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.11	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.45	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.26	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.90	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	College	-0.00	0.00	0.17	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.05	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.18	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.37	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 289: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 90)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	California	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.91	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.64	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.49	7906	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	College	-0.01	0.00	0.00	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.80	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.82	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.06	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.01	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.50	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.14	10152	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	College	-0.00	0.00	0.96	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.01	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.01	0.88	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.29	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.12	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.55	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.19	9952	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.62	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	College	-0.00	0.00	0.14	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.03	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.03	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.32	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	California	-0.02	0.00	0.00	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.90	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.53	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Gooden	2016-02-15	2016.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.98	10206	Heterocentrism	20.00



**Table 290: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 91)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	College	-0.01	0.00	0.01	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.17	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.19	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.01	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.80	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.88	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.93	6466	D-Score	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.12	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.05	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.07	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Florida	0.00	0.02	0.86	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.11	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.45	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.83	6344	Straight Bias	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	College	-0.01	0.00	0.01	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.25	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	California	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.38	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.98	6489	Heterocentrism	15.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8549	D-Score	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	8549	D-Score	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8549	D-Score	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	College	-0.01	0.00	0.01	8549	D-Score	20.00

**Table 291: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 92)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.00	8549	D-Score	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	8549	D-Score	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.06	8549	D-Score	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.13	8549	D-Score	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	8549	D-Score	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.28	8549	D-Score	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.92	8549	D-Score	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.91	8549	D-Score	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	College	-0.01	0.00	0.10	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.02	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.99	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.00	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.06	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.31	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.94	8406	Straight Bias	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	College	-0.01	0.00	0.01	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.89	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	California	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.66	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Steven Nelson	2016-04-29	2016.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.82	8599	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	2935	D-Score	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	2935	D-Score	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	2935	D-Score	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.73	2935	D-Score	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.01	2935	D-Score	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	2935	D-Score	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	2935	D-Score	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Florida	-0.02	0.02	0.19	2935	D-Score	15.00

**Table 292: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 93)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.12	2935	D-Score	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.04	2935	D-Score	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.02	0.63	2935	D-Score	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.28	2935	D-Score	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.01	0.00	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Woman	-0.01	0.01	0.15	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.04	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	White	-0.03	0.01	0.00	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.07	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Florida	0.00	0.02	0.91	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.46	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	New York	-0.05	0.01	0.00	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.02	0.97	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.88	3074	Straight Bias	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Woman	0.01	0.00	0.05	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.44	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.08	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.78	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.40	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.05	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.49	3094	Heterocentrism	15.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	3938	D-Score	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.01	0.00	3938	D-Score	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3938	D-Score	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.53	3938	D-Score	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3938	D-Score	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Religious	0.04	0.01	0.00	3938	D-Score	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.03	3938	D-Score	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.54	3938	D-Score	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.06	3938	D-Score	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	3938	D-Score	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.83	3938	D-Score	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.63	3938	D-Score	20.00

**Table 293: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 94)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.01	0.00	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Woman	-0.02	0.01	0.00	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.02	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	White	-0.03	0.01	0.00	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Religious	0.05	0.01	0.00	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.10	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.87	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.14	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	New York	-0.05	0.01	0.00	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.79	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.48	4472	Straight Bias	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Woman	0.00	0.00	0.81	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	College	0.00	0.00	0.34	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	White	-0.02	0.00	0.00	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.83	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.16	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.58	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Levi Frerichs	2016-07-29	2016.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.41	4517	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.21	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.46	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.26	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.75	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.13	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.88	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.59	10396	D-Score	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10431	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	10431	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	10431	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	College	-0.04	0.02	0.01	10431	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 294: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 95)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.63	10431	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	10431	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	10431	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.03	10431	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.49	10431	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	10431	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.35	10431	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.76	10431	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	College	-0.03	0.01	0.01	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.40	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.01	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.18	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.00	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.40	10473	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.15	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.14	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.15	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.51	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.08	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.91	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.77	14846	D-Score	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14933	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	14933	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	14933	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	College	-0.03	0.01	0.02	14933	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.35	14933	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	14933	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	14933	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.01	14933	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 295: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 96)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.67	14933	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	14933	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.16	14933	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.71	14933	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	College	-0.02	0.01	0.02	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.01	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.08	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.03	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	New York	-0.02	0.00	0.00	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.00	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Brooklyn Gay Men	2017-03-05	2017.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.22	15000	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.47	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.27	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.06	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	California	0.02	0.01	0.01	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.67	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.03	0.01	0.02	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.92	3858	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.08	3821	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	3821	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	3821	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	College	-0.01	0.03	0.82	3821	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.88	3821	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	3821	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	3821	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Florida	0.00	0.02	0.90	3821	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.08	3821	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	New York	-0.02	0.02	0.29	3821	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.02	0.45	3821	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.62	3821	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 296: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 97)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.01	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.44	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.17	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.03	0.01	0.00	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.84	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.44	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.47	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.62	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.32	3843	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.45	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.45	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.02	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.05	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	New York	0.02	0.01	0.05	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.03	0.01	0.00	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.38	6306	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.01	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.90	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.68	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.34	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.33	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.94	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.23	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.82	6238	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.01	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.43	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 297: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 98)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.03	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.74	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.74	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.80	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.58	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2017-05-20	2017.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.43	6275	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.65	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.58	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.04	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.14	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.19	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.61	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.30	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.80	8455	D-Score	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.01	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.41	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.73	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Florida	-0.03	0.01	0.02	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	California	-0.02	0.01	0.02	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.37	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.45	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.32	8389	Straight Bias	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.15	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.51	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.48	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.04	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00



**Table 298: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 99)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	California	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.05	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.87	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.10	8424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.30	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.79	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.03	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.63	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.33	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.11	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.84	11412	D-Score	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.28	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.77	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.04	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.05	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.09	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.55	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.41	11330	Straight Bias	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.14	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.29	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.55	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.12	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.10	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.70	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00
Brooklyn Gay Man	2017-08-28	2017.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.15	11372	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 299: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 100)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.06	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.18	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.02	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.80	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.85	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.07	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.19	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.94	12996	D-Score	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.18	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.18	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.29	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.56	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.05	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.25	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.37	12932	Straight Bias	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.13	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.04	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.14	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.36	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.06	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.06	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.97	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.64	12968	Heterocentrism	15.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	15929	D-Score	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	15929	D-Score	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	15929	D-Score	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.35	15929	D-Score	20.00

**Table 300: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 101)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.07	15929	D-Score	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	15929	D-Score	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	15929	D-Score	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.75	15929	D-Score	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.67	15929	D-Score	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.14	15929	D-Score	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.05	15929	D-Score	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.79	15929	D-Score	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.23	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.38	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.14	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.79	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.59	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.20	15848	Straight Bias	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.08	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.04	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.13	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.19	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.05	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.02	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.76	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Ally Steinfeld	2017-09-20	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.85	15892	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11338	D-Score	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	11338	D-Score	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	11338	D-Score	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.76	11338	D-Score	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.73	11338	D-Score	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	11338	D-Score	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.46	11338	D-Score	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.98	11338	D-Score	15.00

**Table 301: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 102)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.28	11338	D-Score	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.29	11338	D-Score	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.46	11338	D-Score	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.52	11338	D-Score	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.02	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.40	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.60	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.54	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.05	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.11	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.99	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.16	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.10	11281	Straight Bias	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.03	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.39	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.26	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.07	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.76	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.26	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.14	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.06	11310	Heterocentrism	15.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13688	D-Score	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	13688	D-Score	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	13688	D-Score	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.36	13688	D-Score	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.63	13688	D-Score	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	13688	D-Score	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.26	13688	D-Score	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.92	13688	D-Score	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.15	13688	D-Score	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	New York	0.00	0.00	0.33	13688	D-Score	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.87	13688	D-Score	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.49	13688	D-Score	20.00

**Table 302: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 103)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	College	0.03	0.01	0.05	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.42	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.17	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.05	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.53	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.47	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.08	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.39	13629	Straight Bias	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.01	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.10	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.18	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.16	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.94	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.24	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.14	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
Trevon Godbolt	2017-11-08	2017.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.17	13662	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.98	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.49	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.12	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.45	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.74	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.71	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.83	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.29	10287	D-Score	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.02	10215	Straight Bias	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	10215	Straight Bias	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	10215	Straight Bias	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.66	10215	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 303: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 104)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.18	10215	Straight Bias	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	10215	Straight Bias	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.77	10215	Straight Bias	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.98	10215	Straight Bias	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.33	10215	Straight Bias	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.14	10215	Straight Bias	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.03	10215	Straight Bias	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Illinois	0.02	0.01	0.01	10215	Straight Bias	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.07	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.54	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.60	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.04	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.75	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.88	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.14	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.29	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.06	10250	Heterocentrism	15.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.58	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.57	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.02	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.95	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.46	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.75	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.92	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.37	13561	D-Score	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.01	13454	Straight Bias	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	13454	Straight Bias	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	13454	Straight Bias	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.42	13454	Straight Bias	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.26	13454	Straight Bias	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	13454	Straight Bias	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.20	13454	Straight Bias	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.16	13454	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 304: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 105)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.87	13454	Straight Bias	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.08	13454	Straight Bias	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.09	13454	Straight Bias	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Illinois	0.02	0.01	0.06	13454	Straight Bias	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.10	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.99	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.47	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.16	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.52	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.21	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.82	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
TaRon Carson	2018-03-07	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.16	13505	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.68	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.78	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.24	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.45	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.11	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.03	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.27	10526	D-Score	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.07	10444	Straight Bias	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	10444	Straight Bias	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	10444	Straight Bias	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.90	10444	Straight Bias	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.17	10444	Straight Bias	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	10444	Straight Bias	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.32	10444	Straight Bias	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.03	10444	Straight Bias	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.93	10444	Straight Bias	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	10444	Straight Bias	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.74	10444	Straight Bias	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.48	10444	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 305: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 106)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.11	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.20	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.19	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.06	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.50	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.03	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.71	10477	Heterocentrism	15.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.92	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.90	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.09	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.07	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.02	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.15	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.34	13397	D-Score	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.11	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.84	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.15	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.09	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.02	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.78	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.39	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.27	13289	Straight Bias	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.08	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.15	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00



**Table 306: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 107)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.20	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.05	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.46	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	New York	-0.02	0.00	0.00	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.33	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00
Amia Tyrae	2018-03-28	2018.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.96	13340	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.36	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.22	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.33	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.46	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.04	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.52	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.45	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.36	5436	D-Score	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Woman	-0.04	0.01	0.00	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.96	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.63	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.30	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.80	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.99	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.70	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.70	5384	Straight Bias	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.27	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.07	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.16	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.55	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 307: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 108)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.12	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.11	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.69	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.73	5415	Heterocentrism	15.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.68	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.32	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.19	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.40	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.19	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.15	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.77	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.26	7412	D-Score	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.97	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.43	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.21	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.81	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.78	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.67	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.30	7352	Straight Bias	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.42	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.06	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.10	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.93	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.33	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.06	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.47	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Darnell Morgan	2018-06-17	2018.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.44	7392	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 308: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 109)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.65	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.39	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.43	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.94	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.19	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.43	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.39	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.27	5290	D-Score	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.01	0.00	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Woman	-0.04	0.01	0.00	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.40	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.79	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.53	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.81	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.45	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.03	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.81	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.68	5240	Straight Bias	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	College	-0.03	0.02	0.06	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	White	0.02	0.01	0.01	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.35	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.58	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.87	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.17	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.62	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.84	5265	Heterocentrism	15.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7016	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7016	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	7016	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.41	7016	D-Score	20.00

**Table 309: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 110)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.66	7016	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7016	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.89	7016	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.23	7016	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.23	7016	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.27	7016	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.27	7016	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.20	7016	D-Score	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.76	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.25	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.21	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.71	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.85	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.92	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	1.00	6956	Straight Bias	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Woman	-0.01	0.00	0.00	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	College	-0.01	0.02	0.68	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.02	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.14	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.92	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.34	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.04	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.61	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
Anthony Avalos	2018-06-21	2018.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.88	6990	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	9349	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	9349	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	9349	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.41	9349	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.02	9349	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	9349	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.97	9349	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.44	9349	D-Score	15.00

**Table 310: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 111)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.11	9349	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.70	9349	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.03	9349	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.21	9349	D-Score	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.14	0.00	0.00	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.90	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.39	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.57	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.54	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.88	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.90	9276	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.92	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.92	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.69	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.26	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.69	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.67	9317	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11873	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	11873	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	11873	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.40	11873	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.03	11873	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	11873	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.45	11873	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.97	11873	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.15	11873	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.72	11873	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.13	11873	D-Score	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.34	11873	D-Score	20.00

**Table 311: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 112)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.64	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.46	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.67	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.96	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.07	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.45	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.67	11781	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.44	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.78	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.89	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.03	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.03	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.54	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Lesbian Woman	2018-11-28	2018.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.98	11827	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.42	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.03	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.94	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.59	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.27	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.45	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.04	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.55	8968	D-Score	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8896	Straight Bias	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Liberal	-0.14	0.00	0.00	8896	Straight Bias	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	8896	Straight Bias	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.77	8896	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 312: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 113)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.45	8896	Straight Bias	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	8896	Straight Bias	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8896	Straight Bias	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.82	8896	Straight Bias	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.76	8896	Straight Bias	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.01	8896	Straight Bias	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.62	8896	Straight Bias	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.93	8896	Straight Bias	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.77	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.85	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.83	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.30	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.96	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.83	8937	Heterocentrism	15.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.34	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.02	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.59	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.61	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.16	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.66	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.09	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.85	11412	D-Score	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11320	Straight Bias	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	11320	Straight Bias	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	11320	Straight Bias	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.70	11320	Straight Bias	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.79	11320	Straight Bias	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	11320	Straight Bias	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	11320	Straight Bias	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.74	11320	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 313: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 114)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.91	11320	Straight Bias	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.08	11320	Straight Bias	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.42	11320	Straight Bias	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.68	11320	Straight Bias	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.54	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.74	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.94	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.04	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.04	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.84	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Vincent Shaver	2018-11-29	2018.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.72	11370	Heterocentrism	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.17	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.03	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.77	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.41	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.71	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.38	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.04	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.15	13470	D-Score	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13356	Straight Bias	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	13356	Straight Bias	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	13356	Straight Bias	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.64	13356	Straight Bias	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.13	13356	Straight Bias	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	13356	Straight Bias	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.37	13356	Straight Bias	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.36	13356	Straight Bias	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.12	13356	Straight Bias	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.11	13356	Straight Bias	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.60	13356	Straight Bias	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.89	13356	Straight Bias	15.00



**Table 314: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 115)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.16	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.00	0.00	0.00	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.28	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	College	0.00	0.00	0.26	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.36	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Religious	0.00	0.00	0.03	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.00	0.23	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Florida	-0.00	0.00	0.09	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.15	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.18	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.43	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.00	0.48	13496	Heterocentrism	15.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.05	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.14	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.60	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.59	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.39	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.31	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.00	0.07	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.33	16657	D-Score	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.63	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.20	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.54	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.10	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.08	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.35	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.72	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.44	16520	Straight Bias	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.13	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.00	0.00	0.00	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.19	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	College	0.00	0.00	0.71	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 315: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 116)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.37	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Religious	0.00	0.00	0.01	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.00	0.25	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Florida	-0.00	0.00	0.07	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.13	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.22	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.56	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00
Spencer Deehring	2019-01-29	2019.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.00	0.75	16689	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.66	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.90	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.01	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.14	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.10	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.73	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.80	6376	D-Score	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Woman	-0.03	0.01	0.00	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.40	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.98	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.10	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.12	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.07	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.05	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.60	6327	Straight Bias	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Age	-0.00	0.00	0.21	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Liberal	-0.00	0.00	0.02	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.37	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	College	0.00	0.00	0.17	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.48	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Religious	0.00	0.00	0.07	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.00	0.59	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Florida	-0.00	0.00	0.30	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 316: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 117)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.57	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.09	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.05	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.00	0.37	6391	Heterocentrism	15.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.58	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.88	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.03	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.06	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.66	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.91	8276	D-Score	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.47	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.98	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.06	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.38	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.16	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.01	0.02	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.86	8214	Straight Bias	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Age	-0.00	0.00	0.44	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Liberal	-0.00	0.00	0.01	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.81	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	College	0.00	0.00	0.14	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.48	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Religious	0.00	0.00	0.27	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.00	0.69	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Florida	-0.00	0.00	0.22	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.65	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.12	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.04	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00
Karl Craven	2019-06-16	2019.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.00	0.39	8293	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 317: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 118)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.95	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	White	0.00	0.01	1.00	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.49	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.01	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.35	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.08	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.73	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.04	5714	D-Score	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	College	0.03	0.03	0.42	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.36	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Religious	0.06	0.01	0.00	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Non-metro	-0.01	0.01	0.42	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.28	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.09	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.14	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.40	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.35	5674	Straight Bias	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Age	-0.00	0.00	0.68	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.00	0.00	0.45	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.14	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	College	0.00	0.00	0.74	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.33	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Religious	0.00	0.00	0.21	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.55	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Florida	0.00	0.00	0.27	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.32	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	New York	0.00	0.00	0.94	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.00	0.95	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.00	0.95	5726	Heterocentrism	15.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7806	D-Score	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7806	D-Score	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7806	D-Score	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.87	7806	D-Score	20.00

**Table 318: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 119)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.83	7806	D-Score	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7806	D-Score	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.11	7806	D-Score	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.00	7806	D-Score	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.50	7806	D-Score	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.59	7806	D-Score	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.48	7806	D-Score	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.20	7806	D-Score	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	College	0.03	0.02	0.17	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.93	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.77	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.29	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.06	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.19	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.76	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.16	7757	Straight Bias	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Age	-0.00	0.00	0.70	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Liberal	-0.00	0.00	0.25	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Woman	-0.00	0.00	0.10	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	College	0.00	0.00	0.85	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.34	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Religious	0.00	0.00	0.13	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.30	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Florida	0.00	0.00	0.27	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.32	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.82	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.00	0.79	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Racine, WI Gay Man	2019-07-29	2019.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.00	0.99	7826	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14947	D-Score	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	14947	D-Score	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	14947	D-Score	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.96	14947	D-Score	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.91	14947	D-Score	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	14947	D-Score	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.26	14947	D-Score	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.49	14947	D-Score	15.00

**Table 319: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 120)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.00	14947	D-Score	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.08	14947	D-Score	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.00	0.42	14947	D-Score	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.36	14947	D-Score	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.04	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	College	-0.02	0.01	0.10	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.08	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.02	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.04	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.21	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.64	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.87	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.92	14809	Straight Bias	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.23	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	White	0.01	0.00	0.06	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.57	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.19	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	New York	0.01	0.00	0.18	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.00	0.89	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.66	14862	Heterocentrism	15.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	19099	D-Score	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	19099	D-Score	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	19099	D-Score	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.61	19099	D-Score	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.85	19099	D-Score	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	19099	D-Score	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.15	19099	D-Score	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.21	19099	D-Score	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.02	19099	D-Score	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	New York	0.01	0.00	0.02	19099	D-Score	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.00	0.03	19099	D-Score	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.00	0.54	19099	D-Score	20.00

**Table 320: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 121)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	College	-0.02	0.01	0.09	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.11	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.02	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.03	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.61	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.71	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.52	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.82	18921	Straight Bias	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.24	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	White	0.01	0.00	0.02	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Florida	-0.00	0.00	0.44	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.98	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	New York	0.00	0.00	0.48	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.00	0.14	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Serena Daniari	2020-01-24	2020.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.40	18986	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.85	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.12	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.06	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.01	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.72	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.06	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.05	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.11	9187	D-Score	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	9100	Straight Bias	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	9100	Straight Bias	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	9100	Straight Bias	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.64	9100	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 321: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 122)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.98	9100	Straight Bias	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	9100	Straight Bias	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	9100	Straight Bias	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.78	9100	Straight Bias	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.20	9100	Straight Bias	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	9100	Straight Bias	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.83	9100	Straight Bias	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.51	9100	Straight Bias	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.90	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.47	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.19	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.65	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.23	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.62	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.27	9125	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.77	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.16	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.05	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.05	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.47	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.09	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.03	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.42	12318	D-Score	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12205	Straight Bias	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	12205	Straight Bias	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	12205	Straight Bias	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.40	12205	Straight Bias	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.77	12205	Straight Bias	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	12205	Straight Bias	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.08	12205	Straight Bias	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.61	12205	Straight Bias	20.00



**Table 322: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 123)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.02	12205	Straight Bias	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	12205	Straight Bias	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.53	12205	Straight Bias	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.37	12205	Straight Bias	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.51	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.70	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.22	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.11	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.11	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.80	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tony McDade	2020-05-27	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.05	12253	Heterocentrism	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.58	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.18	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.11	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Florida	0.02	0.01	0.01	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.67	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.05	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.06	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.18	10480	D-Score	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10382	Straight Bias	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	10382	Straight Bias	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	10382	Straight Bias	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.88	10382	Straight Bias	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.82	10382	Straight Bias	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	10382	Straight Bias	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.28	10382	Straight Bias	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.52	10382	Straight Bias	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.08	10382	Straight Bias	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	10382	Straight Bias	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.45	10382	Straight Bias	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.30	10382	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 323: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 124)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	College	-0.02	0.01	0.13	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.60	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.07	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.17	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.18	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.12	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.99	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.12	10424	Heterocentrism	15.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.69	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.25	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.09	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.03	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.58	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.07	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.05	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.10	14470	D-Score	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.70	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.53	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.07	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.53	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.01	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.59	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.52	14334	Straight Bias	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.62	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 324: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 125)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.79	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.45	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.08	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.86	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Raleigh LGBT bar	2020-06-01	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.19	14392	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.06	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.19	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.21	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.01	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.47	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.02	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.71	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.94	14116	D-Score	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.04	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.46	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.41	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.89	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.04	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.10	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.33	13972	Straight Bias	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.68	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	White	0.01	0.00	0.25	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.11	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.20	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 325: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 126)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.00	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.19	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.77	14041	Heterocentrism	15.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.26	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.18	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.52	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.11	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.29	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.02	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.28	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.37	18280	D-Score	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.20	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.45	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.30	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.33	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.02	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.18	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.39	18092	Straight Bias	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.82	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.57	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Florida	0.00	0.00	0.77	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.01	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.01	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.61	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00
Holden White	2020-06-20	2020.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.63	18179	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 326: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 127)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.10	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.95	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.29	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.97	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.19	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.09	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	1.00	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.92	13228	D-Score	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.29	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.34	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.23	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.04	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.03	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.31	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.53	13086	Straight Bias	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.99	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	White	0.01	0.00	0.16	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.02	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.43	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.01	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.46	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.67	13160	Heterocentrism	15.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17770	D-Score	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	17770	D-Score	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	17770	D-Score	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.18	17770	D-Score	20.00

**Table 327: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 128)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.33	17770	D-Score	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	17770	D-Score	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.27	17770	D-Score	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.14	17770	D-Score	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.28	17770	D-Score	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.01	17770	D-Score	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.63	17770	D-Score	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.91	17770	D-Score	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.40	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.37	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.23	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.19	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.02	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.39	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.17	17575	Straight Bias	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.88	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.40	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Florida	-0.00	0.00	0.58	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.00	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.00	0.00	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.49	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
Christian Council	2020-06-26	2020.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.74	17670	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12069	D-Score	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	12069	D-Score	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	12069	D-Score	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.95	12069	D-Score	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.42	12069	D-Score	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	12069	D-Score	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.05	12069	D-Score	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.38	12069	D-Score	15.00

**Table 328: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 129)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.22	12069	D-Score	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	12069	D-Score	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.15	12069	D-Score	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.46	12069	D-Score	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	College	-0.03	0.02	0.10	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.36	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.10	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.22	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.47	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	New York	-0.04	0.01	0.00	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.10	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.44	11917	Straight Bias	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.26	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.61	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.16	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.72	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.04	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.24	11990	Heterocentrism	15.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	15848	D-Score	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	15848	D-Score	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	15848	D-Score	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.51	15848	D-Score	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.64	15848	D-Score	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	15848	D-Score	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.19	15848	D-Score	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.00	0.15	15848	D-Score	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.18	15848	D-Score	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.05	15848	D-Score	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.07	15848	D-Score	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.93	15848	D-Score	20.00

**Table 329: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 130)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	College	-0.02	0.02	0.28	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.56	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.24	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.06	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.84	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	New York	-0.03	0.01	0.00	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.15	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.47	15662	Straight Bias	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.28	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.40	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.03	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Florida	-0.01	0.00	0.18	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.64	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.00	0.00	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.00	0.06	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
WI Trans Teenager	2020-07-20	2020.00	Illinois	0.01	0.00	0.12	15750	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.78	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.95	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.69	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.31	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.15	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.33	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.79	12888	D-Score	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12753	Straight Bias	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	12753	Straight Bias	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	12753	Straight Bias	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.82	12753	Straight Bias	15.00



**Table 330: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 131)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.63	12753	Straight Bias	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	12753	Straight Bias	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.55	12753	Straight Bias	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.47	12753	Straight Bias	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.40	12753	Straight Bias	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	12753	Straight Bias	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.63	12753	Straight Bias	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.19	12753	Straight Bias	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Woman	-0.02	0.00	0.00	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.49	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	White	0.01	0.00	0.24	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.20	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Florida	0.00	0.00	0.92	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.21	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.00	0.00	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.16	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.86	12823	Heterocentrism	15.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.51	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.41	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.07	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Florida	0.01	0.00	0.04	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.35	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.01	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.00	0.45	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.65	17665	D-Score	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17477	Straight Bias	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	17477	Straight Bias	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	17477	Straight Bias	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.52	17477	Straight Bias	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.14	17477	Straight Bias	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	17477	Straight Bias	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.09	17477	Straight Bias	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.80	17477	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 331: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 132)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.92	17477	Straight Bias	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.00	17477	Straight Bias	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.65	17477	Straight Bias	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.35	17477	Straight Bias	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	College	0.00	0.01	1.00	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.66	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Florida	0.00	0.00	0.84	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.39	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	New York	-0.02	0.00	0.00	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.00	0.04	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Madison, WI LGBTQ+ Establishments	2020-08-06	2020.00	Illinois	0.00	0.00	0.49	17576	Heterocentrism	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	College	-0.01	0.01	0.58	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.01	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.06	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.43	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.40	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.62	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.33	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.60	14063	D-Score	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13901	Straight Bias	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	13901	Straight Bias	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	13901	Straight Bias	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.40	13901	Straight Bias	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	White	-0.02	0.01	0.03	13901	Straight Bias	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	13901	Straight Bias	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.78	13901	Straight Bias	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.01	13901	Straight Bias	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.01	13901	Straight Bias	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	13901	Straight Bias	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.82	13901	Straight Bias	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.56	13901	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 332: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 133)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.60	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.80	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.04	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.01	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.58	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.39	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.31	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.60	13980	Heterocentrism	15.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.90	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.02	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.02	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.23	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.88	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.60	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.00	0.96	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.00	0.90	18312	D-Score	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.29	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.06	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.00	1.00	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.01	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.04	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.02	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.99	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.42	18111	Straight Bias	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.25	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 333: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 134)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.75	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.07	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.01	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.27	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.21	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.91	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Costa Mesa, CA Trans Woman	2021-03-11	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.00	0.42	18207	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.48	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.03	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.16	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.70	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.58	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.41	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.57	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.82	12649	D-Score	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.27	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.33	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Non-metro	-0.01	0.01	0.19	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.06	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.61	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.08	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.90	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.54	12498	Straight Bias	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.21	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.48	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.28	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.10	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 334: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 135)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.51	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.71	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.78	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.37	12576	Heterocentrism	15.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.99	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.02	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.84	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.91	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.29	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.48	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.00	0.53	17428	D-Score	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.58	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.21	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.00	0.43	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.06	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.54	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.04	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.76	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.96	17213	Straight Bias	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.28	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.68	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.17	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.07	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.82	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.79	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.00	0.99	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00
Philadelphia Trans Woman	2021-03-20	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.00	0.93	17321	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 335: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 136)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.28	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.01	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.15	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.98	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.33	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.54	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.72	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.72	12691	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.21	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.30	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Non-metro	-0.01	0.01	0.09	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.09	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.52	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.05	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.96	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.74	12536	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.32	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.46	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.44	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.12	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.45	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.58	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.63	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.61	12612	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17283	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	17283	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	17283	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.96	17283	D-Score	20.00

**Table 336: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 137)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.00	0.06	17283	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	17283	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	17283	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.94	17283	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.74	17283	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.44	17283	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.61	17283	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.00	0.80	17283	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.81	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.27	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.00	0.41	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.07	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.48	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.04	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.55	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.88	17072	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.45	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.78	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.21	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.14	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.84	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.54	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.62	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Montana Victim	2021-03-22	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.00	0.58	17181	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7823	D-Score	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7823	D-Score	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7823	D-Score	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	College	0.03	0.01	0.04	7823	D-Score	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.70	7823	D-Score	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	7823	D-Score	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.35	7823	D-Score	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.85	7823	D-Score	15.00

**Table 337: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 138)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.45	7823	D-Score	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.47	7823	D-Score	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.10	7823	D-Score	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.62	7823	D-Score	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.30	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.84	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.12	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.75	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.10	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.47	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.01	0.02	7714	Straight Bias	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.25	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.14	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.75	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.80	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.03	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.03	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.98	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.94	7766	Heterocentrism	15.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10788	D-Score	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	10788	D-Score	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10788	D-Score	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.10	10788	D-Score	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.43	10788	D-Score	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	10788	D-Score	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.08	10788	D-Score	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.99	10788	D-Score	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.54	10788	D-Score	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.52	10788	D-Score	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.18	10788	D-Score	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.42	10788	D-Score	20.00



**Table 338: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 139)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	College	0.03	0.02	0.11	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.48	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.16	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.50	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.03	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.59	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Illinois	-0.02	0.01	0.03	10627	Straight Bias	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.51	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.17	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.06	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.94	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.10	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.01	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.00	0.60	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Poe Black	2021-05-21	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.91	10708	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.07	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.82	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.42	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.43	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.23	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.61	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.12	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.82	6679	D-Score	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6579	Straight Bias	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	6579	Straight Bias	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	6579	Straight Bias	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.07	6579	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 339: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 140)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.58	6579	Straight Bias	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Religious	0.07	0.01	0.00	6579	Straight Bias	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.19	6579	Straight Bias	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.23	6579	Straight Bias	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.67	6579	Straight Bias	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.42	6579	Straight Bias	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.78	6579	Straight Bias	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.01	0.00	6579	Straight Bias	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.57	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.25	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.56	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.43	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.37	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.74	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.43	6630	Heterocentrism	15.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.02	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.74	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.11	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.15	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.11	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.24	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.04	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.85	8609	D-Score	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8480	Straight Bias	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	8480	Straight Bias	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	8480	Straight Bias	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.23	8480	Straight Bias	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.23	8480	Straight Bias	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Religious	0.07	0.00	0.00	8480	Straight Bias	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.07	8480	Straight Bias	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.25	8480	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 340: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 141)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.66	8480	Straight Bias	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.44	8480	Straight Bias	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.53	8480	Straight Bias	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Illinois	-0.03	0.01	0.00	8480	Straight Bias	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.66	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.19	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.92	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.17	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	New York	0.00	0.00	0.62	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.95	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Eugene, OR Gay Man	2021-07-05	2021.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.31	8544	Heterocentrism	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	College	0.03	0.03	0.39	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.93	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.01	0.00	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.44	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.69	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.86	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.58	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.71	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.50	3034	D-Score	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	2982	Straight Bias	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	2982	Straight Bias	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.01	0.00	2982	Straight Bias	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	College	0.01	0.04	0.73	2982	Straight Bias	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.52	2982	Straight Bias	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	2982	Straight Bias	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.10	2982	Straight Bias	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.02	0.73	2982	Straight Bias	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.41	2982	Straight Bias	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.02	0.87	2982	Straight Bias	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.47	2982	Straight Bias	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.98	2982	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 341: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 142)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	College	0.01	0.03	0.80	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.47	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.38	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.72	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.71	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.49	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.01	0.07	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.45	3013	Heterocentrism	15.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	College	0.02	0.03	0.44	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.91	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.13	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.45	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.36	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	New York	0.02	0.01	0.12	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.65	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.71	4359	D-Score	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.01	0.00	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.01	0.00	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	College	0.03	0.03	0.30	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.53	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.13	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.53	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	California	0.02	0.01	0.03	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.75	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.65	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.91	4294	Straight Bias	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	College	0.01	0.03	0.82	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 342: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 143)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.41	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.29	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.36	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.26	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.58	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.38	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Broward County, FL Gay Man	2021-08-06	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.24	4333	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	College	0.01	0.03	0.60	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.93	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.06	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.42	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.60	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.41	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.14	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.85	3341	D-Score	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.01	0.00	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	College	0.04	0.04	0.36	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.73	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.10	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Florida	0.01	0.02	0.74	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.41	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.51	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.88	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.63	3296	Straight Bias	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	College	0.00	0.03	0.96	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	White	0.02	0.01	0.07	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.14	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.59	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 343: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 144)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.36	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.59	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.19	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.16	3324	Heterocentrism	15.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.97	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.94	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.07	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.40	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.42	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.63	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.58	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.65	4804	D-Score	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.01	0.00	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.01	0.00	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	College	0.01	0.03	0.76	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.33	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.24	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.96	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.44	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.58	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.90	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.92	4741	Straight Bias	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.88	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.09	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.91	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.06	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.88	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.98	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00
Kylen Schulte	2021-08-13	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.10	4780	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 344: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 145)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.41	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.64	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.19	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.19	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.06	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.71	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.81	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.21	5715	D-Score	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.01	0.00	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.01	0.00	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	College	0.05	0.03	0.07	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.85	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.38	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.36	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.22	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.55	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.92	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.48	5667	Straight Bias	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.73	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.12	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.08	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.61	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.04	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.31	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.45	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.08	5699	Heterocentrism	15.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7574	D-Score	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7574	D-Score	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7574	D-Score	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.33	7574	D-Score	20.00

**Table 345: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 146)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.36	7574	D-Score	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	7574	D-Score	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.03	7574	D-Score	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.19	7574	D-Score	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.11	7574	D-Score	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.19	7574	D-Score	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.71	7574	D-Score	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.02	0.01	0.03	7574	D-Score	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	College	0.04	0.02	0.13	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.95	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.22	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.45	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.44	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.82	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.32	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.46	7504	Straight Bias	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.59	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.08	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.04	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.99	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.04	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.18	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.76	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Two Unidentified Brooklyn Gay Men	2021-09-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.02	0.01	0.01	7546	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5711	D-Score	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Liberal	-0.07	0.00	0.00	5711	D-Score	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	5711	D-Score	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.18	5711	D-Score	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.51	5711	D-Score	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	5711	D-Score	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.01	5711	D-Score	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.29	5711	D-Score	15.00



**Table 346: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 147)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.42	5711	D-Score	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.17	5711	D-Score	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.89	5711	D-Score	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Illinois	0.02	0.01	0.02	5711	D-Score	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.01	0.00	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Woman	-0.07	0.01	0.00	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	College	0.04	0.03	0.18	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.57	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Non-metro	-0.00	0.01	0.80	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.02	0.48	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.37	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.90	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.87	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.82	5638	Straight Bias	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	College	0.01	0.02	0.58	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.99	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.92	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.72	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.30	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.22	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.31	5676	Heterocentrism	15.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8524	D-Score	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	8524	D-Score	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	8524	D-Score	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.08	8524	D-Score	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.52	8524	D-Score	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	8524	D-Score	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	8524	D-Score	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.59	8524	D-Score	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.58	8524	D-Score	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.85	8524	D-Score	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.69	8524	D-Score	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Illinois	0.02	0.01	0.01	8524	D-Score	20.00

**Table 347: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 148)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	College	0.03	0.02	0.18	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.25	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.35	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.26	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.75	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.96	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.97	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.70	8411	Straight Bias	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.89	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.40	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.01	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.91	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.87	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.59	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.29	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Charlotte Osieczanek	2021-10-24	2021.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.64	8476	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.17	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.45	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.13	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.31	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.92	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.65	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.09	6235	D-Score	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6144	Straight Bias	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.12	0.00	0.00	6144	Straight Bias	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.06	0.01	0.00	6144	Straight Bias	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	College	0.02	0.02	0.38	6144	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 348: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 149)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	White	0.02	0.01	0.07	6144	Straight Bias	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Religious	0.08	0.01	0.00	6144	Straight Bias	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.65	6144	Straight Bias	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.81	6144	Straight Bias	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.87	6144	Straight Bias	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.94	6144	Straight Bias	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.93	6144	Straight Bias	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.41	6144	Straight Bias	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	College	-0.00	0.02	0.78	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.23	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.06	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.73	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.31	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.81	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.62	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.96	6190	Heterocentrism	15.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.19	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.32	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Religious	0.03	0.00	0.00	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.12	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.34	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.68	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	1.00	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.06	7821	D-Score	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7715	Straight Bias	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	7715	Straight Bias	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	7715	Straight Bias	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	College	0.03	0.02	0.10	7715	Straight Bias	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.15	7715	Straight Bias	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	7715	Straight Bias	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.64	7715	Straight Bias	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.73	7715	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 349: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 150)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.89	7715	Straight Bias	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.83	7715	Straight Bias	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	1.00	7715	Straight Bias	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.57	7715	Straight Bias	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	College	0.00	0.02	0.79	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.55	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.05	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.79	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.56	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.59	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.19	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Jenny de Leon	2021-11-02	2021.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.96	7770	Heterocentrism	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.05	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.67	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.94	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.11	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.29	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.02	0.00	0.00	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.67	14423	D-Score	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14654	Straight Bias	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.14	0.00	0.00	14654	Straight Bias	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	14654	Straight Bias	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.64	14654	Straight Bias	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.58	14654	Straight Bias	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	14654	Straight Bias	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.01	14654	Straight Bias	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.08	14654	Straight Bias	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	California	0.00	0.01	0.80	14654	Straight Bias	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.94	14654	Straight Bias	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.18	14654	Straight Bias	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.40	14654	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 350: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 151)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.21	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.93	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.03	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.00	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.84	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.02	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.03	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.03	14732	Heterocentrism	15.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.04	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	White	0.00	0.00	0.74	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.80	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.13	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	New York	0.00	0.00	0.51	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.00	0.01	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.31	17710	D-Score	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.14	0.00	0.00	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	College	-0.00	0.01	0.84	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.32	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.03	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.54	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	New York	0.00	0.01	1.00	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.16	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.15	17992	Straight Bias	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.37	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 351: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 152)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.65	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.00	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.83	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.04	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.05	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00
Illinois Gay Man	2022-02-17	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.07	18091	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	College	0.03	0.01	0.00	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.74	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.22	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.73	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	New York	0.01	0.00	0.14	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.68	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.97	17305	D-Score	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Liberal	-0.14	0.00	0.00	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	College	0.03	0.01	0.00	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.67	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.40	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.05	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.71	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.10	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.57	17696	Straight Bias	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.10	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.04	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.59	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 352: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 153)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.83	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.32	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.31	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.26	17807	Heterocentrism	15.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	College	0.03	0.00	0.00	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.00	0.87	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Florida	0.01	0.00	0.26	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.55	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	New York	0.01	0.00	0.00	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.00	0.41	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.00	0.78	24996	D-Score	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Liberal	-0.14	0.00	0.00	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.00	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.82	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.24	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.07	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.41	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.13	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.99	25521	Straight Bias	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.01	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.15	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.00	0.80	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.83	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.00	0.25	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.36	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00
NYC Gay Man	2022-03-19	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.00	0.57	25684	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 353: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 154)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	College	0.03	0.00	0.00	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.45	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.00	0.50	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.22	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	New York	0.01	0.00	0.01	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.05	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.52	20067	D-Score	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.15	0.00	0.00	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	College	0.03	0.01	0.00	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.65	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.88	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.63	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.05	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.49	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.16	20495	Straight Bias	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.00	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.75	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.20	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.48	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.22	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.70	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.20	20609	Heterocentrism	15.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	26815	D-Score	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	26815	D-Score	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	26815	D-Score	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	College	0.03	0.00	0.00	26815	D-Score	20.00



**Table 354: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 155)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.76	26815	D-Score	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	26815	D-Score	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	26815	D-Score	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.00	0.84	26815	D-Score	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.41	26815	D-Score	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	New York	0.01	0.00	0.01	26815	D-Score	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.00	0.02	26815	D-Score	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.00	0.50	26815	D-Score	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.15	0.00	0.00	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	College	0.03	0.01	0.00	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.71	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.64	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.23	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	New York	-0.02	0.01	0.01	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.41	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.21	27420	Straight Bias	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Liberal	-0.11	0.00	0.00	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	College	0.02	0.00	0.00	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.38	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.00	0.30	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.80	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.07	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.00	0.71	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
James Garcia	2022-04-17	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.00	0.12	27574	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18043	D-Score	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	18043	D-Score	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	18043	D-Score	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	College	0.03	0.00	0.00	18043	D-Score	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.40	18043	D-Score	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	18043	D-Score	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	18043	D-Score	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.38	18043	D-Score	15.00

**Table 355: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 156)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.22	18043	D-Score	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.80	18043	D-Score	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.05	18043	D-Score	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.97	18043	D-Score	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Liberal	-0.14	0.00	0.00	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	College	0.03	0.01	0.00	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	White	-0.02	0.01	0.16	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.00	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.39	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.14	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.07	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.71	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.33	18444	Straight Bias	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.00	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.62	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.05	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.91	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.05	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.73	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.02	18532	Heterocentrism	15.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	23209	D-Score	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	23209	D-Score	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	23209	D-Score	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	College	0.03	0.00	0.00	23209	D-Score	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.19	23209	D-Score	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	23209	D-Score	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.00	23209	D-Score	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.00	0.59	23209	D-Score	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.07	23209	D-Score	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	New York	0.01	0.00	0.02	23209	D-Score	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.00	0.04	23209	D-Score	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.00	0.64	23209	D-Score	20.00

**Table 356: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 157)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Liberal	-0.14	0.00	0.00	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Woman	-0.08	0.00	0.00	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	College	0.03	0.01	0.00	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.41	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Religious	0.09	0.00	0.00	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.70	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.09	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.02	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.36	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.40	23679	Straight Bias	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Woman	-0.07	0.00	0.00	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	College	0.02	0.00	0.00	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.90	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Religious	0.06	0.00	0.00	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.00	0.00	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.13	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.59	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.00	0.11	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.00	0.88	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Pride Center VT	2022-04-26	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.16	23814	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.00	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.28	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.19	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Florida	0.00	0.01	0.99	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.10	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.42	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.80	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.03	10987	D-Score	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11154	Straight Bias	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Liberal	-0.14	0.00	0.00	11154	Straight Bias	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	11154	Straight Bias	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.41	11154	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 357: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 158)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.69	11154	Straight Bias	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	11154	Straight Bias	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Non-metro	0.02	0.01	0.02	11154	Straight Bias	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.64	11154	Straight Bias	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.10	11154	Straight Bias	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.29	11154	Straight Bias	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.93	11154	Straight Bias	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.17	11154	Straight Bias	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	College	0.00	0.01	0.82	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.89	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.02	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.07	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.36	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.17	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.70	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.47	11224	Heterocentrism	15.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.00	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.31	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.05	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.52	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.02	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.37	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.57	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.06	14742	D-Score	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14956	Straight Bias	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Liberal	-0.14	0.00	0.00	14956	Straight Bias	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	14956	Straight Bias	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.05	14956	Straight Bias	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.54	14956	Straight Bias	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	14956	Straight Bias	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.06	14956	Straight Bias	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.59	14956	Straight Bias	20.00

**Table 358: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 159)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.10	14956	Straight Bias	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.23	14956	Straight Bias	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.14	14956	Straight Bias	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.15	14956	Straight Bias	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.21	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.54	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.01	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.08	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.54	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.04	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.11	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Tristan Torres	2022-05-27	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.46	15051	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.01	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.27	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.15	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.36	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.07	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.61	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.71	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.03	11253	D-Score	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11427	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	11427	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	11427	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.29	11427	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	White	0.01	0.02	0.44	11427	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	11427	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.03	11427	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.68	11427	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.31	11427	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.36	11427	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.24	11427	Straight Bias	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.48	11427	Straight Bias	15.00

**Table 359: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 160)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.44	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.49	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.02	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.16	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.82	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.06	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.13	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Illinois	-0.00	0.01	0.83	11495	Heterocentrism	15.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.00	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.31	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.04	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.53	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	California	0.01	0.00	0.06	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.36	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.89	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Illinois	-0.01	0.01	0.02	14464	D-Score	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Liberal	-0.14	0.00	0.00	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Woman	-0.06	0.00	0.00	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.08	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.60	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.03	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.59	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	California	0.01	0.01	0.18	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.32	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.18	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.22	14671	Straight Bias	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.42	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00

**Table 360: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 161)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.64	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.02	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.13	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.78	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.14	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.13	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00
Unidentified Victim	2022-06-01	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.48	14755	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.07	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.60	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.19	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Florida	0.01	0.01	0.33	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.55	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.46	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.78	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.17	9849	D-Score	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	College	0.04	0.01	0.00	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	White	-0.03	0.01	0.05	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.91	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.29	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	California	-0.01	0.01	0.39	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.21	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.39	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.18	9949	Straight Bias	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.43	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.49	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.72	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.09	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00

**Table 361: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 162)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.40	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.13	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.17	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.53	10012	Heterocentrism	15.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.25	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.41	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.02	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.64	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.41	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	New York	0.00	0.00	0.51	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.01	0.01	0.36	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.14	13954	D-Score	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	College	0.05	0.01	0.00	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.46	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.01	0.80	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.25	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.84	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	New York	0.01	0.01	0.29	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.19	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.45	14115	Straight Bias	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Liberal	-0.09	0.00	0.00	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.10	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.81	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.67	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.05	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.00	0.20	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	New York	0.00	0.00	0.35	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.01	0.01	0.09	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00
Noah Ruiz	2022-07-03	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.36	14208	Heterocentrism	20.00



**Table 362: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 163)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.01	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.01	0.75	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.06	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.30	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.38	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	New York	-0.01	0.01	0.34	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.00	0.01	0.99	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.83	10357	D-Score	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	College	0.04	0.01	0.00	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	White	-0.00	0.02	0.82	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.30	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.18	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.96	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	New York	0.00	0.01	0.91	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.03	0.01	0.01	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.82	10469	Straight Bias	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.25	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.48	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Non-metro	0.00	0.00	0.48	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.12	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.04	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.98	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.00	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.90	10529	Heterocentrism	15.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14239	D-Score	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Liberal	-0.08	0.00	0.00	14239	D-Score	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Woman	-0.03	0.00	0.00	14239	D-Score	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	College	0.02	0.01	0.01	14239	D-Score	20.00

**Table 363: Influence of Less Salient Violent Incidents Against LGBTQ+ Group Members on Prosocial Attitudes Toward Gay People (Control Covariate Coefficients, Part 164)**

Incident	Date	Year	Covariate	Control Coef.	SE	p-val	N	Outcome	Bandwidth
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	White	0.01	0.01	0.52	14239	D-Score	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Religious	0.04	0.00	0.00	14239	D-Score	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.08	14239	D-Score	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Florida	-0.00	0.01	0.40	14239	D-Score	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	California	0.00	0.00	0.31	14239	D-Score	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.45	14239	D-Score	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Pennsylvania	0.00	0.01	0.63	14239	D-Score	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Illinois	0.00	0.01	0.74	14239	D-Score	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Liberal	-0.13	0.00	0.00	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Woman	-0.05	0.00	0.00	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	College	0.04	0.01	0.00	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	White	-0.01	0.01	0.43	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Religious	0.08	0.00	0.00	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.01	0.20	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Florida	-0.02	0.01	0.04	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	California	-0.00	0.01	0.66	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.71	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.02	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Illinois	0.01	0.01	0.40	14406	Straight Bias	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Age	0.00	0.00	0.00	14494	Heterocentrism	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Liberal	-0.10	0.00	0.00	14494	Heterocentrism	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Woman	-0.04	0.00	0.00	14494	Heterocentrism	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	College	0.01	0.01	0.47	14494	Heterocentrism	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	White	0.00	0.01	0.92	14494	Heterocentrism	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Religious	0.05	0.00	0.00	14494	Heterocentrism	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Non-metro	0.01	0.00	0.13	14494	Heterocentrism	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Florida	-0.01	0.01	0.04	14494	Heterocentrism	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	California	-0.01	0.00	0.08	14494	Heterocentrism	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	New York	-0.00	0.01	0.46	14494	Heterocentrism	20.00
Naasire Johnson	2022-06-23	2022.00	Pennsylvania	-0.02	0.01	0.01	14494	Heterocentrism	20.00


## 7 Validating Outcomes Across Studies

In this section, we show our outcomes capture the same concept despite differences in measurement and temporal domain across studies. If our outcomes are measuring the same concept across time, they should meet two criteria: 1) they should be highly correlated consistently with each other *across several time periods*, 2) they should have similar correlates over time. Yearly S-IAT surveys from 2010-2018 show *SSM support* (Study 1) is consistently strongly associated with the anti-gay *D-score*, *straight bias*, and *heterocentrism* outcomes (Study 2). The min-max association between SSM support and the Study 2 outcomes is 30%-100% of the Study 2 outcome scales after covariate adjustment (Figure 24). Although we can't correlate Study 2's outcomes with the *moral wrong* (Study 3) outcome due to data limitations, we can show *SSM support* is highly correlated with *moral wrong* for nearly three decades across several surveys between 1978-2004. Those who support SSM are 35-55 percentage points less likely to believe homosexuality is immoral (Figure 25). Given *moral wrong* is consistently highly correlated with *SSM support* over several decades and *SSM support* is consistently correlated with the Study 2 outcomes for a decade, we can safely assume the outcomes from Studies 1-3 are capturing a similar concept despite measurement and temporal differences. Moreover, socio-demographic and political correlates of the Study 2 and Study 3 outcomes are the same between 2010-2018 and 1978-2004 respectively (Figures 26-27), suggesting safety in assuming the outcomes are measuring the same concept over time.<sup>9</sup> Additionally, we evaluate common correlates across Studies 1-4 and show, for the most part, all outcomes are correlated similarly with particular socio-demographic and political factors (Figure 28). These findings further suggest the outcomes from Studies 1-4 are capturing a similar concept despite measurement and temporal differences. These findings also validate our theoretic approach, which is to speak to prosocial attitudes in a broad, multi-dimensional manner.

---

<sup>9</sup>This also validates our event study on Figure 9 in the main text. Despite long-term differences in measuring *moral wrong*, the concept doesn't change much over time.

## 7.1 System 1 and System 2 = Related




**Figure 23: The Explicit Anti-Gay Attitude Outcomes are Highly Correlated with the Implicit Anti-Gay Attitude Outcome (D Score).** The x-axis is the coefficient for the respective explicit anti-gay attitude outcome (y-axis). Color denotes PI S-IAT dataset at use. The left and right panels characterize estimates without and with covariate adjustment (age, gender, white, college education, ideology, religious, non-metro resident, California resident, New York resident, Florida resident, and Illinois resident). All covariates rescaled between 0-1. 95% CIs displayed derived from HC2 robust SEs.

**Table 364: Pearson’s Rho Correlation Coefficients Characterizing Association Between D Score and Explicit Measures of Anti-Gay Attitudes**

Dataset	Covariate	Pearson’s $\rho$ With D Score	p-value
S-IAT 2010	Heterocentrism	0.39	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2010	Straight Bias	0.42	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2011	Heterocentrism	0.38	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2011	Straight Bias	0.41	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2012	Heterocentrism	0.39	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2012	Straight Bias	0.41	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2013	Heterocentrism	0.38	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2013	Straight Bias	0.40	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2014	Heterocentrism	0.39	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2014	Straight Bias	0.41	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2015	Heterocentrism	0.38	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2015	Straight Bias	0.40	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2016	Heterocentrism	0.41	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2016	Straight Bias	0.43	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2017	Heterocentrism	0.43	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2017	Straight Bias	0.45	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2018	Heterocentrism	0.43	p < 0.001
S-IAT 2018	Straight Bias	0.45	p < 0.001

## 7.2 Demonstrating Study 2 Outcomes = Associated With SSM Support




**Figure 24: The *D score*, *Straight Bias*, and *Heterocentrism* Items are Highly Correlated With *SSM Support* Over an 8-year Period.** The x-axis is the coefficient characterizing the relationship between the *D score*, *straight bias*, and *heterocentrism* (specified on y-axis) and support for same-sex marriage. Color denotes PI S-IAT dataset at use. The left and right panels characterize estimates without and with covariate adjustment (age, gender, white, college education, ideology, religious, non-metro resident, California resident, New York resident, Florida resident, and Illinois resident). All covariates rescaled between 0-1. 95% CIs displayed derived from HC2 robust SEs.

Across all PI S-IAT studies, *SSM support* is based on an item asking respondents “Do you think marriages between homosexuals should or should not be recognized by the law as valid, with the same rights as traditional marriages?” with response options: 1) should be valid, 2) should not be valid, 3) no opinion. We code *SSM support* as 1 if the respondent indicates “should be valid” and 0 otherwise.

The reason we do not use the *SSM support* measure as an outcome in Study 2 is because the item was not asked between January-July 2016, preventing us from using an unexpected-event-during-survey design with the outcome. Our estimates are from respondents who took the 2016 PI S-IAT survey after July 2016.

### 7.3 Demonstrating Moral Wrong (Study 3) Outcome = Associated With SSM Support



**Figure 25: The *Moral Wrong* Item is Highly Correlated With *SSM Support* Over 3 Decades.** The x-axis is the study at use. The y-axis is the *moral wrong* coefficient where support for gay marriage is the outcome. Color denotes the inclusion/exclusion of controls (age, gender, race, college-education, partisan identification). All covariates are rescaled between 0-1. 95% CIs displayed derived from HC2 robust SEs.

**Note:** The TIME 1978 study does not have an explicit *SSM support* item. Instead, we use a proxy that characterizes whether respondents believe homosexual relationships are acceptable (see the measurement of SSM support across the studies characterized on Figure 25 below).

**Homosexual Relationship Item (TIME 1978):** Today there are many different kinds of lifestyles which people find acceptable, such as a husband staying home and caring for the children while the wife goes to work. How do you feel about this? Do you find it acceptable for other people but not for yourself, acceptable for other people and yourself, or not acceptable at all? Homosexual relationships. 1) Acceptable for others, 2) Acceptable for others and self, 3) Not acceptable. Coded 1 if response is “Acceptable for others” OR “Acceptable for others and self,” 0 otherwise.

**Gay Marriage Support Item (CNN 1994):** Do you think marriages between homosexual men or homosexual women should be recognized as legal by the law? 1) Yes, 2) No. Coded 1 if response is “yes,” 0 otherwise.

**Gay Marriage Support Item (CNN 1998):** Do you think marriages between homosexual men or between homosexual women should be recognized as legal by the law? 1) Yes, 2) No. Coded 1 if response is “yes,” 0 otherwise.

**Gay Marriage Support Item (CNN 2004):** On another topic, do you think marriages between homosexual men or between homosexual women should be recognized as legal by the law, or not? 1) Yes, 2) No. Coded 1 if response is “yes,” 0 otherwise.




## 7.4 *Moral Wrong Outcome Correlates Between 1978-2004*




**Figure 26: Correlates of *Moral Wrong* Outcome Over 3 Decades.** The x-axis is coefficient for the respective covariate (y-axis, fully-specified model for each study). Color denotes the survey at use. All covariates are rescaled between 0-1. 95% CIs displayed derived from HC2 robust SEs.

## 7.5 Study 2 Outcome Correlates Between 2010-2018



**Figure 27: Correlates of *D score*, *Heterocentrism*, and *Straight Bias* Outcomes Between 2010-2018.** The x-axis is coefficient for the respective covariate (y-axis, fully-specified model for each study). Color denotes the PI S-IAT dataset at use. All covariates are rescaled between 0-1. 95% CIs displayed derived from HC2 robust SEs.

## 7.6 Correlates Across All Studies



**Figure 28: Consistent Correlates of Outcomes Across All Studies.** The x-axis is coefficient for the respective covariate (y-axis, fully-specified model for each study). Color denotes the outcome and study dataset at use. All covariates are rescaled between 0-1. Unlike in the main text, the outcome for Study 1 is now reverse coded (*SSM No Support*). This is to maintain directional consistency with the outcomes from other studies. Moreover, there is no liberal ideology item in the Study 3 CNN surveys from 1998. The coefficient presented here for Study 3 is for Democratic partisan identification, which is available in the 1998 CNN surveys and is known to be highly correlated with a liberal ideology. 95% CIs displayed derived from HC2 robust SEs.

## References

- Alvarez, R Michael et al. (2019). “Paying attention to inattentive survey respondents”. In: *Political Analysis* 27.2.
- Arel-Bundock, Vincent et al. (2022). *Quantitative political science research is greatly underpowered*. Tech. rep. I4R Discussion Paper Series.
- Axt, Jordan R et al. (2021). “Implicit transgender attitudes independently predict beliefs about gender and transgender people”. In: *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 47.2.
- Calonico, Sebastian, Matias D Cattaneo, and Rocio Titiunik (2015). “rdrobust: An R Package for Robust Nonparametric Inference in Regression-Discontinuity Designs.” In: *R J.* 7.1.
- Coppock, Alexander (2019). “Generalizing from survey experiments conducted on Mechanical Turk: A replication approach”. In: *Political Science Research and Methods* 7.3.
- d’Urso, Amanda Sahar (2022). “A Boundary of White Inclusion: The Role of Religion in Ethnoracial Assignment”. In: *Perspectives on Politics*.
- Fitzpatrick, Thomas M (2018). “Global Radicalization and the San Bernardino Attack. Evolving Extremist US Domestic Threat”. In: *International Relations and Diplomacy* 6.9.
- Flores, Andrew (2014). “National trends in public opinion on LGBT rights in the United States”. In.
- Gelman, Andrew (2015). *The connection between varying treatment effects and the crisis of unreplicable research: A Bayesian perspective*.
- Greszki, Robert, Marco Meyer, and Harald Schoen (2015). “Exploring the effects of removing “too fast” responses and respondents from web surveys”. In: *Public Opinion Quarterly* 79.2.
- Gunaratna, Rohan and Cleo Haynal (2013). “Current and emerging threats of homegrown terrorism: The case of the Boston bombings”. In: *Perspectives on Terrorism* 7.3.
- Krosnick, Jon A and Duane F Alwin (1987). “An evaluation of a cognitive theory of response-order effects in survey measurement”. In: *Public opinion quarterly* 51.2.
- Leander, N Pontus et al. (2020). “Biased hate crime perceptions can reveal supremacist sympathies”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117.32.
- Malhotra, Neil (2008). “Completion time and response order effects in web surveys”. In: *Public opinion quarterly* 72.5.
- Meyer, Doug (2020). “Omar Mateen as US citizen, not foreign threat: Homonationalism and LGBTQ online representations of the pulse nightclub shooting”. In: *Sexualities* 23.3.
- Murman, Daniel L (2015). “The impact of age on cognition”. In: *Seminars in hearing*. Vol. 36. 03. Thieme Medical Publishers.
- Neundorf, Anja, Kaat Smets, and Gema M García-Albacete (2013). “Homemade citizens: The development of political interest during adolescence and young adulthood”. In: *Acta politica* 48.
- Read, Blair, Lukas Wolters, and Adam J Berinsky (2021). “Racing the clock: Using response time as a proxy for attentiveness on self-administered surveys”. In: *Political Analysis*.
- Roßmann, Joss (2010). “Data quality in web surveys of the German longitudinal election study 2009”. In: *3rd ECPR Graduate Conference*. Vol. 30.

- Sears, David O (1993). “5. Symbolic Politics: A Socio-Psychological Theory”. In: *Explorations in political psychology*. Duke University Press.
- Tesler, Michael (2013). “The return of old-fashioned racism to White Americans’ partisan preferences in the early Obama era”. In: *The Journal of Politics* 75.1.
- Towers, Sherry et al. (2015). “Contagion in mass killings and school shootings”. In: *PLoS one* 10.7.
- Voss, D. Steven, Andrew Gelman, and Gary King (Spring 1995). “Pre-Election Survey Methodology: Details From Nine Polling Organizations, 1988 and 1992”. In: *Public Opinion Quarterly* 59.
- Vuletich, Heidi A and B Keith Payne (2019). “Stability and change in implicit bias”. In: *Psychological Science* 30.6.
- Welch, Michael (2006). *Scapegoats of September 11th: Hate crimes & state crimes in the war on terror*. Rutgers University Press.
- Xu, Yiqing (2017). “Generalized synthetic control method: Causal inference with interactive fixed effects models”. In: *Political Analysis* 25.1.